# EXHIBIT "H"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NO. 2:15-cv-00571-GJP
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1

EMIL and SHARON )
CLOUD )

) DEPOSITION UPON

)

) ORAL EXAMINATION

)

vs. ) OF

)

ELECTROLUX HOME ) WILLIAM J. VIGILANTE, JR.,

PRODUCTS, INC. ) Ph.D., CPE

- - -

TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL DEPOSITION, taken by and before DONNA HUNTER, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public, at the Offices of deLUCA LEVINE, LLC, Three Valley Square, 512 E. Township Line Road, Suite 220, Blue Bell, PA, on Wednesday, August 31, 2016, commencing at 10:00 a.m.

ERSA Court Reporters
30 South 17th Street
United Plaza, Suite 1520
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 564-1233

1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2	t be pot as rved ., was tions? ng and record, ne defendant
deLUCA, LEVINE, LLC  By: KENNETH T. LEVINE, ESQUIRE Three Valley Square  Suite 220 512 E. Township Line Road 5 Blue Bell, PA 19422 6 Counsel for Plaintiffs 7 Ph.D., CPE, having been duly sworn, 8 Examined and testified as follows: NICOLSON LAW GROUP 8 BY: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035 9 1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063 10 Counsel for Defendant, 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc. 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 20 2 counsel that sealing, and certification 3 waived; and that all objections, excel 4 to the form of the question, are reser 4 to the form of the question and the tile ofWILLIAM J.VIGILANTE, JR 6	t be pt as rved ., was tions? ng and record, ne defendant
deLUCA, LEVINE, LLC  By: KENNETH T. LEVINE, ESQUIRE Three Valley Square  Suite 220 512 E. Township Line Road  Micolson LAW GROUP  By: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035  Media, PA 19063  Counsel for Defendant, Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  deluca, Levine, Esquire Three Valley Square  waived; and that all objections, excel to the form of the question, are reser until the time of trial.)  """  """  """  """  """  """  """	ot as rved  ., was tions? ng and  record, ne defendant
Three Valley Square  Suite 220 512 E. Township Line Road  Micolson LAW GROUP  By: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035  Media, PA 19063  Counsel for Defendant, Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  Counsel for Defendant, In Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  By: KENNETH II. LEVINE, ESQUIRE Three Valley Square  4 to the form of the question, are reser until the time of trial.)	rved  , was tions? ng and record, ne defendant
Suite 220 512 E. Township Line Road 5 Blue Bell, PA 19422 6 Counsel for Plaintiffs 7 Ph.D., CPE, having been duly sworn, 8 examined and testified as follows: NICOLSON LAW GROUP 8 BY: MELISSA YEMMA, ESOUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035 9 1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063 10 Counsel for Defendant, 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc. 12 BY MS. YEMMA: 13 Q. Good morning, Dr. Vigilante. 14 A. Good morning. 15 Q. We've met before today, but for the 16 my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the 17 in this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of that happened back on December 19th of the 20 that happened back on December 19th of the 21 we're here today to take your deposition. 21 I know you've had other depositions	was tions? ng and record, ne defendant
512 E. Township Line Road 5 Blue Bell, PA 19422 6 Counsel for Plaintiffs 7 Ph.D., CPE, having been duly sworn, 8 examined and testified as follows: NICOLSON LAW GROUP 8 BY: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035 9 1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063 10 Counsel for Defendant, 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	was tions? ng and record, ne defendant
5 Blue Bell, PA 19422 6 Counsel for Plaintiffs 7 Ph.D., CPE, having been duly sworn, 8 examined and testified as follows: 9 THE REPORTER: Usual stipula 10 MR. LEVINE: Except for readir 11 signing. 12 BY MS. YEMMA: 13 Q. Good morning, Dr. Vigilante. 14 A. Good morning. 15 Q. We've met before today, but for the 16 my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the 17 in this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of that happened back on December 19th of 20 we're here today to take your depositions. 20 I know you've had other depositions	was tions? ng and record, ne defendant
8 examined and testified as follows:  NICOLSON LAW GROUP 8 BY: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035 9 1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063 10 Counsel for Defendant, 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 1 Know you've had other depositions	tions?  ng and  record,  ne defendant
NICOLSON LAW GROUP  BY: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035  1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063  Counsel for Defendant, Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  COUNCE II	ng and record, ne defendant
8 BY: MELISSA YEMMA, ESQUIRE Rose Tree Corporate II - Suite 6035 9 1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063 10 Counsel for Defendant, 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 22 32  BY MS. YEMMA: 10 MR. LEVINE: Except for readir 11 signing. 12 3 Q. Good morning, Dr. Vigilante. 14 A. Good morning. 15 Q. We've met before today, but for the 16 my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the 17 in this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of that happened back on December 19th of the we're here today to take your deposition. 21 I know you've had other depositions	ng and record, ne defendant
9 1400 North Providence Road Media, PA 19063  10 Counsel for Defendant, 11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  12 BY MS. YEMMA: 13 Q. Good morning, Dr. Vigilante. 14 A. Good morning. 15 Q. We've met before today, but for the 16 my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the 17 in this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of that happened back on December 19th of the we're here today to take your deposition. 21 I know you've had other depositions	record, ne defendant
Media, PA 19063  Counsel for Defendant, Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  Media, PA 19063  12  BY MS. YEMMA:  13  Q. Good morning, Dr. Vigilante.  14  A. Good morning.  15  Q. We've met before today, but for the  16  my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the  17  in this lawsuit that has been brought by All  18  Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And  19  I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of the products of the produ	ne defendant
Counsel for Defendant, Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  13 Q. Good morning, Dr. Vigilante.  14 A. Good morning.  15 Q. We've met before today, but for the my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent th my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent th my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent th my name company, and the Clouds. And my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent th my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent	ne defendant
Counsel for Defendant,  11 Electrolux Home Products, Inc.  12 13 14 15 16 17 In this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of 18 19 20 21 we're here today to take your deposition.  12 2 I know you've had other depositions	ne defendant
15 Q. We've met before today, but for the 16 my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the 17 in this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of a company to the comp	ne defendant
13 14 15 16 my name is Melissa Yemma. I represent the sign of the state of the stat	ne defendant
14 15 16 17 in this lawsuit that has been brought by All 18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of 19 that happened back on December 19th of 20 that happened back on December 19th of 21 we're here today to take your deposition. 21 I know you've had other depositions	
18 Insurance Company, and the Clouds. And 17 18 19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of 19 20 that happened back on December 19th of 21 we're here today to take your deposition. 21 22 I know you've had other depositions	
19 I'm sure you're familiar with, arises out of a sure you're hard to a sure you're familiar with, arises out of a sure you're familiar with a sure you'r	
18 19 20 that happened back on December 19th of 2 21 we're here today to take your deposition. 22 I know you've had other depositions	
that happened back on December 19th of 20 21 we're here today to take your deposition. 21 I know you've had other depositions	
21 22 I know you've had other depositions	2013. And,
22	
144	
23 past. Do you need me to review the rules	for a
24 deposition?	
3	5
1 A. I don't believe so.	
2 Q. I'll just remind you if you need to t	ake a
WITNESS: WILLIAM J. VIGILANTE, JR., Ph.D., CPE  3 break, just let me know. Okay, sound go	od?
BY MS. YEMMAPAGE 4 <b>4</b> A. Okay.	
5 Q. I am going to mark as Vigilante-1,	a copy of
5 PAGE PAGE 6 the Deposition Notice for today's depositi	on. I'm
NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED ATTACHED 7 handing that to you.	
Vigilante-1 Notice of Deposition 5 175 8 (Third Amended Notice of De	position
9 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 1 for	
8 (Retained my Ms. Yemma) 10 identification.)	
9 Vigilante-3 Dr. Vigilante's Report 17 177 10 Vigilante-4 11/1/15 CV 20 178  11 BY MS. YEMMA:	
11 Vigilante-5 7/18/16 Updated CV 29 179 12 Q. Have you seen a copy of that docu	ıment before
12 Vigilante-6 History of Expert Testimony 56 180  13 today?	
13 14 A. Yes.	
Vigilante-7 Notes from Teleconference 14 with Mike Stoddard 77 181 15 Q. Okay. And if you would turn to, I	believe
15 Vigilante-8 Skinny Warning Label 95 182 16 it's the last page of the document it sa'	
16 Vigilante-9 Updated version of warning corresponding 17 Exhibit A. Have you had an opportunity to	
17 to Illustration 1 121 183 18 that exhibit?	
18 Vigilante-10 Updated version of warning corresponding 19 A. I believe so.	
19 to Illustration 3 122 184 20 O Have you brought with you materia	als
20 Vigilante-11 Manufacturer's Guide 159 185  To Developing Consumer  21 responsive to Exhibit A?	
21 Product Instructions 22 A I have	
22 Vigilante-12 Excerpt from Chapter 36 Handbook of Human  23 Q. Okay. And before the deposition,	
23 Factors and Ergonomics 159 186 24 Vigilante-13 Owner's Guide 164 187  24 me a CD. Is that correct?	you handed

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

6 8 1 Α. 1 Yes. The deposition transcripts of David Fuller, 2 2 Q. Okay. And if you could, for the record, Mr. Brown, Mr. and Mrs. Cloud, Carl King. And, it 3 3 identify generally what is on that CD. looks like there's two copies of Steven Brown's 4 4 THE WITNESS: Oh, that report deposition. 5 5 for Purswell is on that CD. The dryer literature, that is contained in 6 6 MR. LEVINE: I thought you had a tab 4 of my notebook, that I produced last time. 7 7 thumb drive? And then the cleaning calls that I -- whether we 8 8 THE WITNESS: The thumb drive is discussed them at the Vitale matter, so a copy of 9 9 for me. The CD was for -those are in there, as well. 10 10 MR. LEVINE: Do you want to give The manuals for the Exemplar indicator 11 11 her the thumb drive, and take back the disk? lights, that we spoke about in the Vitale matter, 12 12 THE WITNESS: The thumb drive Example: Flex Foil Ducting. 13 13 doesn't have -- I can put everything on it. Then I have the other deposition summaries 14 14 How about if I take that back, and I'll give of the prior or other case depositions, including 15 15 you a thumb drive at the end of the Carl King's testimony in Neucum, Shelley Clausen, 16 16 David Fuller, in this matter, Steve Joeger; Carl deposition? 17 17 MS. YEMMA: That's perfectly fine. King, in the Gianferri matter; Carl King in the 18 THE WITNESS: I can put more files 18 Marquette matter; Carl King in the Shannon matter; 19 19 Carl King in the Vitale matter; Carl King in this on thumb drives. 20 20 matter. Rae Creiger in the Gianferri matter; MS. YEMMA: That's okay. 21 Let's go off the record. 21 Michael Ricklefs in the Gargiulo matter, 22 22 G-A-R-G-I-U-L-O; Michael Ricklefs in Gianferri; (Discussion held off the record.) 23 23 MS. YEMMA: Okay, back on the Brian Ripley in Gargiulo; Brian Ripley in Marquette; 24 24 Brian Ripley in State Farm, June 1st, 2012 in a record. 7 1 BY MS. YEMMA: 1 State Farm matter; Steven Brown in this matter. 2 2 Q. We took a short break. So with regard to My depo summaries from the Power case, which 3 3 the CD, I realize there's a copy of Dr. Purswell's includes Carl King, and then Carl King's testimony, 4 4 report, and it's plaintiff's position that that will trial testimony in Tyrell. 5 5 not be included in your file. But other than that And, then there's two other summaries in 6 6 there, but they were specific to another case, to report, what else is contained on that CD? 7 7 There's also a report, that's not on that the Power case. 8 8 CD, for Mr. Crabtree, the defense expert, Then I have a summary for Mr. and 9 9 Mrs. Vitale in there. So, it's a duplicate. Mr. Crabtree. So, they're on there, but we're going 10 10 to remove them. I have the references I cited in my report. 11 11 I have a PDF and JPEG of the Exemplar warnings that Ο. Okay. 12 Α. But, that contains all of my electric file. 12 are in my -- actually, the cleaning graphic, the 13 13 So there's discovery material in there. There's my cleaning graphic that's used in my report. The 14 14 invoices in there, three invoices in there, for this warnings PDF are my updated warnings. So, the 15 15 warnings in Cloud, I changed in a later report. So, case; my four-year testimony list; the multiple 16 16 notices of deposition; the engagement letters for I put a copy of that in the file, as well. 17 17 Mr. Hughes, and the one I sent for the deposition to Okay. Is there a -- not to interrupt you. 18 18 your office, the Notice of Deposition Scheduling but is there a hardcopy of that? 19 19 Order -- I'm just going through to make sure I know A. 20 what's in there -- my CV, and there is a cover 20 Could we get a hard copy? Ο. 21 21 letter from your office to Mr. Hughes, that I was MR. LEVINE: Okay. 22 22 THE WITNESS: It's just one PDF. copied on, or sent a copy of, regarding the 23 23 MR. LEVINE: Okay. Are you scheduling of depositions for Mr. Stoddard, myself, 24 24 and someone else. I don't remember who it is. connected to our WiFi?

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	10		12
1	THE WITNESS: I am not, but I could	1	Then, there's 121 DVRs, which is Electrolux
2	be.	2	Bates number 76 through 557; the airflow diagram,
3	MR. LEVINE: All right. Well, if	3	which is Bates number Electrolux 75; the Bill of
4	you want to just hand me your thumb drive,	4	Materials, which is Electrolux 40 through 45.
5	and I'll pop it onto my computer.	5	As I mentioned earlier, the Electrolux
6	MS. YEMMA: Is it on the CD?	6	Disclosures discovery documents Bates numbers 593
7	THE WITNESS: It's on the CD.	7	through 5613.
8	MS. YEMMA: It's on the CD.	8	And, then the depositions of Sergeant
9	MR. LEVINE: Oh, okay. But, I	9	Johnson, Michael Johnson, and then the incident
10	mean, if you want a physical copy for this	10	report from the fire department that responded.
11	deposition, I'd be happy to give it to you.	11	And, that should be all.
12	Where does it go on the CD,	12	MS. YEMMA: Okay. Ken, can you
13	folder-wise?	13	also have printed out the notes from the
14	THE WITNESS: It should be under	14	call with Mike Stoddard?
15	Depo Folder, Warnings.	15	MR. LEVINE: Sure.
16	MR. LEVINE: Okay.	16	Okay, and because I was doing
17	THE WITNESS: I'm actually	17	this I wasn't listening to it what was
18	connected to your WiFi as a visitor.	18	the context of the conversation with Mike
19	BY MS. YEMMA:	19	Stoddard?
20	Q. All right. Are we done?	20	THE WITNESS: Typically, I call
21	A. No, I've got a few more.	21	Mike on all these cases before I get his
22	Q. Okay. Then, keep going.	22	report so I know what he found.
23	A. I got the transcript for Carl King in this	23	MR. LEVINE: Did you have a
24	matter, the Cloud matter; the Electrolux's Answers	24	conversation before you prepared your
	11		13
		_	
1	to the Complaint, and the Bates documents	1 2	report?
2	EHP-Cloud 25 and 26, which were labels that were on	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	the dryer, or alleged to be on the dryer "claim"	4	MR. LEVINE: All right. Then, I
4	is a better word.		would be happy to give it to you.
5	My notes from a teleconference I had with	5	MR. YEMMA: Okay, thank you.
6	Mike Stoddard on January 14th, 2005; the report of	6	MR. LEVINE: And, where would I
7	Rob Buckley; a copy of the Amended Complaint; my	7	find it under this?
8	report.	8	THE WITNESS: It would be under
9	And then also on the CD is more of the	9	Depo Folder, and then it says, Mike
10	discovery information that was sent to me, including	10	Stoddard, T-C PDF.
11	the discovery responses of Electrolux, and the	11	MR. LEVINE: All right. This one?
12	report of Mike Stoddard.	12	(Indicating)
13	And, the scene photographs, or site	13	THE WITNESS: Yes, under Mike
14	photographs, from Crabtree; the opposing expert	14	Stoddard.
15	reports of Gerry Piombino, P-I-O-M-B-I-N-O, and John	15	MR. LEVINE: Mike Stoddard,
16 17	McHenry, and, the expert Electrolux Expert	16   17	telephone call?
	Disclosure two Electrolux disclosures, Bates		THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	numbers discovery documents Bates numbers	18   19	MR. LEVINE: All right.
19 20	EHP-Cloud 558 through 592, which are the two		MS. YEMMA: Thank you.
	articles by the Morrison guys.	20	BY MS. YEMMA:  Q. We had a conversation before we went on the
	O By Troy Morrison?		The man a conversation before we went on the
21	Q. By Trey Morrison?	1	
21 22	A. I'll get their names. Trey Morrison and	22	record this morning. In addition to the CD, you
21		1	

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

1	14		16
1	that binder, correct, which is open in front of you?	1	So I think that would be the two areas that
2	A. Yes.	2	would fall within that area of your question.
3	Q. Are there any documents in that binder, that	3	Q. When you say you've had a number of
4	are not on the CD?	4	Electrolux cases, can you approximate for me how
5	A. Yes.	5	many Electrolux cases you've had?
6	Q. Okay. And, if you could just identify what	6	A. I'm going to say about 12.
7	they are for the record?	7	Q. And I know sometimes experts are the last to
8	A. The transcripts of Sharon Cloud, Emil Cloud,	8	know, but do you know whether those are all open
9	and Michael Johnson, that I've highlighted. So,	9	cases?
10	there's a different version on the CD.	10	A. Some are open; some are settled.
11	And then I have a copy of the signed	11	Q. Can you approximate how many are open, or
12	engagement letter, that you sent yesterday, or the	12	how many are settled?
13	day before.	13	A. I would say about half are opened; half are
14	A cover letter dated July 7th, 2015 from	14	closed.
15	Patrick Hughes just listing the information he sent	15	Q. And, how many depositions have you given in
16	me on a thumb drive.	16	Electrolux cases?
17	And then another cover letter from	17	A. I would say, at least, five.
18	Mr. Hughes' office on August 13th, 2005, stating	18	Q. And, all the Electrolux cases involve
19	that he enclosed the deposition transcript of	19	clothes dryers?
20	Michael Johnson.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. When you mentioned the deposition	21	Q. And, are they all subrogation cases?
22	transcripts, that are in your notebook, you said	22	A. Yes.
23	there's a different version on the CD.	23	Q. What insurance carriers have you been
24	And, you mean by that there's a highlighted	24	retained by in those cases?
	15		17
1	version in your notebook, but not on the CD?	1	A. I know State Farm, and Allstate. I'm not
2	A. Yes.	2	sure if there's others.
3	Q. Okay. Other than the documents that are	3	Q. I'm going to mark a copy of your report.
			2. Thi going to mark a copy or your report.
4	contained in your notebook, and that are on the	4	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file
5	contained in your notebook, and that are on the CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark	4 5	
			So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file
5	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark	5	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your
5 6	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,	5 6	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.
5 6 7	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it, Ken, if that's okay.	5 6 7	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy
5 6 7 8	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it, Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's	5 6 7 8	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?
5 6 7 8 9 10	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on	5 6 7 8 9 10	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically",	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it, Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses a whole lot of different written treatises, and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.  (CD with file materials marked
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it, Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses a whole lot of different written treatises, and textbooks, and training, that I relied upon that I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigilante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.  (CD with file materials marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 2 for identification,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses a whole lot of different written treatises, and textbooks, and training, that I relied upon that I didn't specifically reference for this case.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.  (CD with file materials marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 2 for identification, and retained by Ms. Yemma;
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses a whole lot of different written treatises, and textbooks, and training, that I relied upon that I didn't specifically reference for this case.  And, then, of course, I've had a number of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.  (CD with file materials marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 2 for identification, and retained by Ms. Yemma;  Dr. Vigilante's report dated
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses a whole lot of different written treatises, and textbooks, and training, that I relied upon that I didn't specifically reference for this case.  And, then, of course, I've had a number of Electrolux cases in the past, that I relied upon in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigilante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.  (CD with file materials marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 2 for identification, and retained by Ms. Yemma;  Dr. Vigilante's report dated 1/20/16 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 3 for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CD and, actually, let's mark that we'll mark it as Vigilante-2, once you're finished with it,  Ken, if that's okay.  Are there any documents, apart from what's in the notebook, and on the CD, that you relied on in forming your opinions in this matter?  A. Specifically, no.  Q. Okay. When you say "specifically", generally, are there documents that you are relying on, that aren't contained in either the CD, or the notebook?  A. I would say there was, generally, my education, and training, and experience encompasses a whole lot of different written treatises, and textbooks, and training, that I relied upon that I didn't specifically reference for this case.  And, then, of course, I've had a number of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, Vigilante-2 will be the CD, with the file materials, and Vigliante-3 will be a copy of your report.  MS. YEMMA: Ken, do you need a copy of the report?  MR. LEVINE: No.  MS. YEMMA: And, Dr. Vigilante, do you want to work off of the copy in your notebook?  THE WITNESS: Please.  MS. YEMMA: Okay.  MR. LEVINE: And, the other document is on its way, as well.  MS. YEMMA: And, here it is.  (CD with file materials marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 2 for identification, and retained by Ms. Yemma;  Dr. Vigilante's report dated

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

	18		20
1	Q. Dr. Vigilante, we just marked, as	1	MS. YEMMA: Okay. I'm marking, as
2	Vigilante-3, a copy your report in this matter.	2	Vigilante-4, a copy of your CV.
3	And, when did you issue that report?	3	Ken, do you need a copy?
4	A. January 20, 2016.	4	MR. LEVINE: No, I don't need a
5	Q. Have you been asked to, or are you planning	5	copy unless I demand one.
6	to, issue any supplemental reports in this matter?	6	MS. YEMMA: Oh, okay.
7	A. I have not been asked to, and at this point	7	MR. LEVINE: You're doing great
8	I don't have an intention to write a supplemental	8	over there. I don't want to get in the way.
9	report.	9	MS. YEMMA: Okay.
10	Q. And the report, that we have marked as	10	(Dr. Vigilante's Curriculum Vitae
11	Vigilante-3, that's the only report you've issued in	11	dated 11/1/15 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 4
12	this matter; is that correct?	12	for identification.)
13	A. Yes.	13	BY MS. YEMMA:
14	Q. So since writing your report, or issuing	14	Q. Dr. Vigilante, I've just handed you a
15	your report on January 20th of 2016, have you	15	document that I've marked as Vigilante-4.
16	received any materials in connection with this	16	Is that is a copy of your CV?
17	matter?	17	A. It is a copy.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. And, is that a current copy?
19	Q. What materials have you received?	19	A. It is not.
20	A. The defense expert reports.	20	Q. It is not. Okay.
21	Q. Other than defense expert reports, anything	21	Do you have a current copy with you?
22	else?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Nothing else is coming to mind.	23	Q. And, maybe I can short circuit some
24	Q. And, I'll represent to you Electrolux has	24	questioning.
	19		21
1	produced additional discovery in this matter	1	Can you identify what the difference would
2	pursuant to a Court Order, and I can generally	2	be between Vigilante-4, and what your current CV is?
3	characterize it as materials related to	3	A. Specifically I cannot without going through
4	investigations that Carl King has performed with	4	it, but generally I think I just made some editing
5	regard to dryer fires.	5	changes.
6	Have you seen any of those materials? They	6	Q. Okay. So, if we could have a copy of that,
7	were produced in the last six weeks.	7	and
8	A. I don't believe so.	8	MR. LEVINE: Where is your most
9	Q. Are there any documents, or materials, that	9	current CV?
10	you reviewed in preparation for today's deposition,	10	THE WITNESS: It's on the CD, too,
11	that aren't included either in your notebook, or on	11	under Administration.
12	the CD?	12	MR. LEVINE: Clearly, my highest
13	A. No.	13	and best use has been found. It's under
14	Q. You indicated that you have been provided	14	Administration under
15 16	copies of the defense expert reports in this matter;	15 16	THE WITNESS: It's under Depo
17	is that correct? A. Yes.	17	Folder, Administration, CV.  MR. LEVINE: Okay. And, this is
18	Q. And, did you have an opportunity to review	18	the most recent version, Dr. Vigilante?
1 -0	those reports?	19	THE WITNESS: Yes. It's got a July
19			THE WITHLESS. TES. ILS YOU A JULY
19 20	•	20	date on the hottom
20	A. Yes.	20 21	date on the bottom.  MR_LEVINE: Okay, fair enough
20 21	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, does reviewing those reports change the</li></ul>	21	MR. LEVINE: Okay, fair enough.
20 21 22	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, does reviewing those reports change the opinions that you have expressed in your report</li></ul>	21 22	MR. LEVINE: Okay, fair enough. So, we will have it copied, and it
20 21	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, does reviewing those reports change the</li></ul>	21	MR. LEVINE: Okay, fair enough.

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	22		24
1	you.	1	photographs of Exemplar dryers, and the material
2	BY MS. YEMMA:	2	provided with the dryer, the manuals, et cetera,
3	Q. Dr. Vigilante, I last deposed you in, what	3	were sufficient.
4	we will refer to as the Vitale matter you're	4	Q. Okay. So in terms of, you mentioned,
5	familiar with that case?	5	Exemplar dryers. Have you seen photographs of
6	A. Yes.	6	Exemplar Electrolux dryers?
7	Q. Okay. And, that would have been on	7	A. I have seen photographs, and I have seen
8	April 26th of 2016.	8	them in person.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Okay. So, what photographs have you seen?
10	Q. Have you had any depositions since that	10	A. Hold on a minute. I didn't produce those in
11	date?	11	the files, so I have to go back into my own file.
12	A. In Electrolux matters, or	12	MR. LEVINE: Sir, are you saying
13	Q. In general.	13	it's on the disk, or not on the disk?
14	A. Yes.	14	THE WITNESS: Not on the disk. I
15	Q. Okay. Do you have an updated copy of your	15	didn't use it for this case.
16	testimony history?	16	MR. LEVINE: Oh, okay.
17	A. Yes.	17	Off the record.
18	MS. YEMMA: And, can you copy that,	18	(Discussion held off the record.)
19	too, Ken. I won't bother marking what I	19	THE WITNESS: I can't seem to find
20	have because that's the older version.	20	my folder of Exemplar Electrolux,
21	MR. LEVINE: Where can I find that,	21	Frigidaire, and Kenmore dryers, that I have
22	sir, where your testimony was as of	22	collected over the years.
23	August 17th?	23	BY MS. YEMMA:
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.	24	Q. How have you collected those materials?
	23		25
1	MR. LEVINE: All right.	1	Various cases that I have been involved
2	MS. YEMMA: Okay. And, we'll come	2	with. Sometimes Mike Stoddard has them in stock,
3	back to that.	3	and he sends me pictures of them, when I want them.
4	BY MS. YEMMA:	4	Sometimes I pull them off the web. I think that's
5	Q. Dr. Vigilante, you still have your own	5	the three main ways.
6	company, Vigilante Forensic?	6	Q. Do you have an understanding where the
7	A. Yes.	7	labels, the on-product labels, were located on the
8	Q. Any employees?	8	Clouds' dryer prefire?
9	A. Not yet.	9	A. Generally, yes.
10	Q. Okay. Working on it?	10	Q. Okay. And, what's your general
11	A. Working on it.	11	understanding?
12	Q. Okay. With regard to this matter, have you	12	A. There was a label on the inside door frame.
13	had an opportunity to examine the Cloud dryer?	13	I don't know what you want to call that one, but
14	A. No.	14	it's a kind of a tall skinny one.
15	Q. Have you seen any photographs of the dryer?	15	And then there was a label on the back of
16		1	
	A. I believe so, yes.	16	the dryer adjacent to the this one was a gas
17		16 17	the dryer adjacent to the this one was a gas dryer, so it's typically in the middle kind of
	A. I believe so, yes.		
17	<ul><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. Have you asked to see the Cloud dryer in</li></ul>	17	dryer, so it's typically in the middle kind of
17 18	<ul><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. Have you asked to see the Cloud dryer in person?</li></ul>	17 18	dryer, so it's typically in the middle kind of middle center of the back of the dryer on a gas
17 18 19	<ul><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. Have you asked to see the Cloud dryer in person?</li><li>A. In person, no.</li></ul>	17 18 19	dryer, so it's typically in the middle kind of middle center of the back of the dryer on a gas dryer.
17 18 19 20	<ul><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. Have you asked to see the Cloud dryer in person?</li><li>A. In person, no.</li><li>Q. Any reason why not?</li></ul>	17 18 19 20	dryer, so it's typically in the middle kind of middle center of the back of the dryer on a gas dryer.  There is also, typically, a model serial
17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you asked to see the Cloud dryer in person?</li> <li>A. In person, no.</li> <li>Q. Any reason why not?</li> <li>A. It's not necessary.</li> </ul>	17 18 19 20 21	dryer, so it's typically in the middle kind of middle center of the back of the dryer on a gas dryer.  There is also, typically, a model serial number label that is, again, on the inside door

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	26		28
1	listing that in this report. So, it may not have	1	A. To my understanding, that one was also black
2	been on this particular model.	2	and white.
3	Q. So, we have the label inside the door frame?	3	Q. And with regard to both labels, where did
4	A. Two labels inside the door frame.	4	your understanding that they were black and white
5	Q. Two labels inside the door frame.	5	come from? Is that from seeing the unburned
6	A. Maybe, a third.	6	Exemplar dryers?
7	Q. Maybe, a third. So, there would be the one	7	A. Well, they were off the I'm sorry. It
8	that's long and skinny?	8	would have been through the Electrolux information
9	A. That's the warning label.	9	produced in discovery, and my conversations with
10	Q. And, then we have the model and serial	10	Mike Stoddard regarding the timeframe of when the
11	number, we'll call it, plate.	11	dryers were manufactured.
12	A. Sometimes it's a plate; sometimes it's a	12	Q. And, what's your understanding of when the
13	label. I've got it noted in my report as a label.	13	Clouds' dryer was manufactured?
14	Q. Okay. I'll use your word. So, the model	14	A. June, 2003.
15	and serial number label.	15	Q. Okay. Dr. Vigilante, have you written any
16	And, then what would be the third?	16	articles, or published any work, regarding warnings
17	A. The third one is, at times there's a	17	on clothes dryers?
18	statement regarding installation in mobile homes.	18	A. Not specifically to clothes dryers.
19	But, it's not always listed as a see, the problem	19	Q. Generally as to clothes dryers?
20	is that the labels are destroyed in the fire quite	20	A. Well, I have done a number of research
21	often, so we know what's generally on there. But	21	studies, and published that data, in respect to how
22	then Electrolux produces what they say was on there,	22	to present warnings, and the factors that affect the
23	and sometimes they produce the mobile home label,	23	adequacy of product warnings, which would be
24	and sometimes they don't. So, I don't know if it's	24	applicable to clothes dryers, but nothing specific
	27		29
1	an issue with the litigation process, or if it's	1	for clothes dryers.
2	something that they don't put on all the dryers.	2	Q. Okay. Is that listed on your CV?
3	But it wasn't produced in this case, that I'm aware	3	A. Yes.
4	of.	4	MS. YEMMA: Okay. So I will mark
5	Q. Okay. Have you seen a color I'll refer	5	this as Vigilante-5.
6	to it as a color version of the label inside the	6	(Current CV dated 7/18/16 marked
7	door frame?	7	Vigilante Exhibit No. 5 for identification.)
8	A. That's kind of a trick question. So, I have	8	BY MS. YEMMA:
9	seen colored versions of it, and I have seen	9	Q. And, you have a copy in front of you; right?
10	black-and-white versions of it. And, again,	10	A. Yes, Ma'am.
11	Flactrolius has used over the years different	11	Q. So if you could point out the articles you
12	Electrolux has used, over the years, different		
1 1 2	versions of that label.	12	were just referencing, I would appreciate it.
13	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the	13	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second
14	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a	13 14	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and
14 15	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words	13 14 15	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the
14 15 16	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the	13 14 15 16	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.
14 15 16 17	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the words "do not" were printed in white text on a black	13 14 15 16 17	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.  Q. Are those articles, 2 through 5, are they
14 15 16 17 18	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the words "do not" were printed in white text on a black background. And, then there is an 800 number also	13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.  Q. Are those articles, 2 through 5, are they pubically available?
14 15 16 17 18 19	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the words "do not" were printed in white text on a black background. And, then there is an 800 number also provided in white text on a black background.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.  Q. Are those articles, 2 through 5, are they pubically available?  A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the words "do not" were printed in white text on a black background. And, then there is an 800 number also provided in white text on a black background.  So it's my understanding the label, that was	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.  Q. Are those articles, 2 through 5, are they pubically available?  A. Yes.  Q. I can search them on Google?
14 15 16 17 18 19	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the words "do not" were printed in white text on a black background. And, then there is an 800 number also provided in white text on a black background.  So it's my understanding the label, that was on this dryer, did not have any color other than	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.  Q. Are those articles, 2 through 5, are they pubically available?  A. Yes.  Q. I can search them on Google?  A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	versions of that label.  I've got it noted in my report that the majority of the label was printed in black text on a white background. However, there were signal words "warning" in French and Spanish, along with the words "do not" were printed in white text on a black background. And, then there is an 800 number also provided in white text on a black background.  So it's my understanding the label, that was	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Sure. Starting on Page 5, the second article, the third article, the fourth article, and the fifth article, would be generally related to the topic of presenting more information for dryers.  Q. Are those articles, 2 through 5, are they pubically available?  A. Yes.  Q. I can search them on Google?

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

30 32 1 Starting from Vigilante 1998, Vigilante 1 information that they already know, and then A. 2 2 1997 -- I think those are the two on Page 6. dismissing the rest of the list. 3 3 There's two other articles on Page 6, but I So, this happens a lot with products that 4 4 just can't remember what they were. They dealt with fall under some standard, like a UL standard, or an 5 hazard perceptions of consumer products. They may 5 ANSI standard, where there's a list of warnings that 6 6 be related, but I just can't remember what's in are required for all products that meet that 7 7 those articles at this moment. standard. 8 8 Q. Okay. What generally happens is the agencies, that 9 9 Page 7, Vigilante/Wogalter, '99; built that list through the years, and it always Vigilante/Wogalter, '98; Vigilante/Wogalter, '97; 10 10 starts off, particularly with electrical products, 11 11 Vigilante/Wogalter, '96. "Do Not Get Wet", "Don't Expose to Water", and 12 12 They're two articles 1997. things of that nature. And, what the manufacturer Q. 13 13 Α. I'm sorry. ends up doing is putting that list in there in the 14 14 Q. Is it both of those? manner in which the standards body presented it, and 15 15 Α. Yes. then putting their unique, unknown hazards later in 16 Okay. And --16 Ο. the list. 17 No. I'm sorry, the first one. 17 So, of course, when a user gets it, and they Α. 18 18 Q. start seeing stuff that's open and obvious, they 19 19 On the Prioritization of Safety Warnings in just dismiss the rest of the list, and never get to 20 20 Product Manuals. those unique and non open and obvious hazards. 21 Vigilante/Wogalter, '96, again the ordering 21 In conjunction with those articles, did you 22 22 of safety warnings in product manuals; Wogalter, do any testing? 23 23 Conzola and Vigilante both 2006, and 1999. They were based upon running subjects 24 24 through an experiment. Page 8, all of the publications and 31 1 presentations listed on the top of Page 8 prior to 1 So when you say "running subjects through an 2 2 experiment," we're talking about live people; right? the Technical Reports. 3 3 On Page 7, the article that's called "On the A. 4 4 Ο. Okay. And, can you explain the experiment? Prioritization of Safety Warnings in Product 5 5 There are multiple experiments that were Manuals," and I guess there are two parts. There's A. 6 6 also one in 1996 that has a similar title, "The of done. Some of them looked at having the subjects --7 7 Safety Warnings in Product Manuals," do see that? if I remember correctly, we provided individual 8 8 statements from product manuals. We had them rank 9 9 Ο. Can you sum up in a nutshell what those them by obviousness, rank them by hazardous, and 10 10 articles say? then rank them by preferred way of being presented 11 11 Yes. I did a string of studies related to with the information. 12 presenting safety information and warnings in 12 And, then we compared it to what the -- the 13 13 product manuals. And, basically, what the studies preferred way of ranking it to what the 14 14 find is that the manner in which you present manufacturers had done. 15 critical safety information will affect the 15 And then later studies, the experiments were likelihood and chance that a user, a product user, 16 16 set up where we took the manuals for the products, 17 17 will see it, rate it, and then have a chance to and manipulated the way in which the warning lists 18 18 comply with it. at the front of the manuals were presented, and 19 19 So one of the recommendations was that you looked at the amount of time that people spent 20 need to put the most important, and least likely to 20 reading the manuals, and the warnings, the amount of 21 21 be of known information, that is not open and information they were able to recall, and recognize, 22 obvious, first in your presentation of safety 22 from the manual, and then self-reported behavior, I 23 23 warnings. Otherwise, you run the risk of readers -believe, of how much of the warnings, and 24 24 users reading being presented with open and obvious information, they read.

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

	34		36
1	Q. What type of product, or products, are	1	to go.
2	involved in those studies?	2	Q. Was that, in Vitale, we talked about the
3	A. They were mostly power tools.	3	IdeaScan?
4	Q. And, were you directly involved in	4	A. Yes. That would be an example.
5	conducting the studies?	5	Q. Okay, an example.
6	A. Yes.	6	Were there other products at IBM that you
7	Q. And, were you working with others in	7	worked on with regard to the adequacy of on-product
8	carrying out the studies, or working by yourself?	8	warnings?
9	A. I had help.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. Is this when you were in graduate	10	Q. Okay. What were some other examples?
11	school, that timeframe?	11	A. I think we also, maybe I don't remember
12	A. Yes.	12	what else we talked about in Vitale, but the
13	Q. What conclusions, if any, did you draw after	13	scanners. I did work on warnings for keyboards;
14	conducting those studies with regard to the	14	warnings for computer monitors; warnings for tape
15	Prioritization of Warnings in Owner's Manuals?	15	drives, for wireless PCM cards; warnings for our
16	A. Again, you need to present the important non	16	storage systems.
17	open, and obvious, hazards first. If you present	17	I did work on the QuickStart guides for
18	product users with well-known, or open and obvious	18	computers, and a bunch of the peripherals that are
19	hazards in your list of warnings, they're going to	19	attached to computers, like input devices, mice
20	stop reading them, and they'll never get to the	20	keyboards, monitors, cameras, things like that.
21	unique non open and obvious hazards associated with	21	I don't recall anymore at the moment.
22	the product.	22	They're the ones that are easily popping into my
23	Q. So with regard to power tools, what would be	23	mind.
24	an example of a not open and obvious hazard, if you	24	Q. So with regard to products at IBM, did any
	35		37
1	35 remember? I know we're going back.	1	of them involve a risk of fire?
1 2		1 2	
	remember? I know we're going back.		of them involve a risk of fire?
2	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There	2	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't
2 3	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark,	2	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.
2 3 4	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's	2 3 4	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in
2 3 4 5	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to	2 3 4 5	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with
2 3 4 5 6	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall	2 3 4 5 6	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.
2 3 4 5 6 7	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.	2 3 4 5 6 7	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the factors that affect readability, reading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?  A. The Nemire and Vigilante.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the factors that affect readability, reading comprehension, likelihood of seeing the information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?  A. The Nemire and Vigilante.  Q. The one at the top, okay. That would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the factors that affect readability, reading comprehension, likelihood of seeing the information.  When I was with IBM, I looked at, again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?  A. The Nemire and Vigilante.  Q. The one at the top, okay. That would be 2015.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the factors that affect readability, reading comprehension, likelihood of seeing the information.  When I was with IBM, I looked at, again, adequacy of on-product warning labels, and how to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?  A. The Nemire and Vigilante.  Q. The one at the top, okay. That would be 2015.  A. Page 7, Vigilante/Wogalter, '99;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the factors that affect readability, reading comprehension, likelihood of seeing the information.  When I was with IBM, I looked at, again, adequacy of on-product warning labels, and how to be sure that I was providing a warning that would grab	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?  A. The Nemire and Vigilante.  Q. The one at the top, okay. That would be 2015.  A. Page 7, Vigilante/Wogalter, '99; Vigilante/Wogalter, '97,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remember? I know we're going back.  A. I can't think of anything offhand. There might be, for example, a potential for a spark, maybe. But a non open and obvious is easier. It's something like, don't use in don't expose to water, or something like that. But, I don't recall exactly what the non open and obvious ones were.  Q. So the studies that you performed, it seems like they were focused solely on the information contained in the manual as opposed to on-product labels; is that correct?  A. For that series of studies, it was.  Q. Okay. Have you conducted any studies having to do with the adequacy of on-product labels?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, what studies have you performed?  A. In graduate school, I've done studies on the factors that affect readability, reading comprehension, likelihood of seeing the information.  When I was with IBM, I looked at, again, adequacy of on-product warning labels, and how to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of them involve a risk of fire?  A. Most of them were risk no, I don't believe any of them were risk of fire.  Q. Okay. Let's go back to when you were in grad school, and you talked about doing studies with regard to on-product warnings.  Were there any articles published as a result of that research?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. Can you point me to those in your CV?  A. Sure. We went through a bunch of it earlier.  On Page 5, the first is Joyce, 1999. On Page 6, none on Page 6 were on-product warnings in graduate school. There is one dealing with on-product warnings, but it was done last year.  Q. Which one is that?  A. The Nemire and Vigilante.  Q. The one at the top, okay. That would be 2015.  A. Page 7, Vigilante/Wogalter, '99;

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

38 40 1 Vigilante/Wogalter, '96 is related to that set of 1 do with over-the-counter medications. 2 2 The three main lines of research I was 3 3 1999, Vigilante, Conzola, and Vigilante was responsible for were the product manuals, and the 4 4 in grad school. And, 2006 was a continuation of ordering of information product manuals; the 5 that work in 2006 after graduate school. 5 formatting of warning labels for over-the-counter 6 So the one in 1999, "Applying Usability 6 medications, and then the presentation of risk and 7 Engineering Principles", the last one on the page --7 benefit information websites for direct consumer 8 8 A. Yes advertisements of prescription pharmaceuticals. 9 9 -- that one is related to 2006, Chapter 38? So, that's why there's a lot of articles 10 Yes. The '99 was a result of a -- it was 10 related to those topics. 11 11 one of my preliminary examination questions from one So with regard to the second category of 12 of my committee members. And after I put it 12 formatting the labels for the over-the-counter 13 13 together, my advisor asked me to publish it. So, we medication, are we talking about, like, what's 14 submitted it for publication to ANSI Z535 as an 14 directly on the bottle, or what's on the packaging, 15 addendum to the Z535.4 standard. It was not 15 or both? 16 16 accepted in the form. So I went, and with the help So the studies involve -- when they were Α. 17 of Mr. Conzola, presented it, and published it, at 17 products, actual products used, the studies involved 18 the Human Factor and Ergonomics Annual Meeting. And 18 the bottles. 19 19 then for the 2006 textbook chapter, we just expanded Some of the studies, I believe, were more 20 upon the original work that was published in '99. 20 mockup, paper/pencil mockup of labels, so there 21 So, in conjunction with that work, were you 21 wasn't an actual container involved. 22 22 looking at specific products? But when a physical container or product was 23 23 There was guidelines for designing warnings involved, it was the actual product. 24 for all different types of products, consumer and 24 Okay. And, what type of studies did you 39 41 1 commercial. 1 perform with regard to the on-product labels for 2 2 Q. General, not specific to any product? over-the-counter medications? 3 3 There were multiple over the years. They Α. Correct. 4 4 Ο. Okay. looked at presentation of information on the product 5 5 Α. They're techniques and tools that are labels, preferred presentation of information. And, 6 6 the results were very similar to the work on the applicable to all warnings. 7 7 Q. Anything on Page 8 with regard to on-product product manuals where people were looking for stuff 8 8 warnings? that they didn't know, and stuff related to usage 9 9 On Page 8, the top one was associated with that they didn't know, to be given higher priority, 10 10 the ANSI headers. So, like, warning, danger, and how it's presented on the product than 11 11 caution. But, it wasn't specific to on-product. It information that was not relevant to them, or stuff 12 could be on-product, or it could be solely a safety 12 that they didn't care about, or already knew. 13 13 sign, as well. Two, the other big line of that research was 14 14 2006 was not during grad school. 2003 was how to present information on the labels to make 15 15 sure people are able to notice it's there, be able after grad school. 2001 was finishing grad school. 16 16 So, they were all related to -- the 2003 and 2001 to facilitate reading. So, particularly with 17 17 were related to formatting label information to elderly, or older users, smaller prints create big 18 18 facilitate knowledge acquisition and readability by problems. The use of wide spacing, and bulleted 19 19 lists, again facilitates reading. And anytime you both younger and older adults. 20 Okay. What type of products were involved 20 can facilitate reading of a product label, product Ο. 21 21 warning label, you are going to increase the number in that article? 22 22 In that study, they were over-the-counter of people that actually read the entire label. 23 23 So if you do not provide those facilitation medication labels. 24 24 It looks like a lot of the articles have to techniques, people either ignore, or they stop

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

42 44 1 reading the label, or they can't read the label. 1 labels like that, it's because they're presenting 2 How did you go about evaluating that? I 2 both the information in better format, but it's also 3 mean, there are people involved in the study; 3 because they're also providing it in Spanish and 4 4 correct? English -- or Spanish and French -- so, multiple 5 Most of them were experiments that involved 5 Α. languages. So, the pullout gives them greater real 6 6 live subjects. estate to present the information in three, or 7 7 Okay. If we can imagine a bottle of multiple languages. 8 8 medication, there is less real estate, for lack of a So if there's a pullout label, would there 9 9 better description, than on a power tool, for still be instructions, or warnings, on the actual 10 example. Do you agree? 10 bottle? 11 11 Well, yes. Typically, the medication A. It is the bottle. So, it's a label is 12 12 product containers vary in size. So, there's attached to the bottle. 13 13 small -- as the Advil container -- travel size, up I'm sorry, I misunderstood. So the label 14 14 to, maybe, a gallon jug, depending upon what it is. would encompass the whole bottle, but there would 15 15 So one of the things that we looked at and then also be, I guess, a pullout section? 16 recommended based upon the study was to use, what 16 Α. Typically, the way it's used is there is a 17 are called, pullout labels now. And, it's not 17 label on the front, a branding label, marketing 18 18 really relevant to something as large as a dryer label, with some warning information. And then the 19 19 where you have a lot of label space. But if we're back label, that's affixed to the container, will 20 looking at smaller containers, whether they be 20 pull out from the back of the container. But, the 21 medications, or chemicals, that you find at Home 21 last page of the pullout is glued to, or adhered to, 22 22 Depot, or Lowe's, places like that, if you use a the container. So it just accordions out from the 23 23 pullout label, you can greatly expand the amount of container. And then when you're with it, you can 24 24 real estate you have to present the information, and put it back in, and reattach it. 43 45 1 present it, and format it, in a way that will 1 Q. Can you tear off the pullout label? 2 2 facilitate reading, and knowledge acquisition, and A. Sure, you can, yes. 3 3 Again, if you tore off the label, there are 4 4 The pullout label, is it in any way attached no warnings that are left on the bottle; is that 5 to the bottle? 5 correct? 6 6 Α. Yes A. Whatever is on the front, and then whatever 7 7 Q. How is it attached? is on the back page that is still stuck to the 8 8 The ones that we were using, it was as easy 9 9 as taking a sheet of paper, folding it, and But if you tear off the label, it would be 10 10 laminating it, and then putting a little bit of missing. 11 11 tacky glue on the corner to keep it closed. Okay. Are there any studies that you 12 Manufacturers now have more, I've seen both 12 participate in, or articles that you published, that 13 prescription, and not prescription, medication and, 13 have to do with on-product warnings, not on 14 again, products sold at Home Depot and Lowe's, 14 over-the-counter medication, either in grad school, 15 15 or after? whether they're paints, chemicals, stains, glues, 16 16 Yes. adhesives, what have you, where they're more of, A. 17 like, an accordion style where, again, they're 17 Ο. Okay. And, what would those be? 18 initially, with a little bit of glue, glued closed, 18 I can do it chronologically. In grad 19 19 and then the user just -- there's usually an school, we looked at on-product warnings for such 20 20 indicator that says "pull here", or "expand label things as car seats, file cabinets, keyboards, 21 21 battery jumper cables. Those are ones that are here", or what have you, a direction where to pull, 22 22 and then you pull the label out, and it'll accordion popping to mind from grad school. 23 23 out. At IBM, we went through those earlier. They 24 24 ran the gamut from scanners to wireless PC cards, Typically, when they are using the accordion

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	46		48
1	tape drives, the monitors, the keyboards, the mice,	1	Q. What about with regard to the others, did
2	tape drives to storage systems.	2	they have anything to do with litigation, or outside
3	Q. And then after IBM, anything?	3	of litigation, if you can recall?
4	A. After IBM, I haven't done after IBM, the	4	A. The only one I recall is the shooting
5	studies I've done have been more heuristic testing,	5	target. I got that as a referral from a client that
6	more hallway testing, on-product labels.	6	I did work for on a personal injury case.
7	So I have been retained on behalf of several	7	And the guy, who developed the reusable
8	manufacturers to look at, and assess, and provide,	8	target system, was a friend of his, maybe a
9	recommendations to either their on-product	9	friend-client, and that's how I got referred to that
10	labelling, or their accompanying literature.	10	case.
11	Q. Can you list those companies for me?	11	Q. But the reusable target wasn't at issue in a
12	A. I did a railing, a decorative railing, for a	12	lawsuit?
13	company that was I don't remember the name of the	13	A. No.
14	company, but they were sold at Home Depot. And, I	14	Q. Okay. So when you were retained by the
15	got involved with it as a forensic case.	15	manufacturers, what were you asked to do, in
16	And then after the forensic case, the	16	general?
17	manufacturer asked me for recommendations on redoing	17	A. Generally is assess the warnings for
18	their literature.	18	products, give recommendations on how to improve
19	Q. Were you retained by the manufacturer in	19	them, point out where their shortcomings were.
20	A. In that case?	20	Q. So, these were all products that had
21	Q. Right.	21	on-product warnings?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Had them, or were going to have.
23	Q. Okay.	23	The decorative railing, it was the company
24	A. I did a horse training bridle for a company	24	material. The railing, itself, didn't have any
	47		49
1	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a	1	<b>49</b> warnings.
1 2		1 2	
	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a	l .	warnings.
2	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the	2	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse
2 3	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I	2 3	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't
2 3 4	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's	2 3 4	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.
2 3 4 5	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the	2 3 4 5	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing.
2 3 4 5 6	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.	2 3 4 5 6	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings. Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any
2 3 4 5 6 7	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride	2 3 4 5 6 7	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse</li> <li>was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any</li> <li>on-product warnings?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?</li> <li>A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember how the person was injured</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall. Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did. Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did.  Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable target, are these all situations where you have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did.  Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a hotel, let's say, and there's a railing in front of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable target, are these all situations where you have been retained by a product manufacturer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall. Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did. Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a hotel, let's say, and there's a railing in front of you, it should be designed for load bearing to use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable target, are these all situations where you have been retained by a product manufacturer?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	warnings. And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall. Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did. Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a hotel, let's say, and there's a railing in front of you, it should be designed for load bearing to use as a guard to keep people from falling off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable target, are these all situations where you have been retained by a product manufacturer?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, I know you mentioned the decorative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did.  Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a hotel, let's say, and there's a railing in front of you, it should be designed for load bearing to use as a guard to keep people from falling off the elevation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable target, are these all situations where you have been retained by a product manufacturer?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, I know you mentioned the decorative railing initially started with in conjunction with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall. Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did. Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a hotel, let's say, and there's a railing in front of you, it should be designed for load bearing to use as a guard to keep people from falling off the elevation.  The railing part of the problem with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a number of years ago. I did warnings for a trailer-based tree chipper machine. I did the packaging warnings for a kitty litter product. I did warnings for a snow removal device, that's attached to a forklift, for removing snow from the top of tractor-trailers.  I assessed warnings for an amusement ride involving UTV's. I did warnings for a shooting target.  Q. I think we talked about that in Vitale, the target, a reusable target?  A. Yes, reusable target.  Q. Anything else, that you can think of?  A. Nothing else is coming to mind at the moment.  Q. So the list that you just gave me, starting with the decorative railing through the reusable target, are these all situations where you have been retained by a product manufacturer?  A. Yes.  Q. Now, I know you mentioned the decorative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	warnings.  And, then the training bridle for the horse was also the company literature; it, itself, didn't have any on-product warnings.  Q. Okay. Let's talk about the railing. So, you said that it did not have any on-product warnings?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. And the lawsuit, that you were initially involved in, did that involve an injury to someone, if you recall?  A. I don't recall, but I imagine it did.  Q. Do you remember how the person was injured with regard to the railing, or allegedly injured?  A. Yes. They used the railing as a support structure, and it was intended for a decorative, non elevated use. So if you go out to a balcony at a hotel, let's say, and there's a railing in front of you, it should be designed for load bearing to use as a guard to keep people from falling off the elevation.

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

50 52 1 but it wasn't designed to be load bearing. So if 1 associated with the product, and the simplicity of 2 2 there was any pressure put against it, it would the product. 3 3 fail. And I think what happened in the case is that How did you reach that conclusion with 4 4 regard to marketing materials? somebody bought it, and used it in an elevated 5 position as a barrier, and it failed. 5 Specifically, I don't recall. Generally, it 6 6 And the work you did after the litigation, would be in conjunction with having an understanding 7 did you recommend that an on-product label be added 7 of how the product was used, who was going to use 8 8 to the decorative railing? the product, who it was intended to be used by, 9 9 I don't recall. where it was intended to be used by. And, then 10 Okay. How about the training bridle, that 10 looking at what the intentions of the product 11 11 product did not have an on-product warning at the designer and seller were to the way they were 12 12 beginning of your retention? marketing the product. 13 13 Α. It didn't. So, the product was intended for use by 14 14 Okay. And, what were you asked to do by the experienced trainers. But the way they marketed the 15 15 manufacturer with regard to that product? product, it gave the impression that it could be 16 16 They were in the process of designing, and used by inexperienced people. And if it's a product 17 developing, the product. It was the first of its 17 that is meant for folks having a certain level of 18 kind. So they asked me to come in, and look at the 18 training and experience, you can't market it as 19 19 material that they were planning on providing with something that anybody can go and pick up and train 20 it, both with the -- I can't remember exactly how it 20 a horse with. 21 was sold, but it was sold in a container, a 21 Did you conduct any studies with the last 22 22 packaging. I don't remember exactly if it was subject in conjunction with your retention by the 23 23 rigid, or nonrigid, but there were instructions that product manufacturer? 24 24 went with the package. If I did any, it would have been hallway 51 53 1 And then there was marketing material that 1 testing at Robson. 2 they were looking at, how they were going to market 2 How do you define hallway testing? 3 it. And, they asked me to look at both the 3 Basically, taking the information you're 4 instructions and warnings in the literature that was 4 assessing, either existing, or your proposed 5 5 provided with the product, and also the marketing changes, and running by colleagues that are 6 6 information. accessible in the next office, down the hallway, and 7 7 So with regard to the items that you looked so forth. 8 8 at, the instructions, and warnings, and the In your profession, is that an acceptable 9 9 marketing material, did you make any recommendation, method of evaluating a warning, the adequacy of a 10 10 or any changes, with regard to those materials? warning? 11 11 A. A. Sure. It's a tool. 12 Q. And, were they accepted by the manufacturer? 12 Q. What other tools are available to assess 13 A. As far as I know. 13 adequacy of a warning? 14 14 Q. Okay. Do you know if the products were in There's heuristic testing, which is 15 use? 15 essentially a review of the material by a person 16 16 A. trained in the subject matter. So if it's a 17 Ο. Okay. And, what were your recommendations? 17 warnings issue, someone who's got a warnings 18 18 I don't remember specifically. I can tell background that train in the issues related to 19 19 with the -warnings. 20 Ο. Generally is fine. 20 There's comparison with standards, and the 21 21 -- with the marketing stuff, they were quidelines and literature that are out there related 22 making -- they were making claims that weren't 22 to warnings, if it's a warning, and the product at 23 23 realistic. And, so, I had concerns that they would issue. 24 24 be setting people up for misperceiving the hazard There's hallway testing. There's paper and

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	54		56
1	pencil, or mockup testing, where you're creating	1	Q. Okay. With regard to any of the other
2	mockups with basically a sheet of paper with some	2	products that we talked about, did you use any
3	thoughts and drawings on it.	3	usability testing?
4	There is more formal experimentation with	4	A. Not for the ones that we just went through.
5	live subjects, as in usability testing, where you're	5	Q. Have you used usability testing to assess
6	bringing folks into a usability lab, or a lab, and	6	the adequacy of on-product labels?
7	presenting them with a product and/or warnings.	7	A. Sure.
8	There's focus group assessments that can be	8	Q. When have you used usability testing?
9	done. There's competitive evaluation where you're	9	A. When I was with IBM.
10	evaluating your product, or your warning, against	10	Q. Okay.
11	those provided by competitors.	11	MR. LEVINE: Since you paused for a
12	There's benchmark evaluation where you set a	12	second, it is 11:35, and I have a lunch menu
13	set of requirements at the start of development, and	13	here.
14	then at the end of development determine whether or	14	MS. YEMMA: Sure. We can take a
15	not you've met those requirements.	15	few-minutes break.
16	So, there's multiple different ways to go	16	(Short recess.)
17	about assessing both product design, and warnings.	17	MS. YEMMA: Dr. Vigilante, before
18	Q. Do you have a favorite?	18	we go any further, I'm going to mark your
19	A. It just depends on what's applicable to the	19	current testimony list as Vigilante-6. And,
20	situation.	20	that's dated August 17th, 2016.
21	Q. How do you decide that?	21	And, I will show it to you.
22	A. It's decided based upon the material that's	22	(Dr. Vigilante's current testimony
23	being assessed. It's probably based upon timeframe.	23	list dated 8/17/16 marked Vigilante Exhibit
24	It could be based upon resources. There's multiple	24	No. 6 for identification.)
	55		57
1	things that can come into play.	1	BY MS. YEMMA:
2	Q. Are there advantages, and disadvantages, of	2	Q. Is that your current testimony list.
3	each method?	3	A. It is not.
4	A. Sure.	4	Q. It is not?
5	Q. Okay. So with regard to the horse am I	5	MR. LEVINE: The one I printed up
6	calling the right horse training bridle? That's	6	was not?
7	what I wrote down.	7	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. There was
8	A. Yes.	8	one more deposition I added. And, you know
9	Q. Okay. The horse training bridle, you chose	9	what I did I know what I did. Here you
10	to do the hallway testing; is that right?	10	go. It is this page. So, there's a page 7
11	A. I may have if I did a live testing, a live	11	of 7.
12	person testing.	12	MS. YEMMA: Yes, I think it's
13	MR. LEVINE: Yes, not live horses.	13	missing a page because it's 6 of 7. So, is
14	THE WITNESS: No.	14	it just missing the last page?
15	MR. LEVINE: Please, be specific.	15	THE WITNESS: I think so, yes.
16	MS. YEMMA: That's very important,	16	MS. YEMMA: Okay. So we just need
17	yes.	17	to copy that page, and attach it to the
18	BY MS. YEMMA:	18	exhibit. So, let's do that.
19	Q. And if you had chosen to do the hallway	19	THE WITNESS: Now, the electronic
20	testing, because I know you're not sure, do you know	20	copy you have, I think only has 6 pages of
21	why you would have chosen to do that over one of the	21	text.
22	other methods you just listed for me?	22	MS. YEMMA: Even though it says 6
1			
23	A. Convenience is often a reason to use them.	23	of 7?

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	58		60
1	have been, like, a I mean, the page	1	But, it was a roadway case.
2	number is put on there automatically so I	2	Q. Other than the cases that have involved
3	think there was, like, an extra space	3	Electrolux dryers, have you ever given testimony,
4	because my PDF has 6 of 7, too.	4	whether in deposition, or at trial, in a case
5	So, I don't know what happened. I	5	involving a clothes dryer?
6	think it's just a formatting issue.	6	A. Yes.
7	MS. YEMMA: Okay. Ken, would you	7	Q. And, are those cases on your testimony list?
8	be so kind to copy that last page for us?	8	A. No.
9	MR. LEVINE: Sure.	9	Q. Do you remember the names of any of those
10	THE WITNESS: Sorry about that.	10	cases?
11	MS. YEMMA: That's okay.	11	A. No. I know yes and no. One of the
12	MR. LEVINE: You can keep going.	12	cases, I think it was either a Maytag, or a
13	MS. YEMMA: Okay.	13	Whirlpool, I think, and it involved spontaneous
14	BY MS. YEMMA:	14	combustion.
15	Q. Dr. Vigilante, so for the record, we have	15	Q. And, who were you retained by in that case,
16	marked as Vigilante-6 the last four years of your	16	if you recall?
17	testimony; is that correct?	17	A. I wasn't working for a manufacturer, so I
18	A. With the addition of Page 7, yes.	18	don't recall if it was the property owner,
19	Q. Right. So when Mr. Levine gets back with	19	subrogation company, another defendant.
20	that Page 7, we will have a complete copy; is that	20	Q. Okay. So it could have been a codefendant?
21	correct?	21	A. It might have been.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Okay. But, it wasn't the product
23	Q. Okay. So, I deposed you in April of 2016 in	23	manufacturer?
24	the Vitale case. It looks like there were six times	24	A. That's correct.
	59		61
1	you gave testimony since then; is that correct?	1	Q. Okay. So was that the only time you have
2	A. Yes.	2	been retained, other than in an Electrolux case, in
3	Q. Okay. Did any of those cases involve	3	a case involving clothes dryers?
4	clothes dryers?	4	A. That I gave testimony in?
5	A. No.	5	Q. Yes, I'm sorry, that you have given
6	Q. Were you retained by the plaintiffs in all	6	testimony in?
7	those cases?	7	A. I believe so.
8	A. No.	8	Q. And, I don't think I have asked you this:
9	Q. In which cases were you retained by the	9	Have you ever been retained, other than the case
10	defendant?	10	involving Maytag, or Whirlpool, in a spontaneous
11	A. The last one on Page 6.	11	combustion, in a case involving a clothes dryer,
12	Q. And, that's Timothy Brugger versus Board of	12	other than the Electrolux cases?
13	County Road of Commissioners?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. And, how many cases?
15	Q. Okay. And, what did that case involve, if	15	A. I'm going to say less than six.
16	you know, or remember?	16	Q. And in those cases, who were you retained
17	A. That one involved a single vehicle	17	by?
18	motorcycle crash on a rough road at night somewhere	18	A. I'm going to say either the property owner,
19	in the state of Michigan.	19	subrogation insurance company, or a third-party
20	Q. And, what was the product at issue, a	20	defendant.
21	motorcycle?	21	Q. In other words, you were not retained by the
22	A. The roadway. It wasn't really a products	22	product manufacturer?
23	case. It was a crash case, and there was issue	23	A. That's correct.
24	related to warnings for the condition of the road.	24	Q. In those cases, did they all involve fires?

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	62		64
1	A. I believe so.	1	manufacturer was a defendant in any of the cases, or
2	Q. What was your role in those cases, if you	2	if they were a codefendant in some of the cases. I
3	can generalize?	3	just don't recall.
4	A. I don't recall all of the cases, but I'm	4	Q. So where the vegetable oil, or the linseed
5	going to imagine that there was some warnings	5	oil, was involved, were you assessing the adequacy
6	aspect.	6	of the warnings on the vegetable oil, or the linseed
7	Q. Do you recall if you were advocating for an	7	oil?
8	on-product warning in any of those cases?	8	Or the chemical product, yes.
9	A. I don't recall.	9	Q. Or the chemical product. So, you weren't
10	Q. Do you know whether those cases involved, or	10	assessing the warnings on the dryer?
11	allegedly involved, the ignition of lint as the	11	A. I don't recall doing that.
12	cause of the fire?	12	Q. Okay. Since I took your deposition in April
13	A. I think they were they would have been	13	of 2016, you have given testimony at trial; is that
14	spontaneous combustion cases.	14	correct?
15	Q. Were they all spontaneous combustion?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. I believe if any of them would be, they	16	Q. In two cases?
17	would be spontaneous combustion.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. In those cases, you have not given I'm	18	Q. And, was your testimony limited, or
19	sorry.	19	excluded, in any way in either of those cases, that
20	A. I was going to say, yes, I haven't given any	20	you're aware of?
21	testimony in those cases. I'm not sure that the	21	A. It was limited in one.
22	dryer manufacturer was a defendant in all of those	22	Q. And, was that in the Yazdani case?
23	cases, if any of them.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Who do you think was the defendant in those	24	Q. And, were you retained by deLuca Levine in
	63		65
1	cases, as you sit here, if you recall?	1	that case?
2	-		tilat case:
_	A. The ones I recall, at least one of the	2	A. Yes.
3	·	2	A. Yes.
3 4	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would		A. Yes.
	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had	3	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
4	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating	3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
<b>4</b> 5	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had	3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions</li></ul>
4 5 6	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li></ul>
4 5 6 7	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?  A. Yes.  Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?  A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable, or linseed oil, sued?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual. In the specifics of the case, the homeowner</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable, or linseed oil, sued?  A. That's my belief, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual. In the specifics of the case, the homeowner testified that he did receive the manual, and did</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable, or linseed oil, sued?  A. That's my belief, yes.  Q. Okay. Any other scenarios, that you can	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?</li> <li>A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual. In the specifics of the case, the homeowner testified that he did receive the manual, and did read at least parts of the manual. So, the judge</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable, or linseed oil, sued?  A. That's my belief, yes.  Q. Okay. Any other scenarios, that you can recall, with regard to spontaneous combustion, as to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle?  A. Yes.  Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited?  A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual.  In the specifics of the case, the homeowner testified that he did receive the manual, and did read at least parts of the manual. So, the judge felt that it would be what's the word I'm looking
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable, or linseed oil, sued?  A. That's my belief, yes.  Q. Okay. Any other scenarios, that you can recall, with regard to spontaneous combustion, as to who the defendant was in those cases?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle? A. Yes. Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited? A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual.  In the specifics of the case, the homeowner testified that he did receive the manual, and did read at least parts of the manual. So, the judge felt that it would be what's the word I'm looking for it would be unfair to be able to talk about
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	defendants, or the defendant I was focused on, would have been the manufacturer of the product that had the spontaneous combustion, or self-heating properties associated with it.  Q. What type of products were involved, if you remember?  A. I've done a couple involving, like, a vegetable oil or a linseed seed oil based product.  Typically, oily rags, that have been laundered, and then dried, and then either left in the dryer, or folded, and stacked on top of the dryer. I remember those two scenarios.  Q. So, was the manufacturer of the vegetable, or linseed oil, sued?  A. That's my belief, yes.  Q. Okay. Any other scenarios, that you can recall, with regard to spontaneous combustion, as to who the defendant was in those cases?  A. Not offhand.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And, that case involved a motorcycle? A. Yes. Q. What's your understanding of your opinions that were limited? A. I had multiple opinions, and some of them dealt with the suitability, or the reasonability, of the defendant to rely upon an owner's manual to communicate critical and atypical safety information for the bike because the defendant knew that multiple many users would purchase the motorcycle secondhand, or thirdhand, without the manual, or would ride the motorcycle without being provided with the manual.  In the specifics of the case, the homeowner testified that he did receive the manual, and did read at least parts of the manual. So, the judge felt that it would be what's the word I'm looking for it would be unfair to be able to talk about the fact that BMW knew that many of their users

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

	66		68
1	So any opinions related to the fact that BMW	1	But, in any case, at the time after reading
2	knew that people were not receiving the manual, or	2	the manual, and the two years he owned the bike, he
3	not given the manual, or did not have the manual, I	3	wasn't aware that leaving the bike idling at a
4	wasn't allowed to talk about.	4	standstill can result in the oil sight glass
5	Q. And the owner of the motorcycle, he was a	5	failing, and the bike catching on fire.
6	secondhand user?	6	Q. Apart from the opinions you just testified
7	A. He was actually the third owner of the	7	to, were there any other opinions of yours that were
8	motorcycle.	8	excluded at trial?
9	Q. Okay. In other words, he wasn't the	9	A. No.
10	original owner?	10	Q. And, you were allowed to testify at trial
11	A. Correct.	11	subject to the Court's ruling?
12	Q. But, he had received a manual?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Were your qualifications challenged in that
14	Q. It's my understanding that there was a fire	14	case?
15	in that case?	15	A. I believe they were.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. In the other case that you gave testimony
17	Q. Can you explain the underlying facts any	17	in, the one in Maryland, Malone versus K&G Men's
18	more specifically than that, at least your	18	Company, was there any challenge to your testimony
19	understanding of them?	19	in that case, that you're aware?
20	A. Sure. There is a defect in the design of	20	A. I'm not aware of any.
21	the bike. They use an oil sight glass that's	21	Q. Have you ever given testimony either at
22	located at the bottom left corner of the crank case	22	trial, or in deposition, in support of a
23	where it's in close vicinity to the exhaust header.	23	manufacturer's warnings?
24	And it is exposed to very high temperatures, that	24	A. I would have to go through my list to see.
	That it is exposed to very riight temperatures, that	-	7. Two did have to go through my hat to see.
	67		69
	67		Nothing in coming to mind
1	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure	1	Nothing is coming to mind.
2	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.	2	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.
2	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at	2	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.
2 3 4	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the	2 3 4	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on
2 3 4 5	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the	2 3 4 5	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't
2 3 4 5 6	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the	2 3 4 5 6	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or
2 3 4 5 6 7	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust	2 3 4 5 6 7	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.  And, the homeowner, bike owner, testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it has tracks, as opposed to wheels.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.  And, the homeowner, bike owner, testified that when he read the manual, he doesn't recall if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it has tracks, as opposed to wheels.  Q. Okay. And, do you recall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.  And, the homeowner, bike owner, testified that when he read the manual, he doesn't recall if he had read those two pages of the manual; if he had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it has tracks, as opposed to wheels.  Q. Okay. And, do you recall  A. It's also called a Bobcat. Sometimes it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.  And, the homeowner, bike owner, testified that when he read the manual, he doesn't recall if he had read those two pages of the manual, if he had read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it has tracks, as opposed to wheels.  Q. Okay. And, do you recall  A. It's also called a Bobcat. Sometimes it's called a Bobcat.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.  And, the homeowner, bike owner, testified that when he read the manual, he doesn't recall if he had read those two pages of the manual; if he had read those statements, and if he had read those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it has tracks, as opposed to wheels.  Q. Okay. And, do you recall  A. It's also called a Bobcat. Sometimes it's called a Bobcat.  Q. That sounds more familiar to me. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are excessive of the deflection point, and failure point, of the glass that's sealed.  When the bike is left idling, I believe at high idle, or elevated idle, the heat from the exhaust header, and the oil itself, can cause the oil sight glass to fail, allowing the oil, and the oil vapor, to escape, and ignite on the exhaust header.  The length of time necessary to reach that failure point had varied from anywhere from 10 minutes to I believe the homeowner in this case testified that he may have left the bike idle for over a half hour.  To address the issue, BMW claimed that they had placed two statements in their I think it was an 82-page, or 85-page, manual that didn't specifically, or explicitly, address the hazard.  And, the homeowner, bike owner, testified that when he read the manual, he doesn't recall if he had read those two pages of the manual, if he had read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Nothing is coming to mind.  If you'd like, I can go through the list.  Q. Okay.  A. I don't remember all the cases. I'm only on Page 3, but on Page 3, in October of 2014, I don't recall if I was retained by the leasing company, or the manufacturer. That had to do with the alarm, a warning on a skid loader.  Q. I'm sorry. You're on Page 3?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. What case? What's the name?  A. Rual Nunez versus Martin Leasing, et al.  Q. Okay. And, what type of product was at issue in that case, if you recall?  A. A skid loader.  Q. What's a skid loader?  A. It's kind of like a forklift. Typically, it has tracks, as opposed to wheels.  Q. Okay. And, do you recall  A. It's also called a Bobcat. Sometimes it's called a Bobcat.

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

	70		72
1	A. Yes.	1	driver.
2	Q. And, was the manufacturer a defendant	2	Q. Okay. What cases were those?
3	because it just says "et al" after Martin Leasing?	3	A. Air Carter versus United States of America
4	A. Yes. I don't recall if it was just the	4	in August of 2014.
5	leasing company, or if it was the leasing company	5	Q. And, what page is that on?
6	and manufacturer. I just don't recall.	6	A. Page 3, the top of Page 3.
7	Q. Okay. And, do you recall what your opinion	7	Q. Okay.
8	was in that case, even generally?	8	A. And then I saw one on Page 2. I believe
9	A. It had to do with the audible warnings on	9	it's January, 2004, Chalrie Mae Wade versus James C.
10	the skid loader.	10	Townley, et al.
11	Q. And, was it your opinion that audible	11	Q. And, what were your opinions, if you recall,
12	warnings were adequate, if you remember?	12	in either of those cases?
13	A. I don't remember. I don't remember what my	13	A. That the defendant vehicle provided
14	opinions were in that case.	14	sufficient and adequate warning to alert a
15	Q. But, you remember it was an audible warning	15	reasonably attentive driver to the presence of the
16	that was at issue?	16	defendant vehicle. And, I take that back. I don't
17	A. Yes.	17	think Townley was the I don't think that was
18	Q. Okay. Was it that the plaintiff didn't hear	18	the I don't remember what Townley was. I take
19	the warning?	19	that back.
20	A. Yes. The plaintiff was struck by the back	20	Q. So, just the Carter case?
21	of a skid loader I'm sorry he was hit by the	21	A. Yes. And, then there is one on Page 4 where
22	back of a skid loader. It was a residential street,	22	it's a defendant driver, but I don't think that was
23	and they were doing some work, leaf cleanup work, I	23	really a warnings case. It was more a visibility
24	believe. A truck had stopped a civilian truck	24	case.
	71		73
I			, ,
1	had stopped. One of the ground hands went over to	1	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand
1 2	had stopped. One of the ground hands went over to talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck	1 2	
		l .	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand
2	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck	2	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more
2 3	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and	2 3	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?
2 3 4	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.	2 3 4	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided
2 3 4 5	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the	2 3 4 5	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.  Q. So, in the vehicle cases, you were retained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right travel lane adjacent to the closed off ramp next to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.  Q. So, in the vehicle cases, you were retained by the defendant in the case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right travel lane adjacent to the closed off ramp next to the construction truck, that was doing work there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.  Q. So, in the vehicle cases, you were retained by the defendant in the case?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right travel lane adjacent to the closed off ramp next to the construction truck, that was doing work there.  And, he stopped to ask him about how to reroute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.  Q. So, in the vehicle cases, you were retained by the defendant in the case?  A. Yes.  Q. And, you were evaluating warnings in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right travel lane adjacent to the closed off ramp next to the construction truck, that was doing work there. And, he stopped to ask him about how to reroute himself to get rerouted to the airport, I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.  Q. So, in the vehicle cases, you were retained by the defendant in the case?  A. Yes.  Q. And, you were evaluating warnings in the vehicle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right travel lane adjacent to the closed off ramp next to the construction truck, that was doing work there. And, he stopped to ask him about how to reroute himself to get rerouted to the airport, I think. And he was stopped there for a minute, or so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talk to the truck that had stopped, the pickup truck that had stopped, and the skid loader reversed, and struck the ground hand.  And, I can't remember if he was at the truck, or if he was near the truck. I don't remember.  Q. Any other cases, in addition to the Nunez case?  A. I don't see any other ones on the list, that were on behalf of the defense manufacturer.  There's a couple that involved I was retained on behalf of defense to determine whether the plaintiff had adequate warning, but they were not for a manufacturer.  One of them was for a vehicle, and two of them were vehicle cases.  Q. So, in the vehicle cases, you were retained by the defendant in the case?  A. Yes.  Q. And, you were evaluating warnings in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So in the Carter case, I understand generally what was at issue, but can you be more specific?  What was it about the vehicle, that provided an adequate warning to the oncoming driver?  A. The collision happened in a work zone on a multilane freeway down in the Norfolk area, I think, Norfolk, Virginia.  It was early in the morning before sunup.  The defendant was an employee of the United States Government. He was a military employee driving a U.S. Government car. And, it happened at an interchange. And, the defendant driver had meant to get off of an interchange to go to the airport, and the construction crews had closed the interchange for whatever work they were doing. And, he stopped on the travel lane, through travel lane, the right travel lane adjacent to the closed off ramp next to the construction truck, that was doing work there. And, he stopped to ask him about how to reroute himself to get rerouted to the airport, I think.

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

1	74		76
1	killed himself.	1	have a I have letter from Mr. Hughes.
2	So it was a question as to whether or not	2	MR. LEVINE: Patrick Hughes?
3	there was enough visibility, whether or not there	3	THE WITNESS: Yes. So, it looks
4	was enough warnings.	4	like he did send me documents both in July
5	The driver did have his brakes on, and his	5	and August of 2015. But, at least from the
6	four-ways, on at the time. The construction truck,	6	document he sent me of August, 2015, I
7	that was next to him, had his flashing lights on,	7	didn't review that until November 2nd of
8	and his beacons on.	8	2015.
9	And, so, there's a question as to whether or	9	So, there was possibly an invoice
10	there was enough information and warning for the	10	from Robson.
11	plaintiff driver to have a reasonably attentive	11	MS. YEMMA: Okay. And, I can
12	approaching driver to see, and avoid, the stopped	12	followup with Pat about that. He should
13	car.	13	have a copy, if you don't.
14	Q. All right. Let's turn our attention to the	14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't.
15	Cloud case.	15	MR. LEVINE: I will look while I'm
16	Do you recall when you were retained in this	16	sitting here.
17	matter, even generally, just like a ballpark?	17	MS. YEMMA: Okay, thanks.
18	A. Yes. From a Vigilante Forensic standpoint,	18	BY MS. YEMMA:
19	I was retained on, I believe, October 1st of last	19	Q. What were you retained to do in this matter?
20	year.	20	A. Well, I was retained to assess the warnings
21	Q. So, October 1st of 2015, were you initially	21	and instructions provided by Electrolux, and look at
22	retained while you were still at Robson?	22	the foreseeability, and actions, of the homeowners.
23	A. Yes, on April 22nd, 2015.	23	That's kind of a general way to put it.
24	Q. April 22nd?	24	Q. And the material that you were provided in
	75		77
1	Λ		
	A. Yes.	1	this case, they're all contained on either the CD,
2	Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You	1 2	this case, they're all contained on either the CD, or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's
2		l .	-
	Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You	2	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's
3	Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.	2 3	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?
3 4	<ul><li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.
3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the
3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.  A. Yes. Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?  A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.  Q. Do you have access to those invoices?  A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any invoices.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the notes, what I believe to be the notes from
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any invoices.</li> <li>Q. And you were retained in April 2015, but you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the notes, what I believe to be the notes from your conversation with Mike Stoddard. And,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any invoices.</li> <li>Q. And you were retained in April 2015, but you don't believe that you did any work on the Cloud</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the notes, what I believe to be the notes from your conversation with Mike Stoddard. And, we will mark that as Vigilante-7.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any invoices.</li> <li>Q. And you were retained in April 2015, but you don't believe that you did any work on the Cloud matter until November of 2015?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the notes, what I believe to be the notes from your conversation with Mike Stoddard. And, we will mark that as Vigilante-7.  (Notes from Dr. Vigilant's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any invoices.</li> <li>Q. And you were retained in April 2015, but you don't believe that you did any work on the Cloud matter until November of 2015?</li> <li>A. I believe so. That's my best memory.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the notes, what I believe to be the notes from your conversation with Mike Stoddard. And, we will mark that as Vigilante-7.  (Notes from Dr. Vigilant's telephone conversation with Mike Stoddard
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And, I meant to ask you this earlier: You identified that there were three invoices on the CD.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, are those all the invoices that either your company, or Robson, had generated for this matter?</li> <li>A. They're all the invoices that I've generated through Vigilante Forensic. I don't know if Robson Forensic had generated any invoices.</li> <li>Q. Do you have access to those invoices?</li> <li>A. I do not. But I don't think that they would have, given the scope of work that's on the first invoice I generated. And, I don't have a memory of doing any work prior to November of last year on the case. So, I'm going to if I had to provide a guess, I would think that Robson did not send any invoices.</li> <li>Q. And you were retained in April 2015, but you don't believe that you did any work on the Cloud matter until November of 2015?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or in the notebook, is that correct, and also what's in your report?  A. Yes.  Q. And, does your report contain all of the opinions that you have in this matter, all the affirmative opinions that you intend to offer at trial?  A. What do you mean by "affirmative"?  Q. Well, as opposed to, like, a rebuttal opinion?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, at least as we sit here today, you don't have any plans to issue a supplemental, or rebuttal, report; is that correct?  A. I haven't been asked to at this point.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. These are the notes, what I believe to be the notes from your conversation with Mike Stoddard. And, we will mark that as Vigilante-7.  (Notes from Dr. Vigilant's

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

	78		80
1	BY MS. YEMMA:	1	and then what kind of analysis they're doing, and
2	Q. And, I have just handed you that two-page	2	what their findings, and conclusions, are based upon
3	document. And, if you would, Dr. Vigilante, just	3	their analysis.
4	identify that for the record.	4	I typically do that if my report's due at or
5	A. These are the notes that I made during my	5	about the same time that the subject matter expert's
6	telephone conference with Mike Stoddard on January	6	report is dated.
7	14th, 2015.	7	So I think Mike Stoddard's, and my report,
8	Q. And, did you have one telephone conversation	8	were both generated on the 20th of January. So I
9	with him, with Mr. Stoddard?	9	would have wanted to know what he was going to be
10	A. Regarding this case?	10	saying prior to getting his report.
11	Q. Yes I'm sorry, I should be more	11	Q. So, did you have an opportunity to review
12	specific regarding this case.	12	Mr. Stoddard's report before you finalized yours?
13	A. I believe so.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And, that was on January 14th, 2015?	14	Q. Are you relying on any of Mr. Stoddard's
15	A. Yes. Now, I've got to take that back. I	15	opinions in this matter?
16	may have talked to Mr. Stoddard about this case on	16	A. Sure.
17	other occasions, either prior, or after, the 14th of	17	Q. What opinions of Mr. Stoddard's are you
18	January, 2015. And, that's a typo. That should be	18	relying upon?
19	January 14th, 2016.	19	A. Specifically that it was reasonable and
20	Q. Okay.	20	feasible to include the indicator lights, and cycle
21	A. But I don't recall if I did, or did not, so	21	timers, as discussed on Page 24 of my report.
22	it's possible. I don't want to rule it out.	22	And, also, with respect to the ignition and
23	Q. And the document, that's been marked as	23	cause of the fire the origination and cause of
24	Vigilante-7, is that a document that you created?	24	the fire, as noted on Page 6 of my report.
	79		81
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. Do you have an opinion with regard to the
2	Q. And, you created that contemporaneous with	2	design of the dryer?
3	your conversation with Mr. Stoddard, or at a	3	A. Other than with respect to the indicator
4	different time?	4	lights, and the cycle counter, airflow monitor
5	A. No. I was taking notes, writing notes,	5	device, I do not.
6	while I spoke with Mike Stoddard.	6	Q. And I realize some consider the warnings
7	Q. And, did you take the written notes, and	7	part of the design, but did you understand I
8	type them up?	8	excluded that?
9	A. I was typing them up as I spoke with him.	9	A. I thought you were excluding it.
10	Q. Okay.	10	Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure, and give
11	A. I may have went back after I got off the	11	you the benefit of that, that I was excluding it.
12	phone with him, and fixed the grammar. Sometimes, I	12	Okay.
13	misspell when I type.	13	And, I remember this came up in the Vitale
14	Q. Yes, I do, too. So, you said you had other	14	deposition: In connection with the Cloud matter,
15	conversations with Mr. Stoddard regarding the Cloud	15	have you done any surveys of appliance stores, or
16	case. Did you take any notes?	16	vent cleaning companies, in the Cloud matter since
17	A. I did not.	17	April of 2016?
18 19	Q. What was the purpose of the call with Mike	18	A. I don't think I have done any specifically
20	Stoddard on January 14th, 2016?	19 20	for the Cloud matter.
21	A. Typically, I talk to the engineer or subject matter	20	Q. Have you done anything in general since I
22	typically, I talk to the engineer, or subject matter	22	deposed you in Vitale?
23	expert, in these cases to get an idea of what happened, what their findings were during their	23	A. I had my dryer and vent system cleaned since
24		24	then, I believe.
24	inspection of either the site and/or the product,	24	Q. Okay. When was that? When did you have

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	82		84
1	that done?	1	anything else?
2	A. It was springtime, and it was, I believe,	2	A. They did clean the venting, and similar to
3	after the Vitale deposition.	3	the calls I made, I think it was prior to the Vitale
4	Q. So, maybe May or June May, in the spring?	4	deposition, didn't want to, or know that he needed
5	A. May or June.	5	to clean the interior of the dryer. But, he was
6	Q. Okay, May or June. And, what type of dryer	6	nice enough to take the front panel off, and vacuum
7	do you have?	7	under the dryer, and down through the lint trap. He
8	A. I have a Kenmore H4, maybe.	8	was not able to get behind the drum or he may
9	Q. H?	9	have taken the top of the dryer off, but he didn't
10	A. H4.	10	take the drum out.
11	Q. H4, okay. Like, high efficiency?	11	Q. Were you present while he was cleaning?
12	A. I don't know. The dryer is about 10 years	12	A. Partly. I mean, I was home, but I wasn't
13	old.	13	standing over him the entire time.
14	Q. Okay.	14	Q. Okay. Were you present when he was
15	A. It's a bulkhead style dryer, gas dryer.	15	disassembling the dryer?
16	Q. How do you know it's a bulkhead style dryer?	16	A. Part of it, yes.
17	A. One of the engineers I worked with in these	17	Q. Okay. And, it's your understanding that he
18	cases walked me through looking at it, to see	18	removed the front and the top panel?
19	whether it was a bulkhead, or a ball-hitch. And,	19	A. I seen him take the front off. I have a
20	then I think they confirmed it by looking up the	20	memory of that. I think he took the top off because
21	model number, and year.	21	I think we discussed it, but I don't have a memory
22	Q. Were you interested to know whether you had	22	of actually being there with the top off.
23	a bulkhead, or a ball-hitch dryer?	23	Q. So when you moved into you current home with
24	A. Absolutely.	24	the dryer you had purchased at a Sears Outlet, who
	83		85
1	Q. How long have you been in your current home?	1	installed it at your current home?
1 2		1 2	
	Q. How long have you been in your current home?	2	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li><li>A. A little over six years.</li><li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li></ul>	2 3 4	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li><li>A. A little over six years.</li><li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>installed it at your current home?</li> <li>A. Probably, the movers.</li> <li>Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?</li> <li>A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> <li>BY MS. YEMMA:</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual practice to clean the transition duct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> <li>BY MS. YEMMA:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, do you know the company that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual practice to clean the transition duct?  A. Yes well, I take that back. He didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> <li>BY MS. YEMMA:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, do you know the company that you used?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual practice to clean the transition duct?  A. Yes well, I take that back. He didn't say it was his usual practice not to. He said the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> <li>BY MS. YEMMA:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, do you know the company that you used?</li> <li>A. Lint Doctor from Glen Falls, PA. (Sic)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual practice to clean the transition duct?  A. Yes well, I take that back. He didn't say it was his usual practice not to. He said the way he would clean it is he would go from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> <li>BY MS. YEMMA:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, do you know the company that you used?</li> <li>A. Lint Doctor from Glen Falls, PA. (Sic)</li> <li>Q. Lint Doctor, okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual practice to clean the transition duct?  A. Yes well, I take that back. He didn't say it was his usual practice not to. He said the way he would clean it is he would go from the outside with his brush, and go all the way through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. How long have you been in your current home?</li> <li>A. A little over six years.</li> <li>Q. So, did you acquire the dryer from the prior owners?</li> <li>A. No. We had purchased the dryer in our past house.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, where did you purchase the dryer from, from Sears?</li> <li>A. Sears Outlet in Franklin Mills, I think.</li> <li>Q. I'm familiar with that.  MS. YEMMA: And, if I could just finish this line of questioning, and then we can take a break for lunch. Is that okay?  MR. LEVINE: Yes, sure.  THE WITNESS: The dryer vent cleaning, and dryer cleaning, was done in May.</li> <li>BY MS. YEMMA:</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, do you know the company that you used?</li> <li>A. Lint Doctor from Glen Falls, PA. (Sic)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	installed it at your current home?  A. Probably, the movers.  Q. And, how is your dryer vented currently?  A. Well, the transition vent is a semirigid.  Q. Okay.  A. The house vent is rigid. And, the house vent goes from the side wall, right side wall of the dryer, and there's like a 10-foot run directly outside. There may be about two elbows in the wall to bring it down a little lower. I don't know.  Q. So when the gentleman from Lint Doctor came, did he clean the entire length of the venting, including the semirigid and rigid portion?  A. Yes. I had him take the transition duct off, which he typically didn't do. But I asked him, and told him why, and he did it.  Q. Did he tell you it was not his usual practice to clean the transition duct?  A. Yes well, I take that back. He didn't say it was his usual practice not to. He said the way he would clean it is he would go from the

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	86		88
1	dryers. So, he doesn't normally take the transition	1	A. I don't recall offhand. There was another
2	duct off.	2	like "Lint Doctor"; it may have been Mr. Lint, or
3	Q. What was he using to clean the venting, if	3	Mr. Dryer. I remember using him twice.
4	you know?	4	Typically, when they come out, they don't
5	A. He had a brush, and a drill, and some type	5	like to come back because I make them do things that
6	of snake, I guess would be the best way to call it.	6	they typically don't do.
7	Q. Was the brush motorized in any way?	7	Q. And, what would be an example of that?
8	A. Yes. It was attached to the snake, and	8	A. Typically, I ask them to take the transition
9	attached to the drill.	9	duct off, and they're hesitant to do that.
10	Q. Was this the first time you had ever had	10	And, I ask them to clean inside the dryer.
11	your venting cleaned?	11	Typically, they don't want to.
12	A. I get it done, like, every year, year and a	12	I think the year and a half before I had
13	half.	13	this one done, I had to call a guy out to do the
14	Q. How long have you done that?	14	vent, and then another guy out I had to call
15	A. Since I started doing these Electrolux	15	Kenmore. And, then Kenmore wouldn't do it, and I
16	cases.	16	had to call Sears. And, Sears sent a guy that would
17	Q. So, when did you start doing the Electrolux	17	come out, and he opened the dryer, but he wouldn't
18	cases? Was that 2015, or before that?	18	do the vent. So I to call two guys. It cost me
19	A. No, I've been doing it before that. At	19	nearly \$300 to do both.
20	least the last more than six years.	20	Q. How about this year with Lint Doctor, how
21	Q. What was the first Electrolux case you had,	21	much did that cost?
22	do you remember?	22	A. I had that up, and I brought it down
23 24	A. I don't recall. I think it was Matt Noone.	23	\$100.  Q. On any of the times you've had your venting
27	Q. Was it the Marquette case?	24	Q. On any of the times you've had your venting
	87		89
1	A. It was before Marquette.	1	and cabinet cleaned, did you happen to see whether
2	Q. Okay. I'm familiar with Marquette because I	2	there was lint inside the cabinet, or the venting,
3	had that case. That's why I was asking. That had	3	before the cleaning?
4	to be, like, 2012, or '13, I think.	4	A. Yes. I know there's lint buildup in the
5	You don't have to look, it's okay.	5	cabinet, in the vent.
6 7	A. I did Power, and	6	Q. And, were there any blockages?
_	Q. Okay. That would have probably been before	١ ـ	A. Not that I'm aware of.
8	Marquette.  A. There was another one in between Power and	8 9	Q. Was there a lot of lint in the cabinet, or
10	A. There was another one in between Power and Marquette, too.	10	the venting?  A. A lot is relative. I'm going to say that
11	Q. Okay. And, so, for the last, you did you	11	there's not there's not, like, a two or
12	say, six years, you've been getting your venting	12	three-inch layer of lint under the drum, or anything
13	cleaned?	13	like that.
14	A. More than that.	14	Q. So, less than two to three inches on the
15	Q. Oh, more than that?	15	bottom of the cabinet?
16	A. Yes. But, I have been in this house six	16	A. Yes. I would say less than an inch.
17	years.	17	Q. And, how many people live in your household?
18	Q. Okay. So, have you always used the Lint	18	A. There's three of us.
		1.0	
19	Doctor to do the cleaning?	19	<ul> <li>Q. How about in the venting, can you describe</li> </ul>
19 20	Doctor to do the cleaning?  A. No.	20	the lint that you saw? Can you quantify it?
			_ ·
20	A. No.	20	the lint that you saw? Can you quantify it?
20 21	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Was this your first time using Lint Doctor?</li></ul>	20 21	the lint that you saw? Can you quantify it?  A. Yes. It didn't appear that there was that

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

	90		92
1	eat.	1	Electrolux in discovery and I'll identify the
2	(Lunch recess from 12:30 to 1:00	2	Bates number is EHP-Cloud 0011 through
3	p.m.)	3	EHP-Cloud 00019.
4	BY MS. YEMMA:	4	Do you have any reason to doubt that those
5	Q. Dr. Vigilante, did you speak with the Clouds	5	are the installation instructions for the Clouds'
6	at anytime in connection with this matter?	6	dryer?
7	A. I don't believe I did.	7	A. I have no information either way, other than
8	Q. Any reason why not?	8	what Electrolux is offering.
9	A. Typically, I don't speak with the homeowners	9	Q. Were you assuming that's correct for your
10	if everything I needed, and would like to have seen,	10	analysis in this matter?
11	was discussed in the deposition transcript.	11	A. I assume that was the manual that would
12	Q. Okay. And, was everything you discussed in	12	accompany the dryer, but I have no evidence either
13	their deposition transcript, as far as you're	13	way.
14	A. As far as I'm aware of, yes.	14	Q. Was there anything else about the
15	Q. As far as you're aware of. Okay.	15	installation, apart from the use of flexible foil,
16	Do you have an opinion as to whether the	16	that was not in accord with the installation
17	subject dryer was installed in accord with	17	instructions?
18	Electrolux's instructions?	18	A. I think the only question I had was
19	A. Are we talking specifically about the	19	the exhaust hood was a low profile exhaust hood.
20	venting, or is there issue with electrical, or	20	But I think what Mike Stoddard told me, it still met
21	anything like that?	21	the area requirements. I think that's my memory.
22	Q. No. I'm talking specifically with regard to	22	So, I don't know if that may have been a
23	the venting.	23	question as to whether or not it meant Electrolux
24	A. The venting they used for the transition	24	requirement, as stated in the subject installation
	91		93
1	duct was flexible foil, and I wanted to see which	1	instructions.
2	Q. Do you need a copy of the installation	2	Q. Did Mr. Stoddard tell you how many inches
3	instructions?	3	the hood was from the ground?
4	A. No. I've got it, as long as the one that	4	A. I do not have that noted.
5	was attached to Mrs. Cloud's deposition is the	5	Q. Have you seen photographs, and do you have
6	correct one.	6	an understanding of how many inches the hood was
7	Q. That's the correct one.	7	from the ground?
8	A. The use of the flexible foil transition duct	8	A. I don't think that was something I looked at
9	is contrary to the installation instructions noted	9	specifically.
10	in the subject installation instructions.	10	Q. Okay. But, you just understand it's the low
11	And the reason I'm being careful with the	11	profile vent hood?
12	explanation is that in other Electrolux manuals,	12	A. It's my understanding it was a metal low
13	they do allow flexible foil transition ducts. But	13	profile opening hood with damper, pipe and damper
14	in this particular Frigidaire install manual, I do	14	still four inches in diameter.
15 16	not see that language.	15 16	Q. Do you know what the installation
	Q. Okay. So for the installation instructions		instructions call for with regard to the clearance
17 18	that apply to the Clouds' dryer, flexible foil is	17 18	between the vent hood, and the ground?
19	not permitted; is that correct?  A. The installation instructions that	19	<ul><li>A. I have to look it up. I don't know offhand.</li><li>Q. Okay.</li></ul>
20		20	Q. Okay.  A. It looks like there's a minimum. Electrolux
21	Electrolux claims was shipped with this dryer does not allow does not prohibits flexible foil	21	states in the manual, to avoid restricting the
22	transition ducting.	22	outlet, maintain a minimum of 12 inches clearance
23	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that the	23	between the vent hood, and the ground, or any other
24	installation instructions, that were produced by	24	obstructions.
	motanation moti detions, that were produced by		SEST MULTUTION

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

	94		96
1	Q. And, do you know whether the vent hood was	1	A. That's my understanding.
2	at least 12 inches from the ground?	2	Q. Okay. Did you review the language on that
3	A. Not offhand.	3	label?
4	Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to whether	4	A. Yes.
5	the dryer, and the venting, were maintained as	5	Q. Do you have any opinion as to whether that
6	required by Electrolux's instructions?	6	label complies with ANSI Z21.5.1?
7	A. I think I do mention that in my report.	7	A. It does not I'm sorry, 5.1, Z535
8	Mr. Cloud testified that he cleaned the venting once	8	Q. Z21.5.1, the gas dryer standard?
9	a year. So, that would be within the Electrolux	9	A. It's my understanding it does.
10	requirements for cleaning the venting.	10	Q. It does comply?
11	Q. Do you have an understanding of how	11	A. That's my understanding.
12	Mr. Cloud cleaned the venting?	12	Q. Okay. How about the warning standard,
13	A. I'm going to have to look that up.	13	Z535.4?
14	Q. Actually, I am going to ask a different	14	A. It does not.
15	question: Do you know whether Mr. Cloud cleaned the	15	Q. Okay. And, why doesn't it comply with that
16	transition venting?	16	standard?
17	A. I have to look it up, to see specifically	17	A. Multiple reasons: There's no use of color
18	what he testified to.	18	as the ANSI Z535.4 would require.
19	Q. Okay.	19	It also does not specifically list a
20	A. He testified he never cleaned the transition	20	specific hazard, and how to avoid it, on the warning
21	duct depicted in C-3. He testified that he did	21	label.
22	clean the house duct, as depicted in Exhibit C-4.	22	Q. When you say "it doesn't list the hazard",
23	Q. Do you know if the Clouds ever had an	23	it does talk about a risk of fire. Would you agree
24	authorized servicer clean the venting?	24	with that?
	95		97
1	A. I don't believe they ever had an authorized	1	A. Generally, yes.
2	servicer.	2	Q. And, it talks about risk of injury with
3	Q. And, do you know whether Mr. and Mrs. Cloud	3	regard to the use of the dryer, too, right, risk of
4	ever cleaned the dryer cabinet?	4	fire and injury?
5	A. The inside of it?	5	A. It does say to avoid fire hazard, personal
6	Q. The inside of it.	6	injury, or fire damage, including spontaneous
7	A. They had not cleaned the interior of the	7	combustion.
8	dryer cabinet.	8	Q. Okay. And when you said a moment ago that
9	Q. And, had they hired anyone to clean the	9	it doesn't identify the hazard, what are you
10	interior of the dryer cabinet?	10	referring to specifically?
11 12	A. Not that I'm aware of.	11 12	A. The hazard is the lint buildup near the heat
13	Q. Okay. So with regard to the labels, that	13	source that can potentially catch fire.  Q. You would agree, though, that isn't the only
14	were on the dryer, we talked earlier about the long skinny label that's inside the door frame.	14	Q. You would agree, though, that isn't the only hazard that is associated with the use of the dryer?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. It's not. It's the greatest hazard.
16	MS. YEMMA: And, I'm going to mark	16	Q. Okay. Why do you believe it's the greatest
17	that as Vigilante-8.	17	hazard?
18	(Skinny label inside door from	18	A. Because that's what Carl King testified to.
19		19	Q. Okay. Carl King has also testified that
	marked vigilante Exhibit No. 8 for		= ona, oan any nao also tostillou tilut
20	marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 8 for identification.)	20	_
20 21	identification.)	20 21	personal injury, like electrocution, is one of the
	identification.) BY MS. YEMMA:		personal injury, like electrocution, is one of the greatest hazards, too. Do you remember that
21	identification.) BY MS. YEMMA:	21	personal injury, like electrocution, is one of the

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

1	98		100
1	lint dryer fire, was the greatest hazard that is	1	A. Well, I don't have an opinion with respect
2	experienced with this type of dryer.	2	to the other stuff on here with regard to
3	He was your corporate designee, which means	3	spontaneous combustion
4	he is speaking on behalf of Electrolux.	4	Q. Okay.
5	Q. I understand that.	5	A and drying rubber-like products. So,
6	A. So, it's the greatest hazard.	6	that isn't part of my analysis, or opinions. My
7	There may be other be serious hazards. But	7	analysis and opinion deal specifically with the lint
8	the greatest hazard, according to Carl King, is a	8	fire hazard.
9	lint dryer fire hazard.	9	Q. And, I understand that, and we're going to
10	Q. Would you agree that the warnings that are	10	get to that. I just want to understand with regard
11	on the label inside the door frame have to do with	11	to the warning that was on the dryer, that we've
12	day-to-day operation of the dryer as opposed to	12	marked has Vigilante-8, you did say that you find
13	maintenance?	13	that it was in violation of Z535.4. Is that
14	A. Yes. I think that if you're talking about,	14	correct?
15	like, a scheduled maintenance, it doesn't deal with	15	A. Yes.
16	scheduled maintenance.	16	Q. Okay. Is that only in terms of I think I
17	If you're talking about regular maintenance,	17	wrote down that no use of color on the label?
18	it does deal with regular maintenance.	18	A. Failed to meet the ANSI requirements for
19	Q. When you say "regular maintenance", what do	19	color highlighting of the signal or panel.
20	you mean?	20	Q. You said that much more coherently than I
21	A. Well, cleaning the lint screen is part of	21	did.
22	regular maintenance.	22	So, apart from that criticism, is there any
23	Q. But, that would also have to do with	23	other criticism with regard to that label when you
24	day-to-day operation. Right?	24	compare it to the standard?
	99		101
1	A. Sure.	1	A. Yes.
1 2	<ul><li>A. Sure.</li><li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't</li></ul>	1 2	
			A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't	2	A. Yes. Q. What are they?
2	Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?	2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. What are they?</li><li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li><li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. What are they?</li><li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li><li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li><li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. What are they?</li><li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What are they?</li> <li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What are they?</li> <li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What are they?</li> <li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.</li> <li>So, also, it does not provide explicit</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What are they?</li> <li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard. So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What are they?</li> <li>A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard. So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that.</li> <li>So, can you expand on that more?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that. So, can you expand on that more?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending upon how the dryer user had the door installed, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that. <ul> <li>So, can you expand on that more?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. In terms of the location, where should it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending upon how the dryer user had the door installed, it's either going to be on the hinge side, or it's going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that. So, can you expand on that more? A. Sure. Q. In terms of the location, where should it have been, in your opinion?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending upon how the dryer user had the door installed, it's either going to be on the hinge side, or it's going to be on the latch side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that. <ul> <li>So, can you expand on that more?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. In terms of the location, where should it have been, in your opinion?</li> <li>A. Well, at that point, or the warning they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending upon how the dryer user had the door installed, it's either going to be on the latch side.  If it's on the latch side, it's going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that.  So, can you expand on that more?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. In terms of the location, where should it have been, in your opinion?</li> <li>A. Well, at that point, or the warning they should have provided?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending upon how the dryer user had the door installed, it's either going to be on the hinge side, or it's going to be on the latch side.  If it's on the latch side, it's going to be more readily visible than on the hinge side. But in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. The venting, and the cabinet, don't need to be cleaned on a daily basis. Right?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, isn't it reasonable to put information, that the user doesn't need to be aware of on a daily basis, in the manual as opposed to on the product?</li> <li>A. It depends on the information.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I have to clarify one thing. It also fails to meet the Z535.4 standard, and the fact that it wasn't located when, and where, the information was needed, and was not readily visible.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And, I was going to actually come back to that. So, I appreciate that. <ul> <li>So, can you expand on that more?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. In terms of the location, where should it have been, in your opinion?</li> <li>A. Well, at that point, or the warning they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  Q. What are they?  A. It does not provide a specific hazard associated with the dryer lint fire hazard. So if this label is not intended to address that hazard, then, of course, that wouldn't be a criticism. But my understanding is, is that it's part of Electrolux's argument that it does address that hazard, or intended to address the hazard.  So, also, it does not provide explicit information as to the hazard, and how to avoid it, again related to the lint dryer hazard.  It's not located where, and when, the information is needed, or where it would be readily visible.  So, my understanding is they put this on the inside door frame of the dryer, and that depending upon how the dryer user had the door installed, it's either going to be on the latch side.  If it's on the latch side, it's going to be

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	102		104
1	hidden by the closed door.	1	Q. The Maytag?
	Q. The Clouds' dryer, where was this label	2	A. Yes.
	located and when I say "this", Vigilante-8	3	Q. Okay.
	label located in relation to the door hinge, do you	4	A. And, Whirlpool is a refrigerator. So, I
	know?	5	think it was just the Fisher & Pakel dryer manual is
_	A. It's my understanding it was on the hinge	6	the only one that I disclosed.
	side.	7	But, I disclosed that to deal with the
8	Q. And, it's your understanding the label is	8	indicator light, not necessarily how they're
9	located on the hinge side unless the consumer, or	9	presenting other written warnings.
	user, reverses the door?	10	Q. The Fisher & Pakel dryer, do you know what
11	A. Well, yes. If they reverse the door to the	11	year that dryer was manufactured, that's associated
12	other side, it would be on the latch side.	12	with the manual that you have?
13	Q. In other words, it comes from the	13	A. It was published in November, 2005.
14	manufacturer on the hinge side?	14	Q. And, that dryer had an indicator light, as
15	A. It comes on one side. I don't know if it's	15	far as you know?
16	always on the hinge side when it's manufactured.	16	A. It has a lid lock indicator light that comes
17	But, typically, Electrolux makes the doors	17	on solid, or flashing, to indicate the state of the
18	reversible.	18	lid.
19	Yes, so on Page 6 of the Installation	19	And, it has a beeping and auto sensing
20	Instructions, Electrolux notes that the door has a	20	light, that flashes to indicate there is an airflow
	reversing door swing. And, I think it comes from	21	restriction, and your clothes will take longer to
	the factory with the door on the right side looking	22	dry. And, then it gives some reasons why you might
	at the front. At least, that's the way it's	23	have restricted airflow.
24	depicted in the manual.	24	And, then it also has a continuing beeping
	103		105
1	Q. Dr. Vigilante, in conjunction with your work	1	and one, or more, progress lights flashing to
2	in the Cloud case, or in any of the other Electrolux	2	indicate a fault that requires repair from a service
	cases you have been retained in, have you compared	3	agent.
	Electrolux's product literature with that from any	4	Q. Okay. So going back to my original
	other dryer manufacturer?	5	question, you have not done any comparison of
	A. Not directly for the Cloud matter.	6	Electrolux's literature to the Owner's Guide
	Q. Okay. But for other matters, have you?	7	Installation Instructions to any other dryer
_	Have you done that comparison?	8	manufacturer's literature. Is that correct?
	A. I have looked at other material provided by,	9	A. Specifically, for this case?
	for example, Whirlpool, and Maytag.	10	Q. Just in general, in terms of your work on
	Q. Okay. And, are copies of those manuals	11	Electrolux, on cases involving Electrolux dryers?
	within your materials. Is it on the CD?	12	A. I think I would say that I didn't do an
	A. I didn't rely upon them specifically in this	13	analysis to directly compare how Electrolux
	-		
			,
	-		
	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3	l .	•
	-	l .	
		l .	
	-	l .	•
		23	•
		l .	-
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	case, so they are not.  Q. Okay. And, why did you compare to the other dryer manufacturers' literature?  A. I don't know that I one of the things I looked at was this issue about the indicator light, and whether or not they had an indicator light. So, I would have looked at I take that back. There may be some manuals from I'm sorry.  Yes, so I do have, like, a Fisher & Pakel dryer manual, and a Maytag Bravos XL manual I'm sorry, that's a washer; not a dryer.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	describes the fire hazard associated with lint buildup, and/or the need to have the dryer cle every 18 months, specifically.  Q. Okay. How about, have you looked at odryers, manufactured by other manufacturers, assess if they present cleaning information on product, or warnings regarding the cleaning requirement?  A. I know Electrolux sells a Laundry Center that has that information on the dryer. But, I don't know if I've looked at, or found, other dryer.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

	106		108
1	that have similar information on them.	1	Q. So if we are facing your dryer, is the right
2	Q. You didn't look, or you didn't find any?	2	side the hinge side?
3	I'm sorry, I just want to clarify.	3	A. It is now.
4	A. I never did, like, a survey in the field to	4	Q. Did you reverse the door?
5	determine it. But, I don't recall ever seeing one.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Does the dryer you have, does it have	6	Q. Okay. So, do you know where the label is?
7	on-product labels?	7	A. I don't recall.
8	A. It does.	8	Q. Okay. How would you define adequate with
9	Q. Okay. And, do you know what type of	9	regards to warnings?
10	information is conveyed on those labels?	10	A. Adequate would be located when and where the
11	A. I would have to guess. At one point, I did	11	user is likely to see it, notice it.
12	know, but specifically if it's on there, I don't	12	Adequate would be a warning that would grab
13	recall.	13	a user's attention.
14	Q. Do you know who manufactured your dryer?	14	Adequate would provide a message that the
15	A. I don't know who manufactured Kenmore, but	15	user understands to be able to identify the specific
16	it wasn't Electrolux.	16	hazard, and how to avoid it, and the consequences
17	Q. Right.	17	for not avoiding it.
18	A. So, I don't know if it was Maytag, or some	18	An adequate warning would motivate a user to
19	other Japanese company, or what have you.	19	comply with the statement in the warning.
20	Q. Okay. In the literature for your dryer,	20	An adequate warning would have a cost of
21	have you had an opportunity to review that	21	compliance that does not outweigh or isn't is
22	literature?	22	outweighed by the benefits of complying.
23	A. I probably, maybe, scanned it when I first	23	Q. Say it again, cost of compliance is not
24	got it, but I haven't seen the literature in a	24	A. You have to have a reasonable cost of
	107		109
1	number of years.	1	compliance.
2	Q. Do you know if there is a periodic cleaning	2	Q. A reasonable cost of compliance. Okay.
3	requirement, that's set forth in the literature?	3	And, how would you define effective with
4	A. My guess is there is because it's required	4	regard to warning, an effective warning?
5	under I don't remember if it was the ANSI code,	5	A. The same thing. The only thing I would say
6	or the UL code, but my guess is there is. But, I	6	is that the difference between adequate and
7	don't recall.	7	effective is that you can never have an adequate
8	Q. Do you know if there is a cleaning	8	warning if there's a design, or guarding solution,
9	requirement, do you know if it is communicated on	9	to mitigate the hazard that's not used. But in lieu
10	any of the dryer labels?	10	of the guard, or the design solution, you chose to
11	A. I don't know.	11	use the warning instead.
12	Q. And, how many on-product labels are on your	12 13	So, in that case, you can provide effective
13 14	dryer?	13	warning, but it would not be adequate if there's a
15	A. There's one on the front of the dryer, and	15	design or guarding solution, that could be
16	at least one in the back. Other than that, I don't know.	16	implemented, that's feasible, and economical, and so forth.
17	Q. So, on the front of the dryer, where on the	17	Q. So if there is a feasible design solution to
18	front of the dryer?	18	eliminate the hazard, a warning can't be effective?
19	A. It's in the door frame.	19	A. A warning should never we used in that
20	Q. In the same spot as the long skinny label,	20	instance. And, if it is, it's inappropriate and
21	that is Vigilante-8, or in a different place?	21	improper.
22	A. Somewhere in that general area. I don't	22	Q. For a warning, or instruction, to be
23	remember if it's on the right side, or the left	23	adequate oh, sorry.
24	side.	24	A. I'm sorry, just to clarify: My statement is
	SIUC.	44	A. THE SULLY, JUST TO CITETY: INVISITE HEALTS

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

	110		112
1	from a manufacturing perspective. So if you're a	1	A. It depends on the project, and it depends on
2	designer of the manufacturer, you should never	2	the hazard, and it depends upon the risk of
3	relegate safety to a warning that you can you	3	encountering that hazard. So, it's a multifactor
4	should never relegate a hazard safeguarding to a	4	decision that has to be made.
5	warning, when you can eliminate it through design	5	Q. Can you give me an example, using any of the
6	feasibly and economically, and so forth.	6	products that you consulted on, that we talked about
7	Q. We talked earlier in your deposition about	7	earlier in the deposition, as to what would be a
8	times you were retained on behalf of a product	8	reasonable percentage of acceptance?
9	manufacturer to look at warnings, to assess	9	A. Well, for example, I think a vivid example
10	warnings. And we talked about the horse bridle, and	10	would be, maybe, the tree chipper. There are pinch
11	the reusable target. Do you remember that?	11	points, and other places, where the body can get
12	A. Yes.	12	mangled, as you can imagine being a large piece of
13	Q. In any of those situations, did you	13	machinery. So between the design of the guard, and
14	recommend a design change?	14	the warning, you would hope for, and would desire,
15	A. I don't believe so. The only one that I had	15	100 percent safety. That should be the goal.
16	question of was the reusable target, was to make	16	If you experience one out of a million
17	sure there was no I think the issue was to make	17	times, that is, the user does something on purpose,
18	sure there was nothing toxic about the substances	18	you wouldn't blame the warning for it. But you
19	used to create the target. So, that was one of the	19	would expect, from an overall safety standpoint, to
20	issues.	20	be capturing just about all of the potential
21	I remember that was one of the issues, that	21	inadvertent contacts with that hazard.
22	I talked to the manufacturer about, to make sure he	22	Q. Okay. The standard that you cite in your
23	knew what was used to make the product because it	23	report, the warning standard, Z535.4, that doesn't
24	had a residue that would come off in handling it.	24	specifically apply to clothes dryers; is that
	111		113
1		1	correct?
1 2	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or	1 2	correct?
	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or		correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes
2	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a	2	correct?
2	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of	2	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product
2 3 4	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.	2 3 4	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.
2 3 4 5	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you	2 3 4 5	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with
2 3 4 5 6	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be	2 3 4 5 6	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?
2 3 4 5 6 7	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come	2 3 4 5 6 7	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition of the National Safety Council of Accident Prevention Manual.  Q. For a warning to be adequate, do you have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as Vigilante-8, with regard to the dryer standard, the ANSI Z21.5.1.  Did you do any analysis with regard to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition of the National Safety Council of Accident Prevention Manual.  Q. For a warning to be adequate, do you have to have 100 percent compliance?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as Vigilante-8, with regard to the dryer standard, the ANSI Z21.5.1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition of the National Safety Council of Accident Prevention Manual.  Q. For a warning to be adequate, do you have to have 100 percent compliance?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as Vigilante-8, with regard to the dryer standard, the ANSI Z21.5.1.  Did you do any analysis with regard to the product literature, and whether it complied with ANSI Z21.5.1?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition of the National Safety Council of Accident Prevention Manual.  Q. For a warning to be adequate, do you have to have 100 percent compliance?  A. No.  Q. What percentage of compliance would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as Vigilante-8, with regard to the dryer standard, the ANSI Z21.5.1.  Did you do any analysis with regard to the product literature, and whether it complied with ANSI Z21.5.1?  A. Yes. So, I'm not aware of it not complying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition of the National Safety Council of Accident Prevention Manual.  Q. For a warning to be adequate, do you have to have 100 percent compliance?  A. No.  Q. What percentage of compliance would be acceptable to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as Vigilante-8, with regard to the dryer standard, the ANSI Z21.5.1.  Did you do any analysis with regard to the product literature, and whether it complied with ANSI Z21.5.1?  A. Yes. So, I'm not aware of it not complying. Essentially, if the standard says that there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But, I don't believe that there was anything of a toxic nature either from skin contact, or respiratory-wise, associated with the components of the product.  Q. So the basis for your opinion that you should never relegate to warnings what can be designed out in the product, where does that come from? Is that just the design hierarchy?  A. That is the safety hierarchy.  Q. Safety hierarch.  A. So it's been the prevalent thought in product safety, and occupational workplace safety, for decades.  The first time I have seen it specifically laid out in paper, I think was in the 1950's edition of the National Safety Council of Accident Prevention Manual.  Q. For a warning to be adequate, do you have to have 100 percent compliance?  A. No.  Q. What percentage of compliance would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct?  A. It doesn't specifically apply to clothes dryers. It applies specifically to product warnings.  Q. Is it mandatory that Electrolux comply with that standard, in your mind?  A. In my mind, or in the world?  Q. In your world.  A. Yes. So, in my opinion, it's a minimum standard that manufacturers should be striving to meet.  From a regulatory standpoint, or code standpoint, it is not required. It's a voluntary minimum consensus standard.  Q. We talked about the label, marked as Vigilante-8, with regard to the dryer standard, the ANSI Z21.5.1.  Did you do any analysis with regard to the product literature, and whether it complied with ANSI Z21.5.1?  A. Yes. So, I'm not aware of it not complying.

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

114 116 1 much guidance on how to present the information, how 1 like I said, the standards, through what the 2 to format the information, or where specifically to 2 competition has done, or recognized what they have 3 3 put it. recognized through their hazard analysis, what they 4 4 UL has a -- which is also applicable to this have recognized through their service data, their 5 5 dryer -- it does have a requirement that operation warranty data, their incident data. 6 6 and maintenance should be visible at the point of Then, you look at hazards that have been 7 operation and maintenance. And that's one of the 7 identified. I would want to know which ones have 8 8 standards that I note in my report, that were not been relegated to warnings, and I would ask them if 9 9 met by Electrolux with regard to a lint fire hazard. there was a way to design out a guard in any of the 10 And, I understand that this dryer was a gas 10 warnings. 11 11 dryer. Right? If I was left with hearing the warnings that 12 12 This is a gas dryer, but according to Mr. cannot be designed out, or guarded against, I would 13 13 King, and Mr. Ripley, they applied the UL 2158 look to see how, and where, it was best to go about 14 standard to it. 14 providing that information to the user. 15 15 So if you were hired by a dryer manufacturer And, how would you go about figuring that 16 to create on-product labels, and literature, what 16 last part out? How do you communicate that to the 17 standard would you use? 17 consumer and user? 18 18 Well, it depends on the product. So using Α. It depends on multiple things. So, first, 19 19 the dryer as an example, I would ask the if it's a hazard that you couldn't address higher in 20 20 manufacturer what standards are specifically the safety hierarchy, and you were going to be 21 applicable to the dryer, to the product. 21 relying upon warnings, I would want to look at the 22 22 So, for example, if Electrolux had hired me severity of the hazard. I would want to look at the 23 23 back in 2003, they would have told me the ANSI likelihood of encountering it, and the number of 24 Standard, again, the gas standard, and the UL 2158 24 people exposed. And, I would want to look at 117 115 1 Standard. So I would have looked through them to 1 whether or not the hazard is something that is 2 2 make sure that the items, that were covered in readily recognized by the user population. And, 3 3 there, were done. that would factor into how, and where, I present the 4 4 I would have also asked Electrolux what information. 5 hazards have they identified through their hazard 5 So, for example, if it's a greatest hazard 6 6 associated with the use and operation of the dryer, analysis. 7 I would have asked them what hazards that 7 that everyone who used it is potentially exposed, 8 8 they identified through their incident reporting, and that there was a fairly high likelihood that an 9 9 their warranty, and service data. event would occur, and no one knows that the fire 10 10 And then I would have asked them how they hazard exists, or can exist, or the prevalence of 11 11 were dealing with those hazards, and if they were it, or the other characteristics of it, then I would 12 relegating warnings, then I would have included that 12 want to make sure that information was on the dryer. 13 13 in my decision making. And, I would want to make sure that information was 14 14 So, with regard to the hazards you identify, readily visible, and readily available, that it 15 would you have suggested to Electrolux that they 15 specifically called out the hazard, and explicitly 16 16 design that out, or would it have been appropriate described what was necessary to deal with it. 17 17 Then, what would you do, if anything? to warn on an on-product label? 18 18 Α. I'm not sure -- I'm sorry, I'm not following That would be my recommendation. Then if I 19 19 have to work with the manufacturers, designers, you. 20 20 Q. engineers in designing, and developing, the warning, Okay. 21 21 First of all, if I'm hired by Electrolux, I would certainly do that. 22 22 The other thing I would also ask is whether they're hiring me as a warnings consultant. I 23 23 wouldn't be identifying the hazard. I would be or not there could be an active warning implemented 24 24 relying upon them to identify the hazards through, into the design.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

1	118		120
1 +	Q. When you say "active warning," like an	1	Q. Do you agree that a consumer has a
2	indicator light, or	2	responsibility to read, and comply, with the
3	A. Or a beeper, audible, or an indicator light.	3	manufacturer's warnings, and instructions?
4	Q. You are familiar with the concept of over	4	A. If they're provided in an adequate fashion,
5	warning. Right?	5	they should.
6	A. Sure.	6	Q. And, you agree that consumers have
7	Q. Okay. And, what do you understand that to	7	responsibility to maintain their product in accord
8	be?	8	with how a manufacturer instructs?
9	A. Well, the issue is that if you provide too	9	A. If it's reasonable, and they're adequately
10	many warnings, much like too much information and	10	warned, and informed.
11	one of the reasons why we don't rely upon manuals	11	Q. Do you agree that it's reasonable for a
12	for critical safety information is that it can	12	manufacturer to expect that consumers are going to
13	overload people. That is, it can be so much	13	read, and comply with their warnings?
14	information that they are not motivated to read it.	14	A. If they provide adequate warnings in the
15	It could be that there's so much information, that	15	requirements, and comply is reasonable.
16	they can't retain it all. There's so much	16	Q. I would like to turn to your proposed
17	information that it just gets lost in the shuffle.	17	warnings in this matter, if that's okay with you.
18	So much information that is irrelevant, or	18	And, you indicated earlier in your deposition that
19	unimportant, that the rest of the information is	19	there were some changes to the warnings that are in
20	ignored because it also seems to be irrelevant.	20	your report. Is that right?
21	The other side of over warning is, again,	21	A. Yes. The warnings, that are in my report, I
22	with respect to the safety hierarchy. If you're	22	had updated in later reports. So the printout you
23	doing your job correctly from a design and	23	have in your hand is the copy of the warning, I
24	manufacturing standpoint, there shouldn't be a risk	24	believe, from Vitale. That was based upon the
	119		121
1	of over warning because you should be capturing	1	scheduling. The Vitale report was done after the
2	these hazards, and mitigating them through design	2	Cloud report.
3	and through guarding issues.	3	Q. Okay. Actually, I will mark the copies you
1		4	
4	If, at the end of the day, when you are done		have. So I am going mark each one of them, so
5	If, at the end of the day, when you are done with your design process, and you've got too many	5	have. So I am going mark each one of them, so there's no confusion.
5 6 7	with your design process, and you've got too many	5	there's no confusion.
5 6 7 8	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go	5 6 7 8	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?
5 6 7 8 9	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can	5 6 7 8 9	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see
5 6 7 8 9	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher	5 6 7 8 9	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that address how many such warnings should be on a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that address how many such warnings should be on a product? Or, does it really just depend on the type	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)  MS. YEMMA: And, the document I'm
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that address how many such warnings should be on a product? Or, does it really just depend on the type of product.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that address how many such warnings should be on a product? Or, does it really just depend on the type of product.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)  MS. YEMMA: And, the document I'm holding, is that the update to Illustration 3?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that address how many such warnings should be on a product? Or, does it really just depend on the type of product.  A. Again, it depends on the product. It	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning that corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report? Is this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me see it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going to mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)  MS. YEMMA: And, the document I'm holding, is that the update to Illustration
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with your design process, and you've got too many warnings, that you're worried about over warning, there's likely something wrong with your development processing and design. And, they really should go back to the drawing board to figure out how they can eliminate, and mitigate, these hazards from a higher level of the safety hierarchy.  Q. Is there, in your mind, an ideal number of on-product warnings?  A. Yes. It depends on the situation, the product, and the hazards.  Q. Is there any research, that is that you have done, or that you're aware of, or studies, that address how many such warnings should be on a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's no confusion.  Before I mark this, is this the warning to corresponds with Illustration 1 in your report this the updated version?  A. Yes, it's updated I'm sorry. Let me it one more time.  Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.  A. Yes. That is the updated version of Illustration 1.  MS. YEMMA: Okay. We're going mark that as Vigilante-9.  (Updated version of warning corresponding to Illustration 1 marked Vigilante Exhibit No. 9 for identification

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	122		124
1	(Updated version of warning	1	was, like, 575 or 600 for the yellow light to
2	corresponding to Illustration 3 marked	2	come on, to indicate that it needs to be cleaned.
3	Vigilante Exhibit No. 10 for	3	Then once it hits 625 cycles, the heating source
4	identification.)	4	would shut down, the red light would come on, and
5	BY MS. YEMMA:	5	the warning would tell them to get the thing
6	Q. Dr. Vigilante, the document I am holding up,	6	cleaned; this is why it's not working.
7	this is the update to Illustration 4, or	7	Q. Any other reason for the update on the
8	A. I dont know that I updated number four. Do	8	warning, other than Mr. Stoddard's opinion?
9	you mind if I take a look?	9	A. I think that was it. I think that was the
10	Q. Yes, that's fine. I am not going to mark it	10	reason why I started updating it.
11	if it's not, so	11	Q. Okay. And with regard to what's been marked
12	A. Yes, I did not update Illustration 4 in my	12	as Vigilante-9 and we'll just work off of the
13	report.	13	exhibits as opposed to what's in your report because
14	Q. Okay. Then, I am not going to mark that.	14	it's well, essentially you're withdrawing that
15	A. Okay.	15	label, that's in your report. Is that right?
16	Q. So, I'm going to hand you the marked copies	16	A. Yes, because that begins my understanding
17	of Vigilante 9, and 10. And if you would for the	17	that Mike changed his opinions I should say
18	record, I think you just did but for	18	modified, or updated, his opinions with regard to
19	completeness sake, if you can confirm, Vigilante-9,	19	the indicator light. So, I wanted to be consistent.
20	that's the update to Illustration 1. Right?	20	Q. Okay. And, you also removed the language in
21	A. Yes.	21	Illustration 1 where it says "Service Indicator
22	Q. And, if you could identify, for the record,	22	Light:" Do you see that?
23	what are the differences between what's been marked	23	A. Yes. I changed it.
24	as Exhibit 9, and Illustration 1?	24	Q. Okay. What was the reason for changing it?
	123		125
1	A. I essentially rewrote the first bullet	1	A. I thought it read better when I rewrote it.
2	point, and the sub bullet points for that warning.	2	Q. How did you come up with the warning that we
3	Q. And, why did you rewrite those bullet	3	see in Vigilante-9?
4	points?	4	A. It was based upon my understanding of the
5	A. Part of it was the fact that I think Mike	5	lint buildup fire hazard that Electrolux has
6	Stoddard changed his or updated his opinions with	6	identified as the greatest hazard associated with
7	regard to the indicator light.	7	their dryer.
8	Initially, he was advocating a single light,	8	And then based upon my understanding of what
9	and then he eventually went to a red and yellow	9	Mike Stoddard opined, and concluded, was feasible
10		10	
l	light.	l .	with regard to the cycle counter, and air exhaust
11	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the	11	monitor.
12	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?	11 12	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in
12 13	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.	11 12 13	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in  Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the
12 13 14	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine	11 12 13 14	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in  Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the  Electrolux dryer at issue?
12 13 14 15	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et	11 12 13 14 15	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in  Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the  Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the
12 13 14 15 16	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.	11 12 13 14 15	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.
12 13 14 15 16 17	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on when the drying heating source, either the gas or	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?  A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	light. Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work? A. The yellow service indicator light flashes. It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on when the drying heating source, either the gas or electric, burn would be shut off.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?  A. Yes.  Q. And, how did you reach the conclusion that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	light. Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work? A. The yellow service indicator light flashes. It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on when the drying heating source, either the gas or electric, burn would be shut off.  So yellow indicator light I don't	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?  A. Yes.  Q. And, how did you reach the conclusion that the warning in Vigilante-9 is an adequate, and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on when the drying heating source, either the gas or electric, burn would be shut off.  So yellow indicator light I don't remember the exact numbers offhand would trigger	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?  A. Yes.  Q. And, how did you reach the conclusion that the warning in Vigilante-9 is an adequate, and effective, warning?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on when the drying heating source, either the gas or electric, burn would be shut off.  So yellow indicator light I don't remember the exact numbers offhand would trigger before the 18-month cleaning cycle, which was	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?  A. Yes.  Q. And, how did you reach the conclusion that the warning in Vigilante-9 is an adequate, and effective, warning?  A. It's adequate, again, because it's used in
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	light.  Q. And, what's your understanding as to how the red and yellow light would work?  A. The yellow service indicator light flashes.  It's indicating to the user to have the machine service cleaned by an authorized, qualified, et cetera, technician.  When the red service light would come on when the drying heating source, either the gas or electric, burn would be shut off.  So yellow indicator light I don't remember the exact numbers offhand would trigger	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	monitor.  Q. So, in your opinion, the warning in Vigilante-9, that's an adequate warning for the Electrolux dryer at issue?  A. Yes. If it's used in conjunction with the safeguard, it would be an adequate warning.  Q. Would it be an effective warning?  A. Yes.  Q. And, how did you reach the conclusion that the warning in Vigilante-9 is an adequate, and effective, warning?

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	126		128
1	It's effective because it meets the	1	indicator lights.
2	requirements of the ANSI Z535.4 standards, and it's	2	Q. And, where are the indicator lights supposed
3	consistent with the guidelines, and recommendations,	3	to be located, or at least proposed to be?
4	laid out in the Human Factors and Warnings	4	A. Give me one second.
5	Literature. And, it's consistent with the	5	Q. Take your time.
6	on-product warnings, that I have tested, personally,	6	A. I just wanted to see where Mike Stoddard had
7	in my professional career in designing, and	7	put the indicator light.
8	developing, on-product warnings.	8	So, it should have been on the top of the
9	Also, being used in conjunction with the	9	dryer towards the back of the console. This is a
10	I already covered that used in conjunction with	10	rear console dryer, so it would have been on the top
11	the indicator lights.	11	of the dryer, back under the light near the rear
12	Q. Right, right. So this warning is intended	12	console.
13	to be used with the indicator lights, the red and	13	Q. Okay. So the label wouldn't be on the
14	the yellow. Is that right?	14	console; right?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. For this one, it would be under it on top
16	Q. Okay. Have you tested the warning in	16	of the dryer under the console. Not directly under
17	Vigilante-9 with any live subjects?	17	it, in front of it, but on the base of the console
18	A. I have not done an experiment where I have	18	is probably a better way to put it.
19	brought in live subjects to interact with the	19	Q. Okay. And, how big do you propose that the
20	warning.	20	label be?
21	Q. Any reason why not?	21	A. Vigilante-9 and 10 did not print out for the
22	A. Well, (a) it wasn't necessary; (b), there's	22	size but, for whatever reason, they got moved. But,
23	issues of costs, and time. Not being a	23	I did have a specific size in mind for them.
24	manufacturer, I don't have deep pockets to bring	24	Q. Is it the size that's in your report, or is
	127		129
1	people in.	1	it larger?
2	Q. With regard to the first one, you said "not	2	A. I have to go back into
3	necessary." Why not? Why is it not necessary to	3	Q. Okay. Take your time.
4	experiment with live subjects with regard to this	4	A. I don't have the size noted, but I believe
5	label?	5	it was like on the order of about 5 to 6 inches
6	A. It's not necessary because it's in	6	wide, by about 4 inches tall, if I'm not mistaken.
7	conjunction with the active warnings, being the	7	I wasn't too worried about the size of these two
8	indicator lights, the safe features, the safeguards,	8	because there's a lot of real estate up on the top
9	of the cycle counter, and the airflow monitor, and	9	of the dryer.
10 11	the fact that it's designed consistent with the	10	So I think Illustrations 1 and 3 would be
12	standards, and guidelines, and recommendations, in	11 12	the minimum size for the warnings. And, the same
13	my prior experience.  Q. Okay. Let's turn to Illustration	13	thing with Illustration 4.  Q. When I deposed you in the Vitale matter, you
14	A. One other point. One other thing, too, it's	14	had testified that you used a heuristic evaluation
15	also in the fact that in the manner in which I	15	in conjunction with creating these warnings. Is
16	suggested it be presented on the dryer would make it	16	that still true?
17	readily visible and conspicuous at all times.	17	A. Yes, in conjunction with the assessment of
18	So, it wasn't an issue of, you know, will a	18	the standards, recommendations, and practices
19	user see it. It's going to be readily visible.	19	readout in the literature.
20	Q. And, what is your and I remember you did	20	Q. Can you take me step-by-step through your
21	give this testimony in Vitale. But if you could,	21	heuristic evaluation with regard to these warnings?
22	just for this record, where do you propose that the	22	A. For the ones Electrolux provided, or the
22			•
23	warning, Vigilante-9, be placed on the dryer?	23	ones I provided?

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

130 132 1 A. 1 lady that traditionally develop issues with visual Okay. 2 Q. And, then we can talk about Electrolux. 2 acuity as people age, that several feet from the 3 Again, I start with an understanding of what 3 warning, that small font size that's used on the 4 4 the hazard is, and where it falls in the risk label on the Laundry Center, is not going to be 5 assessment of the product, and what people know, or 5 legible to a certain segment of the population. 6 6 So when I was designing, and looking at, don't know, and what people do, and don't do. 7 7 So based upon that information, I came to warnings in Illustrations 1 and 3, there was a 8 8 the conclusion that it needed to be on the product minimum font size that I wanted to hit based upon 9 9 as opposed to solely in the manual. the expected viewing distance. 10 Secondly, what I did is I looked at what 10 So we don't, as in the Laundry Center, we 11 11 information, or safeguards, were going to be don't have the issue of looking above us. We have 12 provided in conjunction with the warning, and that 12 it either being right in front of us, or right on 13 13 gets back into what Mr. Stoddard was doing with the top of the top console. 14 14 indicator light, or lights, as the case may be. So earlier in your testimony, you gave me a 15 15 I also looked at the area in which the list of all different types of evaluations that you 16 16 warning could be provided. So some of these dryers, could pick from. Heuristic was one of them; hallway 17 that I have worked on, are front consoles. This one 17 testing, experiments, live subjects, et cetera. 18 18 happened to be a top console. So, that would affect Why did you pick heuristic for this in 19 19 whether or not I was concerned particularly about conjunction with designing these labels? 20 20 the placement issues. Well, I used it in conjunction with 21 Next, I looked to make sure that I am 21 comparing it, and being consistent with the 22 22 identifying a specific hazard, and that I am standard, ANSI Z535.4 standard, and the 23 providing explicit information regarding the hazard, 23 recommendations, and guidelines, in the Human 24 24 and how to avoid it, and so forth. Factors and Warnings Literature. That was adequate 131 133 1 And then I draft the label consistent with 1 to develop a warning for what I was doing. 2 2 Okay. And, how did you learn to conduct good formatting practices, including use bullet 3 3 points, use of white spacing, ensure that the text heuristic evaluations? 4 4 size is sufficient both from a legibility Through graduate school training, and 5 5 standpoint, and from a readability standpoint, and experience. 6 at distances at which it would be encountered. 6 Q. What experience? 7 7 So the warning's going to be within about A. Well, both, in the laboratory, you know, at 8 8 two feet of the user's eyes, so maybe three feet, North Carolina State University, I did a lot of 9 9 tops. So, as long as the warning is, you know .10 those formatting papers we talked about earlier, the 10 10 font, and above, it shouldn't be a problem. prioritization papers we talked about earlier. I 11 11 When you say "it shouldn't be a problem," manipulated these variables for products, and then 12 what do you mean by that? 12 set them in front of people, and see how they 13 13 From a visibility standpoint, a readability reacted, and see how the performance differed based 14 14 standpoint. So, it could be -- I'll give you an upon how these variables were manipulated. 15 example: Electrolux has a Laundry Center, and they 15 So I know, based upon my own firsthand 16 16 put the warning for cleaning on a little label experience with manipulating these variables, how it 17 17 that's on the top of the door frame. affects people's likelihood of seeing, reading, 18 18 When you look at the population of users, comprehending, and so forth. 19 19 you find out that that warning is some distance away When I was with the IBM Corporation, I used 20 from where the viewer's going to be, several feet. 20 all different types of techniques, depending upon 21 21 And you look at the legibility requirements for the project, the time, the resources, and et cetera. 22 22 And, the same thing, I know how developing and print size for those distances for the expected 23 23 population, and the print is too small. designing things certain ways, the results of that

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

when I actually do bring people into a lab.

24

24

So I think a case where you have a little

134 136 1 1 Q. So, what type of variables are you talking are separate. 2 2 about? Like, are you talking about the font, the Okay. So can you tell me what those are, 3 3 the ones that overlap, and that are separate? 4 4 Sure. You've got to be able to communicate Yes. You're looking at issues such as where 5 5 it's located, how it's located, what it's used in the correct message. So, that gets into the 6 6 conjunction with, the size of the font, the type of specificity and explicitness. So, you want them to 7 7 know what the issue is. You want them to know what message that you're giving, the type of white 8 8 spacing that you're using. the consequences are. You want them to know what it 9 9 So, all those different types of formatting takes to avoid it. 10 10 and presentation of variables. If you're not specific, and you're not 11 11 specific, in those messages, it reduces What variables have you found to increase 12 12 understanding, and it can reduce motivation to the likelihood that a warning will be noticed, and 13 13 read? comply. 14 14 Where it's located in conjunction with where Motivation to comply is also associated with 15 15 cost of compliance. So one of the things that helps the operator is expected to be interacting with the 16 16 with Illustration 1 is the fact that it's used in controls is one of them. 17 17 conjunction with safeguards. You are forcing And (2), the size of the font, the use of 18 18 color, the use of the signal word. So, those are compliance. 19 19 variables I know affects the likelihood of seeing We talked about, earlier, what is the best 20 20 compliance rate for a warning. Again, it's and reading. 21 21 dependent upon a hazard, and dependent upon a whole Again, the use of white space, if you're 22 22 using a paragraph of pros, people aren't as likely host of things. But if you can provide a warning in 23 23 to read use if you're not using mix case. It conjunction with a safeguard, that forces the 24 24 compliance, or at least forces you out of a reduces legibility and readability. 137 135 1 The use of bullet points to emphasize from 1 dangerous condition, the warning is used as a 2 2 parts of the message can have an effect on supplement so that you understand what that safety 3 3 readability and comprehension. feature is doing, why it exists, and what you need 4 4 All of the -- I should say most of the to do to keep the machine, or the product, in a safe 5 formatting requirements in ANSI Z535.4 is based upon 5 range, i.e., in this case, get it serviced. 6 6 research. And, the guidelines and recommendations One of the problems that I have with not 7 7 in the Human Factors and Warnings Literature is using a design change, or an active safety guard, is 8 8 based upon research. And, a lot of that research that a portion of the population of users having to 9 9 was done at the time I was in North Carolina State call out a service guy every year and a half, and if 10 10 you have to call out two service guys every year and University. A lot of that research was confirmed in 11 11 my own research, and a lot of those guidelines, a half, because one guy won't clean the vent, and 12 recommendations, and formatting standards, I 12 the other guy won't clean the dryer, you are going 13 13 utilized, and tested, myself at IBM with those to be having these people pay almost as much as they 14 14 products, user testing with other products. paid for the dryer every other year. 15 You just talked about variables that would 15 You know, a lot of folks are strapped for 16 16 increase the likelihood that the label's going to be cash, and that can be a barrier for them having, or 17 17 noticed, the label is going to be seen. Right? giving them the ability, or having the ability to 18 18 A. Yes. comply with the warning. 19 19 What about, are there variables that affect So, that's a drawback, or that's a 20 20 whether a user is going to comply with what the limitation of Illustration 3. There is going to be 21 21 label says? a segment of the population that the cost of 22 Yes. 22 compliance is just going to be too high. They are A. 23 23 Q. Okay. They're separate, or -not going to be able to afford to bring the dryer 24 24 Α. Some of them are overlapping; some of them guy out, and bring the vent guy out, every other

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	138		140
1	year.	1	gives the guys actually coming out to do the dryer
2	Q. Anything else?	2	cleaning, more information as to what needs to be
3	A. I think that's it.	3	done.
4	Q. Okay. Let's talk about Illustration 2 in	4	Q. With regard to Illustration 3, let's look at
5	your report.	5	Vigilante-10.
6	How did you go about creating this	6	So, this is the updated version of
7	illustration, this graphic for the manual?	7	Illustration 3. Is that right?
8	A. I took that from a service bulletin from	8	A. Yes.
9	Electrolux. So, this is an Electrolux produced, and	9	Q. Okay. And, if you could explain what's the
10	provided, illustration.	10	difference between Vigilante-10, and Illustration 3?
11	Q. And, you are proposing that this be included	11	A. I changed the wording of the first two
12	in the manual, and not on the product?	12	bullets.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Why did you do that?
14	Q. And, why is that?	14	A. Because I changed the wording in
15	A. It's too much information for the product,	15	Illustration 1.
16	but in the manual, it provides the user with greater	16	Q. So, it's just merely to make it consistent
17	context as to what's going on.	17	with the update to Illustration 1. Is that a fair
18	So, one of the things I mention in the	18	way
19	report is that the way Electrolux worded their	19	A. Yes.
20	warning regarding a qualified, or authorized,	20	Q. Okay. And, you're adding and, please
21	servicer, Electrolux never defined it, and	21	correct me if I'm wrong. So, you're adding "near
22	Electrolux was aware that some users may think that	22	the heat source" in bullet one?
23	they were qualified.	23	A. Yes.
24	In conjunction with not telling them what	24	Q. Okay. And, then the same with regard to
	139		141
1	needed to be cleaned, or what "interior of the	1	bullet two, right, you're saying oh, no, it's
2	machine" means, users could very well think that	2	already there no in the parenthesis "near the
3	they were qualified to clean the interior of the	3	heat source"
4	machine.	4	A. Yes.
5	So, Illustration 2 in the manual, in	5	Q that's the addition?
6	conjunction with the warning, would give the user an	6	A. Yes.
7	indication that this is much more complicated than	7	Q. Okay. With regard to the warning on
8	the regular product user is going to be able to do.	8	Vigilante-10, did you perform any experiments with
9	And, it needs more credence into the fact that you	9	live subjects to assess this warning you proposed?
10	need a professional to come out, and do it.	10	A. I did not.
11	The other benefit is, of course, when a	11	Q. And, did you use the same evaluation, the
12	professional comes out to do it, like Lint Doctor,	12	heuristic evaluation, as you did with the warning on
13	he knows what's required, or the Sears' service	13	Vigilante-9?
14	technician, or Boscov's service technician.	14	A. Yes, in conjunction with the comparison to
15	Because, as you know through discovery, a lot of	15	the standards, guidelines, and recommendations.
16	your technicians don't know what needs to be	16	THE WITNESS: Can we take a
17	cleaned.	17	five-minute break?
18	I think one of the Sears corporate guys	18	MS. YEMMA: Yes, absolutely.
19	testified that when they send their out to clean the	19	(Brief recess.)
20	dryer, they don't take the drum out; that they	20	BY MS. YEMMA:
21	weren't aware that they needed to take the drum out,	21	Q. Dr. Vigilante, do you believe that the
22	or the propensity for lint to build up behind the	22	Clouds would have followed any of your proposed
23	drum.	23	warning labels?
24	So if you provide it in the manuals, it	24	A. Yes.
44	so ii you provide it in the manuais, it	44	A. Yes.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

142 144 1 Q. Why do you believe that? 1 anything on top of their dryer? 2 2 A. (A), their testimony that they would have I referenced something about what they did 3 3 in my report. I have to go back, and look. followed a warning consistent with what I proposed. 4 4 (B), I didn't see anything in their behavior The Clouds didn't testify -- at least I 5 that would suggest that they were irrational, or 5 don't have note of them testifying if they kept 6 6 different, than the normal population. anything on top of the dryer. 7 7 (C), the research has shown that if you I am going to look through Mike Stoddard's 8 8 provide effective warnings, warnings such as the notes, if he noted anything. 9 9 ones I created, people will, in fact, see them, read My point is, if a user stores things on 10 them, heed them, and comply with them. 10 their clothes dryer all the time, then that's going 11 11 And then, finally, the Clouds were taking to eliminate their ability to see your warning. 12 steps to clean their dryer. So, it just would have 12 Wouldn't you agree with that? 13 been another step in that direction had they known 13 It would potentially interfere with their 14 14 about the potential fire hazard, and the need to ability to see the warning after the first use if 15 15 clean the interior of the dryer. they immediately started putting stuff on there. 16 16 Are you making any assumptions as to whether So it could be, if that's a concern, to put 17 the Clouds read the labels that were on their dryer? 17 it on the front of the dryer, on the front of the 18 I am not. 18 dryer door, and you wouldn't have that problem. 19 19 Do you know whether they did read the labels Q. But Illustration 1, because it's associated 20 on the dryer? 20 with the indicator lights, should go on the top, and 21 Α. I think their testimony was they don't 21 then the indicator lights are going to provide the 22 22 recall warnings being on the dryer. information to the user, and eventually, if it shuts 23 And, that's my understand, too, that that's 23 off, the indicator light would tell them that it 24 24 what they testified to. shut off, and the warning underneath the indicator 143 145 1 So, in light of that testimony, why do you 1 light will tell them why. 2 2 believe that would have, first of all, seen your The second thing is, is that Mrs. Cloud 3 3 proposed label, and followed what it said? didn't testify that she never read the label on the 4 4 My label, unlike the label Electrolux put on dryer. She testified she didn't recall whether 5 5 there, would have been readily visible, and there was a label there, or not. They're two very 6 6 conspicuous, as opposed to a black and white label different things. 7 7 attached to the inside of a door frame near the Q. I understand. 8 8 The other thing I want to mention, too, is hinges of the door where is was only visible when 9 9 the door was open, and you were looking inside there that Carl King testified that Electrolux chose to 10 10 at that side of the door frame. put their checklist, tape it to the top of the dryer 11 11 My warning would have been visible each and to "to be in your face." 12 every time they went to turn the dryer on. It would 12 So my proposal is not different than what 13 have been visible while the dryer was operating, and 13 Electrolux itself stated they do, and the reason 14 so forth. 14 they do it. 15 15 Ο. So, you propose putting the label on the top Q. Dr. Vigilante, did you have an understanding 16 16 of the dryer. But, what if -- and I can't remember of whether the Clouds read the product literature 17 what the Clouds testified to, and we can talk about 17 that came with the dryer? 18 that -- but, what's preventing a consumer from 18 I just read my notes that Mr. Emil Cloud 19 19 putting things on top of the dryer, that would cover said they received a packet, but he never read it. 20 up the label? 20 How about Mrs. Cloud, or is that what you Q. 21 21 They could, but it would be there initially are looking for? 22 for them to see, and it would be there every time 22 Yes, I am looking for that. I'm sorry. A. 23 23 they clean the top of the dryer off. Q. No, no, that's okay. 24 24 Do you know whether the Clouds stored A. On Page 40, he said that -- 38 to 39, he

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

146 148 1 said that the installer left a bag of documents 1 So it's your testimony that if a user 2 after installing is the dryer. 2 understands how to use the dryer, then they don't 3 Page 40: He received a booklet with a lot 3 have to read the Owner's Manual. Is that right? 4 4 of papers in it. He probably received, and read it, That's correct, and Electrolux is well aware 5 but can't say for sure. He always skims through 5 of that. 6 6 Owner's Guides for anything he buys. He probably Q. Why do you say that? 7 7 would have the skimmed through the Owner's Guide Α. Because that's what they testified to. 8 8 when they installed the dryer. He never had a I don't think Carl testified that users 9 9 reason to go back, and read, the Owner's Guide after didn't have to read the manual. He testified that 10 he initially skimmed through it. He does not recall 10 it's an intuitive product. 11 11 any of the warnings in the Owner's Guide. A. Yes. 12 He did not read the Installation 12 Q. And, I'm paraphrasing, but --13 13 Instructions because he paid a professional to do A. He did, and Ripley testified they designed 14 14 it to be easy to use. 15 15 Mrs. Cloud testified she recalls getting a But, I don't think at any point did Carl, as 16 16 booklet with the dryer -- Page 42 -- she does not their corporate designee, or any other employee of 17 17 Electrolux, say that a user does not have to read recognize the Owner's Guide for the dryer. 18 18 On 43: She testified she does not recall if the manual? 19 19 I didn't say that. she received one, or more, booklets. She recalled Α. 20 skimming through the booklet for the dryer. She 20 Q. Okay. 21 does not recall anything in the booklet about how 21 A. I said Electrolux was well aware that people 22 22 the dryer was supposed to be cleaned, and could not were not reading the manual. So, Carl King 23 23 specifically recall any one thing she read in the testified Electrolux was aware that installers and 24 24 manual. users do not read the provided manuals. Electrolux 147 149 1 43 to 44: She just recalls reading the 1 knows that people can purchase and use dryers 2 2 manual on one occasion when they got the dryer. without a manual, and Electrolux was well aware that 3 3 And on Page 44, she said she never had any if users did not read the manual, they would 4 reason to look at the manual again. She didn't have 4 probably be unaware of the important 18-month 5 5 any problems with the dryer. cleaning requirement. 6 6 And on 44 and 45, she does not recall the Do you have an opinion as to what Electrolux 7 7 Installation Instructions. could have done to increase the number of users that 8 8 And, you agree that an owner of a dryer has were reading the manual? 9 9 the responsibility to read the Owner's Manual. I don't have an opinion as to what 10 10 Electrolux could have done to increase the number of Right? 11 people that read the manual. 11 I don't know that I agree with that. If 12 they don't know how to use the dryer, then they 12 What I would say is that from a product 13 13 should read the manual. design standpoint, you would want to design the 14 14 If they know how to read the manual -- I product in such a way to reduce the need for people 15 don't know that they're required to read it, and I 15 to reference a manual. And as part of that, you 16 16 don't know that it would be unreasonable not to read would want to make sure that critical warnings and 17 17 safety information were on the product because (a), 18 18 I think Mr. King, and Mr. Ripley, testified you want to reduce people's reliance on the need to 19 19 they designed the dryer to be intuitive to use, and read the manual. And (b), you know that people 20 they wanted it to be easy to use. There's no 20 don't read the manual, and therefore any critical 21 21 requirement to read the manual. safety information would need to be on the product. 22 22 Q. What's the point to having a manual then? And, we know that if you're paying someone 23 23 to install it, there would be no reason the read the A. Manuals are for reference, and they're for 24 24 Installation Instructions. folks that either have a question about the product,

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

150 152 1 1 can't figure out how to use the product by just on the market. I'm not aware if there's any other 2 trying to use it, or may be unfamiliar with the 2 manufacturers that are still making ball-hitch 3 product. 3 dryers in the last several years since Electrolux 4 4 One other way manuals are appropriately used transitioned down to their bulkhead. 5 is to provide a more full explanation of a 5 So, one of the things we haven't described 6 6 particular warning. today, and I think we talked about in Vitale -- and 7 7 So, for example, it would be proper to put a if we didn't, I apologize -- but the need for the 8 8 warning on a product that says "Warning: XYZ, bad warranty has to do with the risk of fire due to the 9 9 things can happen. See owner manual, Page 12 for design of this product. 10 more information." That would be a perfectly 10 Q. Right. 11 11 acceptable application, or use, of an Owner's A. So, a bulkhead dryer doesn't have the same 12 12 risk. It doesn't have the same -- you don't get to Manual. 13 13 One other caveat, too -- because I don't the same end when you do your analysis. So, a 14 14 want to make this too, too broad -- but we're warning may not be required for a bulkhead dryer 15 15 talking about a consumer product. We're not talking because of the risk level is so much lower. 16 16 about a heavy piece of industrial machinery that a And, that last question I wanted to get to 17 17 user doesn't have any experience with. it currently. How about back to when this dryer was 18 18 So, again, you've got to know your user manufactured in 2003? 19 19 population, what their experiences are, what their I didn't do a survey of the dryers in 2003, 20 20 knowledge is, and what they are likely to do, and but I do know that in 2005, Fisher & Pakel did have 21 not do, to determine whether or not a manual is 21 the indicator light on the dryer, and I know that as 22 22 of 2005-2006 timeframe, Electrolux, in their better appropriate, or not appropriate, based on critical 23 23 safety information. dryer lines, were using indicator codes, if not 24 24 Is there ever a situation where it's codes and lights, to warn people of restricted 151 153 1 appropriate, or reasonable, for a manufacturer to 1 airflow. 2 2 include critical safety information in the manual? Q. Okay. So, that answers my question with 3 Well, my opinion is that if it needs to be 3 regard to indicator lights. But are you aware of 4 on the product, it needs to be on the product, and 4 any dryers -- and we will just make it open-ended --5 5 it should be repeated in the manual. any dryers ever that had on-product labels that are 6 6 Maybe your question is whether or not it substantially similar to the ones you're proposing? 7 7 should only be in the manual. A. Again, I'm not aware of any. 8 8 Right, should only be in the manual. Okay. So earlier in your deposition, you 9 9 So, again, it depends on the situation. If talked about the label -- well, at least the one 10 10 that was inside the door frame -- and you agree that you have, like, for example, a complicated piece of 11 11 it did comply with the gas dryer standards. industrial equipment, the warning related to a 12 maintenance item, the appropriate place may be the 12 We have not talked about whether you have 13 13 manual as opposed to on the product. Because if the reviewed the Owner's Guide, and Installation 14 14 maintenance guy, the guy who is running the Instructions, and whether you have an opinion as to 15 maintenance department, you know, may not have 15 whether they comply with ANSI Z21.5.1? 16 16 exposure to the equipment, but he may have exposure A. We did. 17 17 to the manual. So, it just depends on the product. Ο. We did talk about that? 18 18 On dryers available on the market currently, A. 19 19 would we find any dryers that would have Okay. And, you said that they did? Q. 20 substantially similar on-product labels to those 20 A. I said that I had no information that they 21 21 you're proposing? didn't. 22 Currently on the market? 22 A. Q. Okay. So other than the Fisher & Pakel, and 23 23 Q. Currently on the market. the Electrolux dryer, that you mentioned, are you 24 24 A. I have not done a survey of all the dryers aware of any other dryers in 2003, until the

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

	154		156
1	present, that are using indicator lights?	1	For example, he discusses a function active
2	A. Not dryers.	2	lights, which are used to indicate that a particular
3	Q. Other products?	3	function is occurring. He includes special hazard
4	A. Other appliances.	4	condition lights that present hazards that the
5	Q. Okay. What types of appliances are using	5	operator may not be aware unless a warning light is
6	indicator lights, that you're aware of?	6	provided. He gives some examples of where those
7	A. Washers, refrigerators, stoves, ovens,	7	lights can be helpful.
8	microwaves, dehumidifiers, vacuums, toasters.	8	He gives an example of malfunction lights,
9	Q. Okay. You've named every appliance.	9	the cue that some malfunction has occurred, or is
10	A. A waffle maker.	10	about to occur. And, he gives the underneath
11	Q. Okay. Do you have any	11	malfunction light, he gives the ubiquitous example
12	A. Griddle.	12	of a dummy light of a dashboard of a vehicle.
13	MR. LEVINE: Sir, are you done with	13	So, I think that's the only thing I
14	your answer?	14	reference with regard to indicator lights.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	15	Q. Okay. I think my question was about
16	MR. LEVINE: I'm just trying to	16	compliance with indicator lights.
17	move on.	17	So, any studies to suggest how consumers
18	BY MS. YEMMA:	18	would respond to an indicator light?
19	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any studies, or	19	A. There are. I didn't cite any specifically.
20	articles, to support compliance rate for indicator	20	I didn't really think it was necessary.
21	lights?	21	Indicator lights have been used for decades
22	A. I have in the past. I didn't cite anything	22	to indicate the status of a system, and shown to be
23	specifically other than the Woodson's textbook	23	very effective in doing so.
24	regarding the use and need and benefits of indicator	24	As I mentioned a little bit earlier, their
	155		157
1	lights.	1	use is ubiquitous amongst products, particularly
2	Q. So, what does that article say with regard	2	appliances.
3	to indicator lights?	3	Q. In the Vitale deposition, we talked about
4	A. The textbook?	4	reading level assessments, and I believe it was in
5	Q. Yes. I don't expect you to be able to	5	conjunction with your view of Dr. Purswell's
6	recall the textbook, but in a nutshell, can you	6	opinion.
7	A. It's one of the references that I cited.	7	Do you remember that testimony, even
8	Q. Okay.	8	generally?
9	A. The computer makes the paper easier, it just	9	A. Generally, I do.
10	A. The computer makes the paper casion, it just	10	
	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry	-0	Q. Okay. So, Dr. Purswell evaluates the
11	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.	11	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid
11 12	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357	11 12	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.
11 12 13	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook?	11 12 13	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment. A. Yes.
11 12 13 14	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a	11 12 13 14	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion
11 12 13 14 15	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And	11 12 13 14 15	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between
11 12 13 14 15	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator	11 12 13 14 15	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would say it's a washer.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would say it's a washer.  And, then he says the use of lights to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. He has a grade level higher. I think
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would say it's a washer.  And, then he says the use of lights to display information changes in display status to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. He has a grade level higher. I think eighth grade he says in his report.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would say it's a washer.  And, then he says the use of lights to display information changes in display status to signify changes and functional status rather than	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. He has a grade level higher. I think eighth grade he says in his report.  A. He just provides this is my memory
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would say it's a washer.  And, then he says the use of lights to display information changes in display status to signify changes and functional status rather than results of continued activation alone. He just	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. He has a grade level higher. I think eighth grade he says in his report.  A. He just provides this is my memory because I don't have it.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	takes me a little longer to open the files. Sorry about that.  So on Page 357 Q. This is of the textbook? A. Yes, Woodson Textbook, he provided a description of lights to display information. And one of the examples he provides is an indicator light, either a it looks like a washer I would say it's a washer.  And, then he says the use of lights to display information changes in display status to signify changes and functional status rather than	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	information in the manual using the Flesch-Kincaid Reading Assessment.  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. And, your response to his opinion concerning that was that the text should be between a fourth and sixth grade reading level. Do you remember that?  A. Yes.  Q. Okay. He has a grade level higher. I think eighth grade he says in his report.  A. He just provides this is my memory

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

	158		160
1	6.8, almost a seventh reading level. So, that's	1	record, identify Vigilante-12?
2	satisfactory.	2	A. Vigilante-12 is an excerpt from Chapter 36
3	My point in the Vitale deposition is that	3	in the textbook Handbook of Human Factors and
4	typically, warnings instructions are written at no	4	Ergonomics, Second Edition, published in 1997.
5	greater than a sixth-grade level. So, this is a	5	Q. So before we took that short break, I
6	little bit higher. But, the general recommendation	6	believe you had testified that the general
7	for general consumer use is to write between a	7	recommendation is that the reading level for a
8	fourth and a sixth grade level.	8	warning should be between the fourth and fifth
9	Q. Okay. So that general recommendation, as	9	grade. Is that right?
10	you put it, to write between a fourth and sixth	10	A. Yes.
11	grade level, where does that come from?	11	Q. And, you believe these articles would
12	A. It comes from Human Factors Literature, and	12	support that?
13	I had brought two articles with me to the Vitale	13	A. Yes.
14	deposition that explicitly stated that. I brought	14	Q. And, if you would draw my attention to where
15	them with me today, but Ken pulled them from the	15	that is?
16	file.	16	A. On Exhibit 12, do you see where it's
17	Q. He did?	17	highlighted on Page 1187 of the text?
18	A. As far as the Purswell	18	Q. I see that, "As noted earlier, a grade 4-6
19	MR. LEVINE: Oh, the things that	19	range is usually recommended."
20	were attached at the end?	20	A. Yes.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	Q. And, they're talking about with regard to
22	MR. LEVINE: Do you want me to	22	on-product warnings, literature, or both?
23	bring them in?	23	A. This is with regard to warnings. So, it's
24	THE WITNESS: It's up to you.	24	for both on-product, and other manifestations of
	159		161
1	MS. YEMMA: Yes, do you mind?	1	warnings.
2	MR. LEVINE: No, no.	2	Q. Okay. And, is there anything in
3	MS. YEMMA: It was my understanding	3	Vigilante-11?
4	only the report had been pulled, but	4	A. Yes. Page 30, under the section "What Makes
5	MR. LEVINE: Yes, I didn't even	5	the Text Readable", it states, "A 6th to 8th reading
6	realize there was something attached to	6	level is often considered suitable for the general
7	them.	7	public, but an even lower level may be desirable for
8	MS. YEMMA: Okay, no issue.	8	critical information. Higher reading levels might
9	(Brief recess.)	9	be acceptable for more literate and educated
10	(Documents marked Vigilante Exhibit	10	audiences. When in doubt, write to the 6th grade
11	Nos. 11 and 12 for identification.)	11	reading level."
12	BY MS. YEMMA:	12	Q. Do you know the Clouds' educational
13	Q. Okay. We just took a short break to make	13	backgrounds?
14	some copies. So, I just marked as Vigilante 11 and	14	A. I think Mr. Cloud was a I know he was
15	12 two documents, and I'm going to hand them to you.	15	I believe he was either a college grad I don't
16	Do you recognize those documents?	16	know if he was an advanced degree grad, or not. I
17	A. Yes.	17	don't recall.
18	Q. Okay. And if you would, for the record,	18	Q. How about Mr. Cloud?
19	what is Vigilante-11?	19	A. I don't recall. I don't think they were
20	A. It's a reference guide call Manufacturer's	20	high school dropouts.
21	Guide Developing Consumer Product Instructions	21	Q. Okay. Have any of the opinions in your
22	published in October, 2003, for the U.S. Consumer	22	report been peer-reviewed?
23	Product Safety Commission.	23	A. In this particular report, I don't believe
24	Q. Okay. And how about, if you would for the	24	they have been peer-reviewed. And similar reports I

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

	162		164
1	have done in the past, they have been.	1	main things.
2	Q. And, would those have been reports you did	2	Of course, the warnings should be at the
3	at Robson?	3	front of the manual, or at the beginning of the
4	A. Yes.	4	manual.
5	Q. And are the opinions you've offered in the	5	Q. So if you were using Illustration 1 so
6	Cloud case, are they substantially similar to the	6	let's say it's a dryer that had an indicator light.
7	reports that you issued, while you were at Robson,	7	Where would Illustration 1 go in the manual?
8	that had been peer-reviewed?	8	A. Well, I think it would go up front, and then
9	A. Similar, yes.	9	it would be repeated within the operations manual in
10	Q. In those earlier reports, had you and I'm	10	the section that describes, and talks about, the
11	just going from my own memory were you proposing	11	service indicator lights.
12	the alternative warnings like you are in this case?	12	MS. YEMMA: So I am marking, as
13	A. How do you mean?	13	Vigilante-13, the Owner's Guide.
14	Q. Like, the Illustrations 1, 2, 3 and 4, were	14	(Owner's Guide marked Vigilante
15	those included in the early reports like in the	15	Exhibit No. 13 for identification.)
16	Powers and Marquette matters?	16	BY MS. YEMMA:
17	A. Something similar to 1 and 2 were.	17	Q. So if you could just explain in context, now
18	Sometimes, if the installation instruction issue is	18	that I have handed you the document, where would you
19	not relevant to the case, I don't provide it in the	19	put Illustration 1?
20	report. And, I think I started adding Illustration	20	A. Certainly on the page that's marked Page No.
21	No. 2 in more recent reports because it was	21	2.
22	identified more recently in Electrolux's discovery	22	Q. Okay.
23	material.	23	A. And, then there would be a section related
24	Q. I'm sorry, could you say that again with	24	to the service indicator lights. So it's not
	163		165
1	regard to the last part?	1	currently a section in the manual because there is
2	A. Illustration 2	2	no service indicator light in the manual.
3	Q. Okay.	3	Q. So you would like to see a section about the
4	A I don't believe I had identified that in	4	indicator lights, in addition to what we see in
5	the Electrolux discovery material in the years past.	5	Illustration 1, in the Owner's Guide?
6	It's only been within, like, the last year, or so,	6	A. Well, there had to be because you have to
7	that I identified that in Electrolux's literature.	7	describe the use. So, for example, on Page 5,
8	Q. Other than the articles, that are referenced	8	-
9			there's a features list. For example, the cycle
1	in your report, are there any other articles you are	9	there's a features list. For example, the cycle signal control, the drum light, reversible door,
10	in your report, are there any other articles you are relying on to form your opinions in this case?		
		9	signal control, the drum light, reversible door,
10	relying on to form your opinions in this case?	9 10	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing
10 11 12 13	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?	9 10 11	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it
10 11 12	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.	9 10 11 12	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you
10 11 12 13	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.
10 11 12 13 14 15	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?  A. Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide. Installation Instructions, it may be redundant to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?  A. Yes.  Q. Is there anything else about the Owner's	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide. Installation Instructions, it may be redundant to put it in there. I don't think it can hurt, but it
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?  A. Yes.  Q. Is there anything else about the Owner's Manual that you would change?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide. Installation Instructions, it may be redundant to put it in there. I don't think it can hurt, but it needs to be in the Owner's Guide.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?  A. Yes.  Q. Is there anything else about the Owner's Manual that you would change?  A. Yes. Using the warnings would certainly	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide. Installation Instructions, it may be redundant to put it in there. I don't think it can hurt, but it needs to be in the Owner's Guide.  Q. And then with regard to Illustration 3, you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?  A. Yes.  Q. Is there anything else about the Owner's Manual that you would change?  A. Yes. Using the warnings would certainly make the information more conspicuous, and more	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide. Installation Instructions, it may be redundant to put it in there. I don't think it can hurt, but it needs to be in the Owner's Guide.  Q. And then with regard to Illustration 3, you propose that it be included in the Owner's Guide.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relying on to form your opinions in this case?  A. Specifically?  Q. Specifically.  A. No.  Q. So with regard to the Owner's Manual, I know you are proposing the inclusion of what's in the illustration, that they be added to the manuals, right, like, Illustration 1, 2?  A. Yes.  Q. Is there anything else about the Owner's Manual that you would change?  A. Yes. Using the warnings would certainly	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	signal control, the drum light, reversible door, drying rack, you would imagine a section dealing with the indicator lights, too, whether you put it under features, you make it its own section, you know, whatever you want to do.  Q. And with regard to Illustration 2, would you include that in the Owner's Guide, and the Installation Instructions, or just one of them?  A. I think it needs to be in the Owner's Guide. Installation Instructions, it may be redundant to put it in there. I don't think it can hurt, but it needs to be in the Owner's Guide.  Q. And then with regard to Illustration 3, you

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

	166		168
1	It would either be Illustration 1 and 2, or	1	MS. YEMMA: Okay. We'll organize
2	Illustration 2 and 3.	2	the exhibits while you're gone.
3	Q. And with regard to the proposed placement of	3	(Mr. Levine and Dr. Vigilante exit
4	Illustration 3, would it be at the front just like	4	the conference room.)
5	for Illustration 1?	5	MR. LEVINE: I wanted to make a
6	A. Yes, you definitely have Illustration 1 or 2	6	statement and it's really just so that
7	on the front prominently placed on the Page 2. And,	7	the record will reflect that I actually sat
8	then, like I said, you either create a new section,	8	here for the entire deposition and it's
9	or maybe you put it under Features, or you put it	9	that the expert report was issued in
10	under the Care and Cleaning on Page 6. But, you've	10	January, and then the other case came along.
11	got to include that information somewhere in the	11	And through the additional consideration, or
12	body of the manual explaining what the process is,	12	study, the other warnings were issued. They
13	and then that's the appropriate place for	13	are not the warnings that were in the
14	Illustration No. 2.	14	January report. It would be our
15	Q. On Illustration 1, you don't make any	15	intention when I say "our intention",
16	reference to the 18-month cleaning.	16	from a litigation standpoint, that we use
17	A. Right.	17	the more current warnings in this trial, or
18	Q. Is there any reason for that? Or, why	18	litigation, as well.
19	didn't you reference it?	19	And, I don't know if that was clear
20	A. The 18-month cleaning is accounted for in	20	from what communications that Patrick has
21	the cycle counter. So, we know, and by "we" I mean	21	had with you, or the progress of this, and
22	myself, Mr. Stoddard, and Electrolux, know that 625	22	wanted to make sure that you had had enough
23	cycles is an average for 18 months. And that an	23	time to ask Dr. Vigilante questions about
24	individual user may encounter 625 cycles in a few	24	the more current ones so that at the time of
	167		169
1	months, or maybe three years.	1	trial, no one will sit back, and say, well,
2	So, Electrolux has pinned their cleaning	2	it wasn't in your original report, and so
3	requirement based upon an approximate number of	3	you can't talk about it.
4	cycles. So, we don't want the user cleaning the	4	Are there any more questions that
5	dryer before they have to, and we don't want them to	5	you would like to ask in that regard?
6	clean the dryer after it needs to be done.	6	MS. YEMMA: And I didn't know until
7	So if they're a heavy user, and they reach	7	today, that you were using the more updated
8	18 months of average use within 12 months, you want	8	warnings. But, I understand that, and I
9	the cleaning at 12 months, not going another six	9	feel like I've asked enough questions.
10	months, and another six months of lint buildup.	10	And, in addition, in Vitale and
11	Q. Okay. I believe we have thoroughly covered	11	you'll correct me if I'm wrong that
12	your opinions in this matter, and your bases for	12	report did contain updated warnings. Right?
13	them.	13	THE WITNESS: Yes. That's why
14	Is there anything that you would like to	14	things got a little bit confused because of
15	add?	15	the timing between everything.
16	A. I can't think of anything at this time.	16	MS. YEMMA: I understand. Sure.
17	MS. YEMMA: Okay. Ken, unless you	17	MR. LEVINE: All right. And, I
18	have anything, I'm done.	18	have nothing else to add
19	MR. LEVINE: I have no questions of	19	MS. YEMMA: I appreciate you
20	this witness.	20	mentioning that.
21	But just bear with me for one	21	MR. LEVINE: other than some
22	second. I do want to consult with him for	22	faxing breaks, and to get some candy from
23	just a minute on one issue, and then I'll be	23	the outside, and occasionally I made bad
24	right back in.	24	jokes, that were edited out of the

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

	170				172
1 2	transcript, and that's perfectly acceptable.  Thanks. I have nothing else to	1 2			
3	add.	3	3 Please read your deposition over carefully		
4	Do you have anything further?	4	and make any necessary corrections. You should		
5	MS. YEMMA: No, I have nothing	5	state th	e reason i	n the appropriate space on the
6	further. I think we're all set.	6	errata s	heet for a	ny corrections that are made.
7	MR. LEVINE: All right.	7	Af	ter do so,	please sign the errata sheet
8	Well, thank you very much.	8	and date	e it.	
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	9	Yo	ou are sigr	ning same subject to the changes
10	MS. YEMMA: Thank you, Dr.	10	you hav	e noted o	n the errata sheet, which will be
11	Vigilante.	11	attached	d to your	deposition.
12	· ·	12	It	is imperat	tive that you return the
13		13	original	errata she	eet to deposing attorney within
14	(Witness excused.)	14	_		f receipt of the deposition
15	(Deposition concluded at 3:20	15	transcri	pt by you.	If you fail to do so, the
16	p.m.)	16	depositi	on transcr	ript may be deemed to be accurate
17	,	17	=	y be used	
18		18			
19		19			
20		20			
21		21			
22		22			
23		23			
24		24			
	171				173
1	CERTIFICATE	1		Ε	RRATA
2		2			
3	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings,	3	PAGE	LINE	CORRECTION
4 5	evidence and objections are contained fully and	4			
6	accurately in the stenographic notes taken by me upon the Deposition of WILLIAM J. VIGILANTE, JR.	5			
7	Ph.D., CPE, August 31, 2016, and that this is a true	6			
8	and correct transcript of same.	7			
9	and control transcript or carrier	8			
10		9			
11		10			
12		11			
13		12			
<b> </b>	<del></del>	13			
14	DONNA HUNTER	14			
1.5	Registered Professional Reporter	15			
15 16	and Notary Public	16			
17		17			
18	(The foregoing certification of	18			
19	this transcript does not apply to any	19			
20	reproduction of the same by any means,	20			
21	unless under the direct control and/or	21			
22	supervision of the certifying reporter.)	22			
23		23			
24		24			

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

	174		176
1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT	1	Vigilante-2
2		2	S .
3	I, do hereby	3	
4	certify that I have read the foregoing pages	4	
5	to and that the same is a correct	5	Vigilante-2 is a CD retained by Ms.
6	transcription of the answers given by me to the	6	Yemma, and not attached to the transcript.
7	questions therein set forth, except for the	7	
8	corrections or changes in form or substance, if any,	8	
9	noted in the attached Errata sheet.	9	
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13	Subscribed and sworn to before me this	13	
14 15	day of,	14 15	
16	My commission expires:	16	
17	wy commission expires.	17	
18		18	
19		19	
20	Notary Public	20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
	175		177
1	Vigilante-1	1	Vigilante-3
2	vigiante i	2	vigilarite 5
3		3	
4		4	
5		5	
6		6	
7		7	
8		8	
9		9	
10		10	
11		11	
12		12	
13		13	
14		14	
15 16		15 16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

WILLIAM J. VIGILANTE, JR., Ph.D., CPE

		178			180
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-4		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-6	
24		179	24		181
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-5		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-7	

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

WILLIAM J. VIGILANTE, JR., Ph.D., CPE

		182			184
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-8		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-10	
27		183	2-1		185
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-9		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-11	

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

		186
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-12	
2 7		187
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vigilante-13	

48 (Pages 186 to 187)

	adding (3) 140:20,21	<b>agree (11)</b> 42:10	<b>anytime (2)</b> 41:19
A ability (4) 127.17.17	162:20	96:23 97:13 98:10	90:6
<b>ability (4)</b> 137:17,17	addition (6) 13:22	120:1,6,11 144:12	apart (4) 15:8 68:6
144:11,14	58:18 71:8 141:5	147:8,11 153:10	92:15 100:22
<b>able</b> (11) 33:21 41:15	165:4 169:10	air (2) 72:3 125:10	apologize (1) 152:7
41:15 65:20 84:8	additional (2) 19:1	airflow (7) 12:2 81:4	appear (1) 89:21
85:23 108:15 136:4	168:11	104:20,23 125:24	appliance (2) 81:15
137:23 139:8 155:5	address (7) 67:14,17	127:9 153:1	154:9
<b>absolutely (2)</b> 82:24	101:5,8,9 116:19	airport (2) 73:14,21	appliances (3) 154:4
141:18	119:18	al (3) 69:12 70:3	154:5 157:2
acceptable (5) 53:8	adequacy (8) 28:23	72:10	applicable (5) 28:24
111:22 150:11	35:14,21 36:7 53:9	alarm (1) 69:7	39:6 54:19 114:4,21
161:9 170:1	53:13 56:6 64:5	alert (1) 72:14	application (1)
acceptance (1) 112:8	adequate (22) 70:12	alleged (1) 11:3	150:11
accepted (2) 38:16	71:14 72:14 73:5	allegedly (2) 49:14	applied (1) 114:13
51:12	108:8,10,12,14,18	62:11	applies (1) 113:3
access (1) 75:11	108:20 109:6,7,13	allow (2) 91:13,21	apples (1) 113.3 apply (4) 91:17
accessible (1) 53:6	109:23 111:18	allowed (2) 66:4	112:24 113:2
Accident (1) 111:16	120:4,14 125:13,16	68:10	171:19
accompany (1) 92:12	125:20,22 132:24	allowing (1) 67:6	<b>Applying (1)</b> 38:6
accompanying (1)	adequately (1) 120:9	Allstate (2) 4:17 17:1	appreciate (3) 29:12
46:10	adhered (1) 44:21	alternative (1)	99:16 169:19
accord (3) 90:17 92:16 120:7	adhesives (1) 43:16	162:12	approaching (2)
	adjacent (3) 25:16	<b>Amended (2)</b> 5:8	71:23 74:12
<b>accordion (3)</b> 43:17 43:22,24	73:18 127:24	11:7	appropriate (7)
accordions (1) 44:22	Administration (3)	<b>America</b> (1) 72:3	115:16 150:22,22
accounted (1) 166:20	21:11,14,16	<b>amount (3)</b> 33:19,20	151:1,12 166:13
accurate (1) 172:16	adults (1) 39:19	42:23	172:5
accurate (1) 172.10 accurately (1) 171:5	advanced (1) 161:16	amusement (1) 47:7	appropriately (1)
ACKNOWLEDG	advantages (1) 55:2	analysis (10) 80:1,3	150:4
174:1	advertisements (1)	92:10 100:6,7	approximate (3) 16:4
acquire (1) 83:3	40:8	105:13 113:18	16:11 167:3
acquisition (2) 39:18	<b>Advil</b> (1) 42:13	115:6 116:3 152:13	approximately (1)
43:2	advisor (1) 38:13	and/or (4) 54:7 79:24	123:23
actions (1) 76:22	advocating (2) 62:7	105:15 171:21	<b>April</b> (7) 22:8 58:23
activation (1) 155:22	123:8	<b>Annual (1)</b> 38:18	64:12 74:23,24
active (5) 117:23	<b>affect</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 28:22 31:15	<b>ANSI</b> (14) 32:5 38:14	75:19 81:17
118:1 127:7 137:7	35:18 130:18	39:10 96:6,18	area (5) 16:2 73:7
156:1	135:19	100:18 107:5	92:21 107:22
actual (5) 40:17,21	affirmative (2) 77:7	113:17,20 114:23	130:15
40:23 44:9 67:23	77:9	126:2 132:22 135:5	areas (1) 16:1
acuity (1) 132:2	<b>affixed</b> (1) 44:19	153:15	<b>argument</b> (1) 101:8
add (3) 167:15	<b>afford</b> (1) 137:23	<b>answer</b> (1) 154:14	arises (1) 4:19
169:18 170:3	age (1) 132:2	answers (3) 10:24	article (7) 29:14,14
added (3) 50:7 57:8	<b>agencies</b> (1) 32:8	153:2 174:6	29:14,15 31:3 39:21
163:16	agent (1) 105:3	<b>anybody</b> (1) 52:19	155:2
<b>addendum (1)</b> 38:15	ago (2) 47:1 97:8	<b>anymore</b> (1) 36:21	<b>articles (19)</b> 11:20
	I	I	I

28:16 29:11,17,22	atypical (1) 65:10	146:9 152:17	67:11 68:15 70:24
30:3,7,12 31:10	audible (4) 70:9,11	167:24 169:1	72:8 74:19 75:20,22
32:21 37:7 39:24	70:15 118:3	background (4)	77:18 78:13 81:23
40:9 45:12 154:20	<b>audiences (1)</b> 161:10	27:15,18,19 53:18	82:2 90:7 95:1
158:13 160:11	August (8) 1:18	backgrounds (1)	97:16 110:15 111:1
163:8,9	14:18 22:23 56:20	161:13	120:24 129:4
asked (16) 18:5,7	72:4 76:5,6 171:7	<b>bad</b> (2) 150:8 169:23	141:21 142:1 143:2
23:17 38:13 46:17	<b>authorized</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 94:24	bag (1) 146:1	157:4 160:6,11
48:15 50:14,18 51:3	95:1 123:15 138:20	<b>balcony (2)</b> 49:17,24	161:15,23 163:4
61:8 77:16 85:15	<b>auto</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 104:19	<b>ballpark</b> (1) 74:17	167:11
115:4,7,10 169:9	automatically (1)	<b>ball-hitch (3)</b> 82:19	<b>Bell (2)</b> 1:17 2:5
asking (1) 87:3	58:2	82:23 152:2	<b>benchmark</b> (1) 54:12
aspect (1) 62:6	available (4) 29:18	barrier (2) 50:5	<b>benefit</b> (3) 40:7 81:11
assess (8) 46:8 48:17	53:12 117:14	137:16	139:11
53:12 56:5 76:20	151:18	base (1) 128:17	benefits (2) 108:22
105:19 110:9 141:9	average (2) 166:23	based (18) 32:23	154:24
assessed (2) 47:7	167:8	42:16 54:22,23,24	best (5) 21:13 75:22
54:23	avoid (8) 74:12 93:21	63:10 80:2 120:24	86:6 116:13 136:19
assessing (4) 53:4	96:20 97:5 101:11	125:4,8 130:7 132:8	<b>better (6)</b> 11:4 42:9
54:17 64:5,10	108:16 130:24	133:13,15 135:5,8	44:2 125:1 128:18
assessment (3)	136:9	150:22 167:3	152:22
129:17 130:5	<b>avoiding</b> (1) 108:17	bases (1) 167:12	<b>big</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 41:13,17
157:12	aware (25) 27:3	<b>basically (3)</b> 31:13	128:19
assessments (2) 54:8	64:20 68:3,19,20	53:3 54:2	<b>bike</b> ( <b>8</b> ) 65:11 66:21
157:4	89:7 90:14,15 95:11	basis (3) 99:3,7 111:5	67:3,12,18 68:2,3,5
<b>associated (14)</b> 34:21	99:4,6 113:21	<b>Bates</b> (7) 11:1,17,18	<b>Bill (1)</b> 12:3
39:9 52:1 63:6	119:17 138:22	12:2,3,6 92:2	<b>binder (3)</b> 13:24 14:1
97:14,24 101:4	139:21 148:4,21,23	<b>battery</b> (1) 45:21	14:3
104:11 105:14	149:2 152:1 153:3,7	beacons (1) 74:8	<b>bit</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 43:10,18
111:3 117:6 125:6	153:24 154:6 156:5	<b>bear</b> (1) 167:21	156:24 158:6
136:14 144:19	<b>a.m</b> (1) 1:18	<b>bearing (2)</b> 49:19	169:14
<b>assume</b> (1) 92:11	D	50:1	<b>black</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 27:14,17,19
<b>assuming</b> (1) 92:9	<u>B</u>	<b>beeper (1)</b> 118:3	27:22 28:1,4 143:6
assumptions (1)	<b>b</b> (4) 3:4 126:22	<b>beeping (2)</b> 104:19	black-and-white (1)
142:16	142:4 149:19	104:24	27:10
attach (1) 57:17	back (41) 4:20 6:11	beginning (2) 50:12	blame (1) 112:18
attached (15) 3:5	6:14,23 23:3 24:11	164:3	blockages (1) 89:6
36:19 43:4,7 44:12	25:15,18 27:23 35:1	begins (1) 124:16	Blue (2) 1:17 2:5
47:5 86:8,9 91:5	37:4 44:19,20,24	behalf (5) 46:7 71:11	BMW (3) 65:21 66:1
143:7 158:20 159:6	45:7 58:19 70:20,22	71:13 98:4 110:8	67:14
172:11 174:9 176:6	72:16,19 73:24 75:24 78:15 79:11	behavior (3) 33:22	<b>board</b> (2) 59:12
attention (4) 35:23	85:19 88:5 99:16	35:24 142:4	119:9
74:14 108:13	103:20 105:4	belief (1) 63:17	<b>Bobcat</b> (2) 69:20,21
160:14	103:20 103:4	believe (41) 5:1,15,19	body (3) 32:14
attentive (2) 72:15	119:9 128:9,11	19:8 23:16 33:23	112:11 166:12
74:11	129:2 130:13 144:3	37:3 40:19 61:7	<b>booklet</b> (4) 146:3,16
attorney (1) 172:13	127.2 130.13 144.3	62:1,16 63:22 67:3	146:20,21
	l	l	

<b>booklets (1)</b> 146:19	141:1	case (73) 7:15 8:14	center (5) 25:18
Boscov's (1) 139:14	<b>bulleted (1)</b> 41:18	9:2,6,7 15:20 22:5	105:22 131:15
<b>bother</b> (1) 22:19	bulletin (1) 138:8	24:15 27:3 46:15,16	132:4,10
<b>bottle (8)</b> 40:14 42:7	bullets (1) 140:12	46:20 48:6,10 50:3	certain (4) 52:17
43:5 44:10,11,12,14	bunch (2) 36:18	58:24 59:15,23,23	113:23 132:5
45:4	37:11	60:1,4,15 61:2,3,9	133:23
<b>bottles (1)</b> 40:18	<b>burn (1)</b> 123:19	61:11 64:22 65:1,3	certainly (3) 117:21
bottom (3) 21:20	buys (1) 146:6	65:16,23 66:15,22	163:21 164:20
66:22 89:15		67:11 68:1,14,16,19	CERTIFICATE (1)
bought (1) 50:4	C	69:11,14,24 70:8,14	171:1
brakes (1) 74:5	C (3) 2:1 72:9 142:7	71:9,19 72:20,23,24	certification (2) 4:2
branding (1) 44:17	<b>cabinet</b> (9) 89:1,2,5,8	73:1 74:15 75:16	171:18
Bravos (1) 103:23	89:15 95:4,8,10	77:1 78:10,12,16	certify (2) 171:3
break (8) 5:3 7:2	99:2	79:16 86:21,24 87:3	174:4
56:15 83:13 89:24	cabinets (1) 45:20	101:23 103:2,14	certifying (1) 171:22
141:17 159:13	cables (1) 45:21	105:9 109:12	cetera (4) 24:2
160:5	call (15) 12:14,20	130:14 131:24	123:16 132:17
breaks (1) 169:22	13:16 25:13 26:11	134:23 137:5 162:6	133:21
Brian (3) 8:23,23,24	79:18 86:6 88:13,14	162:12,19 163:10	challenge (1) 68:18
<b>bridle (6)</b> 46:24 49:2	88:16,18 93:16	168:10	<b>challenged</b> (1) 68:13
50:10 55:6,9 110:10	137:9,10 159:20	cases (47) 12:21	Chalrie (1) 72:9
Brief (2) 141:19	<b>called (5)</b> 31:3 42:17	15:22 16:4,5,9,16	chance (2) 31:16,17
159:9	69:20,21 117:15	16:18,21,24 25:1	change (5) 19:21
bring (6) 85:10	<b>calling</b> (1) 55:6	59:3,7,9 60:2,7,10	35:23 110:14 137:7
126:24 133:24	calls (2) 8:7 84:3	60:12 61:12,14,16	163:20
137:23,24 158:23	cameras (1) 36:20	61:24 62:2,4,8,10	<b>changed (6)</b> 9:15
bringing (1) 54:6	candy (1) 169:22	62:14,18,21,23 63:1	123:6 124:17,23
broad (1) 150:14	<b>capturing (2)</b> 112:20	63:20 64:1,2,16,19	140:11,14
<b>brought</b> (7) 4:17 5:20	119:1	69:4 71:8,17,18	changes (8) 21:5
13:23 88:22 126:19	car (4) 45:20 73:12	72:2,12 79:22 82:18	51:10 53:5 120:19
158:13,14	73:24 74:13	86:16,18 103:3	155:20,21 172:9
<b>Brown (2)</b> 8:2 9:1	cards (2) 36:15 45:24	105:11	174:8
Brown's (1) 8:3	care (2) 41:12 166:10	cash (1) 137:16	<b>changing (1)</b> 124:24
<b>Brugger</b> (1) 59:12	career (1) 126:7	catch (1) 97:12	<b>chapter (4)</b> 3:22 38:9
brush (3) 85:22 86:5	careful (1) 91:11	<b>catching</b> (1) 68:5	38:19 160:2
86:7	<b>carefully (1)</b> 172:3	category (1) 40:11	characteristics (1)
<b>Buckley (1)</b> 11:7	Carl (19) 8:2,15,16	cause (4) 62:12 67:5	117:11
<b>build</b> (1) 139:22	8:17,18,19,19 9:3,3	80:23,23	characterize (1) 19:3
<b>buildup (6)</b> 89:4	10:23 19:4 97:18,19	<b>caution</b> (1) 39:11	<b>checklist</b> (1) 145:10
97:11,24 105:15	97:23 98:8 145:9	caveat (1) 150:13	<b>chemical</b> (2) 64:8,9
125:5 167:10	148:8,15,22	<b>CD</b> ( <b>29</b> ) 3:7 5:24 6:3	<b>chemicals (2)</b> 42:21
<b>built</b> (1) 32:9	<b>Carolina (2)</b> 133:8	6:5,9 7:3,6,8 10:6,7	43:15
<b>bulkhead (7)</b> 82:15	135:9	10:8,12 11:9 13:22	<b>chipper (2)</b> 47:2
82:16,19,23 152:4	carriers (1) 16:23	14:4,10,23 15:1,5,9	112:10
152:11,14	carrying (1) 34:8	15:14 17:4,18 19:12	<b>chose (3)</b> 55:9 109:10
<b>bullet (7)</b> 123:1,2,3	Carter (3) 72:3,20	21:10 75:3 77:1	145:9
131:2 135:1 140:22	73:1	103:12 176:5	<b>chosen (2)</b> 55:19,21
	l	l	l

chronologically (1)	104:21 112:24	commencing (1) 1:18	<b>complies</b> (1) 96:6
45:18	113:2 144:10	commercial (1) 39:1	comply (15) 31:18
circuit (1) 20:23	Cloud (28) 1:5 8:2	commission (2)	96:10,15 108:19
cite (3) 112:22	9:15 10:24 14:8,8	159:23 174:16	113:5 120:2,13,15
154:22 156:19	23:13,17 74:15	Commissioners (1)	135:20 136:13,14
cited (2) 9:10 155:7	75:20 79:15 81:14	59:13	137:18 142:10
civilian (1) 70:24	81:16,19 94:8,12,15	<b>committee</b> (1) 38:12	153:11,15
claim (1) 11:3	95:3 103:2,6 121:2	communicate (3)	complying (2) 108:22
claimed (1) 67:14	145:2,18,20 146:15	65:10 116:16 136:4	113:21
claims (2) 51:22	161:14,18 162:6	communicated (1)	components (2)
91:20	Clouds (16) 4:18	107:9	37:24 111:3
<b>clarify (3)</b> 99:11	25:8 28:13 90:5	communications (1)	comprehending (1)
106:3 109:24	91:17 92:5 94:23	168:20	133:18
Clausen (1) 8:15	102:2 141:22	<b>companies (3)</b> 46:11	comprehension (2)
clean (20) 83:23,24	142:11,17 143:17	81:16 87:23	35:19 135:3
84:2,5 85:12,18,21	143:24 144:4	company (16) 4:18	comprehensive (1)
86:3 88:10 94:22,24	145:16 161:12	23:6 46:13,14,24	163:24
95:9 137:11,12	Cloud's (1) 91:5	48:23 49:3 60:19	<b>computer (3)</b> 10:5
139:3,19 142:12,15	code (3) 107:5,6	61:19 68:18 69:6	36:14 155:9
143:23 167:6	113:12	70:5,5 75:6 83:19	<b>computers (2)</b> 36:18
cleaned (19) 81:22	codefendant (2)	106:19	36:19
83:24 86:11 87:13	60:20 64:2	<b>compare</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 100:24	<b>concept</b> (1) 118:4
89:1 94:8,12,15,20	codes (2) 152:23,24	103:15 105:13	<b>concern (1)</b> 144:16
95:4,7 99:3 105:15	<b>coherently (1)</b> 100:20	<b>compared</b> (2) 33:12	<b>concerned</b> (1) 130:19
123:15 124:2,6	colleagues (1) 53:5	103:3	concerning (1)
139:1,17 146:22	<b>collected (2)</b> 24:22,24	comparing (1)	157:15
<b>cleaning (25)</b> 8:7	<b>college (1)</b> 161:15	132:21	<b>concerns (1)</b> 51:23
9:12,13 81:16 83:16	<b>collision</b> (1) 73:6	<b>comparison</b> (4) 53:20	<b>concluded (2)</b> 125:9
83:16 84:11 87:19	<b>color</b> (9) 27:5,6,21,24	103:8 105:5 141:14	170:15
89:3 94:10 98:21	96:17 100:17,19	competition (1)	<b>conclusion (3)</b> 52:3
105:19,20 107:2,8	134:3,18	116:2	125:19 130:8
123:22 131:16	<b>colored</b> (1) 27:9	competitive (1) 54:9	<b>conclusions (2)</b> 34:13
140:2 149:5 166:10	<b>combustion (9)</b> 60:14	competitors (1)	80:2
166:16,20 167:2,4,9	61:11 62:14,15,17	54:11	<b>condition (3)</b> 59:24
<b>cleanup</b> (1) 70:23	63:5,19 97:7 100:3	<b>Complaint (2)</b> 11:1,7	137:1 156:4
<b>clear (1)</b> 168:19	come (17) 23:2 28:5	<b>complete</b> (1) 58:20	<b>conduct (2)</b> 52:21
<b>clearance (2)</b> 93:16	50:18 55:1,24 88:4	completeness (1)	133:2
93:22	88:5,17 99:15	122:19	<b>conducted</b> (1) 35:13
<b>Clearly (1)</b> 21:12	110:24 111:7	<b>compliance</b> ( <b>14</b> ) 43:3	<b>conducting (2)</b> 34:5
<b>client</b> (1) 48:5	123:17 124:2,4	108:21,23 109:1,2	34:14
close (1) 66:23	125:2 139:10	111:19,21 136:15	conference (2) 78:6
<b>closed (6)</b> 16:14	158:11	136:18,20,24	168:4
43:11,18 73:15,18	comes (6) 102:13,15	137:22 154:20	<b>confirm</b> (1) 122:19
102:1	102:21 104:16	156:16	<b>confirmed</b> (2) 82:20
<b>clothes (14)</b> 16:19	139:12 158:12	complicated (2)	135:10
28:17,18,19,24 29:1	coming (4) 18:23	139:7 151:10	confused (1) 169:14
59:4 60:5 61:3,11	47:14 69:1 140:1	<b>complied</b> (1) 113:19	<b>confusion (1)</b> 121:5
	I	I	I

	l		ı
conjunction (24)	<b>contained</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 7:6 8:5	98:3 139:18 148:16	<b>Crabtree</b> (3) 7:8,9
32:21 38:21 47:22	15:4,14 35:10 77:1	Corporation (1)	11:14
52:6,22 103:1	171:4	133:19	crank (1) 66:22
125:15,23 126:9,10	<b>container (8)</b> 40:21	correct (30) 5:24	crash (2) 59:18,23
127:7 129:15,17	40:22 42:13 44:19	14:1 18:12 19:16	create (4) 41:17
130:12 132:19,20	44:20,22,23 50:21	35:11 39:3 42:4	110:19 114:16
134:6,14 136:17,23	<b>containers (2)</b> 42:12	45:5 58:17,21 59:1	166:8
138:24 139:6	42:20	60:24 61:23 64:14	created (3) 78:24
141:14 157:5	<b>contains</b> (1) 7:12	66:11 77:2,15 91:6	79:2 142:9
<b>connected</b> (2) 9:24	contemporaneous (	91:7,18 92:9 100:14	creating (3) 54:1
10:18	79:2	105:8 113:1 136:5	129:15 138:6
<b>connection (3)</b> 18:16	context (3) 12:18	140:21 148:4	<b>credence</b> (1) 139:9
81:14 90:6	138:17 164:17	169:11 171:8 174:5	Creiger (1) 8:20
consensus (1) 113:14	continuation (1) 38:4	CORRECTION (1)	crews (1) 73:15
consequences (2)	<b>continued</b> (1) 155:22	173:3	critical (8) 31:15
108:16 136:8	continuing (1)	corrections (3) 172:4	65:10 118:12
<b>consider</b> (1) 81:6	104:24	172:6 174:8	149:16,20 150:22
consideration (1)	contrary (1) 91:9	<b>correctly (2)</b> 33:7	151:2 161:8
168:11	control (2) 165:9	118:23	criticism (3) 100:22
<b>considered</b> (1) 161:6	171:21	corresponding (4)	100:23 101:6
<b>consistent (8)</b> 124:19	<b>controls (1)</b> 134:16	3:16,18 121:17	cue (1) 156:9
126:3,5 127:10	Convenience (1)	122:2	<b>current (13)</b> 20:18,21
131:1 132:21	55:23	corresponds (1)	21:2,9 29:6 56:19
140:16 142:3	conversation (7)	121:7	56:22 57:2 83:1
console (8) 128:9,10	12:18,24 13:21	cost (8) 88:18,21	84:23 85:1 168:17
128:12,14,16,17	77:19,22 78:8 79:3	108:20,23,24 109:2	168:24
130:18 132:13	conversations (2)	136:15 137:21	currently (6) 85:3
<b>consoles (1)</b> 130:17	28:9 79:15	costs (1) 126:23	151:18,22,23
conspicuous (3)	<b>conveyed</b> (1) 106:10	<b>Council</b> (1) 111:16	152:17 165:1
127:17 143:6	<b>Conzola (3)</b> 30:23	counsel (3) 2:6,10 4:2	Curriculum (1)
163:22	38:3,17	counter (5) 81:4	20:10
construction (3)	<b>copied (2)</b> 7:22 21:22	125:10,24 127:9	<b>CV (12)</b> 3:10,11 7:20
73:15,19 74:6	copies (6) 8:3 19:15	166:21	20:2,16 21:2,9,16
<b>consult</b> (1) 167:22	103:11 121:3	<b>County (1)</b> 59:13	29:2,6,22 37:10
<b>consultant (1)</b> 115:22	122:16 159:14	<b>couple (2)</b> 63:9 71:12	cycle (9) 80:20 81:4
<b>consulted</b> (1) 112:6	<b>copy</b> ( <b>33</b> ) 5:5,12 7:3	course (5) 15:21	123:22,24 125:10
consumer (12) 3:20	7:22 8:8 9:16,20	32:17 101:6 139:11	125:23 127:9 165:8
30:5 38:24 40:7	10:10 11:7 14:11	164:2	166:21
102:9 116:17 120:1	17:3,5,7,11 18:2	<b>court (4)</b> 1:1,21 19:2	cycles (5) 123:23
143:18 150:15	20:2,3,5,16,17,18	172:17	124:3 166:23,24
158:7 159:21,22	20:21 21:6 22:15,18	Court's (1) 68:11	167:4
consumers (3) 120:6	29:9 57:17,20 58:8	cover (4) 7:20 14:14	<b>C-3</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 94:21
120:12 156:17	58:20 76:13 91:2	14:17 143:19	C-4 (1) 94:22
contact (1) 111:2	120:23	covered (3) 115:2	
contacts (1) 112:21	corner (2) 43:11	126:10 167:11	D
contain (2) 77:5	66:22	<b>CPE (4)</b> 1:9 3:2 4:7	<b>D</b> (1) 3:1
169:12	corporate (4) 2:8	171:7	daily (2) 99:3,7
L			

	İ		1
<b>damage</b> (1) 97:6	71:13	depositions (6) 4:22	development (3)
damper (2) 93:13,13	<b>define (3)</b> 53:2 108:8	7:23 8:14 12:8	54:13,14 119:7
danger (1) 39:10	109:3	16:15 22:10	device (2) 47:4 81:5
<b>dangerous</b> (1) 137:1	<b>defined (1)</b> 138:21	<b>Depot</b> (3) 42:22	devices (2) 36:19
dashboard (1)	<b>definitely</b> (1) 166:6	43:14 46:14	125:24
156:12	<b>deflection (1)</b> 67:1	<b>describe</b> (2) 89:19	diagram (1) 12:2
data (5) 28:21 115:9	<b>degree</b> (1) 161:16	165:7	<b>diameter (1)</b> 93:14
116:4,5,5	dehumidifiers (1)	<b>described (2)</b> 117:16	<b>differed</b> (1) 133:13
date (3) 21:20 22:11	154:8	152:5	difference (3) 21:1
172:8	<b>deLUCA (3)</b> 1:15 2:2	<b>describes (2)</b> 105:14	109:6 140:10
<b>dated (7)</b> 14:14 17:21	64:24	164:10	differences (1)
20:11 29:6 56:20,23	<b>demand</b> (1) 20:5	description (3) 3:5	122:23
80:6	<b>DEMANDED</b> (1) 1:4	42:9 155:15	<b>different</b> ( <b>16</b> ) 14:10
<b>David</b> (2) 8:1,16	<b>department (2)</b> 12:10	descriptions (1)	14:23 15:18 27:11
day (3) 14:13 119:4	151:15	155:23	38:24 54:16 79:4
174:14	<b>depend</b> (1) 119:19	design (23) 54:17	94:14 107:21
days (1) 172:14	<b>dependent (2)</b> 136:21	66:20 81:2,7 109:8	132:15 133:20
day-to-day (2) 98:12	136:21	109:10,14,17 110:5	134:9 142:6 145:6
98:24	<b>depending (3)</b> 42:14	110:14 111:8	145:12 155:24
<b>deal (5)</b> 98:15,18	101:17 133:20	112:13 115:16	direct (2) 40:7
100:7 104:7 117:16	<b>depends</b> ( <b>14</b> ) 54:19	116:9 117:24	171:21
<b>dealing (3)</b> 37:15	99:9 112:1,1,2	118:23 119:2,5,8	<b>direction (3)</b> 35:24
115:11 165:10	114:18 116:18	137:7 149:13,13	43:21 142:13
dealt (2) 30:4 65:8	119:14,21,22,22,23	152:9	<b>directly (6)</b> 34:4
decades (2) 111:13	151:9,17	<b>designed</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 49:19	40:14 85:8 103:6
156:21	<b>depicted (3)</b> 94:21,22	50:1 111:7 116:12	105:13 128:16
<b>December</b> (1) 4:20	102:24	127:10 147:19	disadvantages (1)
<b>decide</b> (1) 54:21	<b>depo (4)</b> 9:2 10:15	148:13	55:2
<b>decided</b> (1) 54:22	13:9 21:15	<b>designee (2)</b> 98:3	disassembling (1)
<b>decision (2)</b> 112:4	DEPONENT (1)	148:16	84:15
115:13	174:1	<b>designer (2)</b> 52:11	<b>disclosed (2)</b> 104:6,7
<b>decorative (6)</b> 46:12	<b>deposed</b> (4) 22:3	110:2	<b>Disclosure</b> (1) 11:17
47:17,21 48:23	58:23 81:21 129:13	<b>designers</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 117:19	disclosures (2) 11:17
49:16 50:8	<b>deposing (1)</b> 172:13	<b>designing</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 38:23	12:6
<b>deemed</b> (1) 172:16	deposition (44) 1:6	50:16 117:20 126:7	<b>discovery (11)</b> 7:13
deep (1) 126:24	1:13 3:6 4:21,24 5:6	132:6,19 133:23	11:10,11,18 12:6
<b>defect</b> (1) 66:20	5:6,8,23 6:16 7:16	<b>desirable</b> (1) 161:7	19:1 28:9 92:1
<b>defendant (20)</b> 2:10	7:17,18 8:1,4,13	desire (1) 112:14	139:15 162:22
4:16 59:10 60:19	10:11 14:19,21	<b>destroyed</b> (1) 26:20	163:5
61:20 62:22,24 63:3	19:10 57:8 60:4	<b>determine</b> (4) 54:14	discussed (5) 8:8
63:20 64:1 65:9,11	64:12 68:22 81:14	71:13 106:5 150:21	80:21 84:21 90:11
70:2 71:19,24 72:13	82:3 84:4 90:11,13	develop (2) 132:1	90:12
72:16,22 73:10,13	91:5 110:7 112:7	133:1	discusses (1) 156:1
<b>defendants (2)</b> 63:3	120:18 153:8 157:3	<b>developed</b> (1) 48:7	<b>Discussion (2)</b> 6:22
69:24	158:3,14 168:8	<b>developing</b> (6) 3:20	24:18
<b>defense (6)</b> 7:8 18:20	170:15 171:6 172:3	50:17 117:20 126:8	disk (4) 6:11 24:13
18:21 19:15 71:11	172:11,14,16	133:22 159:21	24:13,14
	1	ı	1

dismiss (1) 32:19	23:5 28:15 56:17,22	104:14 105:7,15,23	earlier (16) 12:5
dismissing (1) 32:2	58:15 77:21 78:3	106:6,14,20 107:10	37:12 45:23 75:2
<b>display (3)</b> 155:15,20	90:5 95:22 103:1	107:13,14,17,18	95:13 110:7 112:7
155:20	122:6 141:21	108:1 113:16 114:5	120:18 132:14
<b>distance (2)</b> 131:19	145:15 157:5,10	114:10,11,12,15,19	133:9,10 136:19
132:9	168:3,23 170:10	114:21 117:6,12	153:8 156:24
<b>distances (2)</b> 131:6	<b>draft</b> (1) 131:1	125:7,14 127:16,23	160:18 162:10
131:22	draw (2) 34:13	128:9,10,11,16	early (2) 73:9 162:15
<b>DISTRICT (2)</b> 1:1,2	160:14	129:9 137:12,14,23	easier (2) 35:4 155:9
<b>Doctor (8)</b> 83:21,22	<b>drawback (1)</b> 137:19	139:20 140:1	easily (1) 36:22
85:11 87:19,21 88:2	<b>drawing</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 119:9	142:12,15,17,20,22	<b>EASTERN</b> (1) 1:2
88:20 139:12	<b>drawings</b> (1) 54:3	143:12,13,16,19,23	easy (3) 43:8 147:20
<b>document (11)</b> 5:12	<b>dried</b> (1) 63:12	144:1,6,10,17,18	148:14
5:16 17:16 20:15	<b>drill (2)</b> 86:5,9	145:4,10,17 146:2,8	eat (1) 90:1
76:6 78:3,23,24	<b>drive</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 6:7,8,11,12	146:16,17,20,22	economical (1)
121:19 122:6	6:15 10:4 14:16	147:2,5,8,12,19	109:15
164:18	<b>driver (9)</b> 71:23 72:1	148:2 152:11,14,17	economically (1)
<b>documents (14)</b> 11:1	72:15,22 73:5,13	152:21,23 153:11	110:6
11:18 12:6 13:24	74:5,11,12	153:23 164:6 167:5	<b>edited</b> (1) 169:24
14:3 15:3,8,13 19:9	<b>drives</b> (4) 6:19 36:15	167:6	<b>editing</b> (1) 21:4
76:4 146:1 159:10	46:1,2	<b>dryers (34)</b> 16:19	<b>edition (2)</b> 111:15
159:15,16	<b>driving (1)</b> 73:11	24:1,5,6,21 27:2	160:4
<b>doing (18)</b> 12:16 20:7	<b>dropouts</b> (1) 161:20	28:6,11,17,18,19,24	<b>educated</b> (1) 161:9
32:13 37:5 64:11	<b>drum (7)</b> 84:8,10	29:1,16 59:4 60:3	<b>education</b> (1) 15:17
70:23 73:16,19	89:12 139:20,21,23	61:3 86:1 105:11,18	educational (1)
75:15 80:1 86:15,17	165:9	105:24 112:24	161:12
86:19 118:23	dry (1) 104:22	113:3 130:16 149:1	<b>effect</b> (1) 135:2
130:13 133:1 137:3	dryer (160) 8:5 11:3	151:18,19,24 152:3	<b>effective (10)</b> 109:3,4
156:23	11:3 19:5 23:13,15	152:19 153:4,5,24	109:7,12,18 125:17
<b>DONNA</b> (2) 1:14	23:17,24 24:2 25:8	154:2	125:21 126:1 142:8
171:14	25:16,17,18,19	drying (3) 100:5	156:23
dont (1) 122:8	27:21,24 28:13	123:18 165:10	efficiency (1) 82:11
door (29) 25:12,21	42:18 60:5 61:11	duct (8) 85:14,18	<b>EHP-Cloud</b> (4) 11:2
26:3,4,5 27:7 95:14	62:22 63:12,13,22	86:2 88:9 91:1,8	11:19 92:2,3
95:18,24 98:11	63:24 64:10 81:2,22	94:21,22	eighth (1) 157:20
101:17,18 102:1,4	82:6,12,15,15,16,23	<b>ducting (2)</b> 8:12	either (28) 15:14
102:10,11,20,21,22	83:3,5,7,15,16 84:5	91:22	19:11 41:24 45:14
107:19 108:4	84:7,9,15,24 85:3,8	ducts (1) 91:13	46:9 53:4 60:12
131:17 143:7,8,9,10	85:23,24 88:3,10,17	due (2) 80:4 152:8	61:18 63:12 64:19
144:18 153:10	90:17 91:17,20 92:6	duly (1) 4:7	68:21 72:12 75:5
165:9	92:12 94:5 95:4,8	dummy (1) 156:12	77:1 78:17 79:24
doors (1) 102:17	95:10,13 96:8 97:3	<b>duplicate</b> (1) 9:9	92:7,12 101:19,23
doubt (4) 85:23	97:14,24 98:1,2,9	<b>DVRs</b> (1) 12:1	111:2 123:18
91:23 92:4 161:10 Dr (28) 3:0 4:13 7:3	98:12 100:11 101:4		132:12 149:24
<b>Dr</b> ( <b>28</b> ) 3:9 4:13 7:3 17:10,21 18:1 20:10	101:12,17,18,24 102:2 103:5,16,23	E (7) 1:16 2:1,1,4 3:1	155:17 161:15 166:1,8
20:14 21:18 22:3	102:2 103:5,16,23	3:4 173:1	elbows (1) 85:9
20.14 21.10 22.3	103.24 104.3,10,11	3,11/3,1	CIDOMS (1) 02:3
	1	•	

<b>elderly (1)</b> 41:17	encompass (1) 44:14	<b>evidence (2)</b> 92:12	<b>expanded (1)</b> 38:19
electric (2) 7:12	encompasses (1)	171:4	expect (3) 112:19
123:19	15:17	exact (1) 123:21	120:12 155:5
<b>electrical (2)</b> 32:10	<b>encounter (1)</b> 166:24	exactly (4) 35:7	<b>expected (3)</b> 131:22
90:20	encountered (1)	50:20,22 123:24	132:9 134:15
electrocution (1)	131:6	examination (2) 1:7	<b>experience (8)</b> 15:17
97:20	encountering (2)	38:11	52:18 112:16
<b>Electrolux (77)</b> 1:9	112:3 116:23	examine (1) 23:13	127:12 133:5,6,16
2:11 11:11,16,17	ends (1) 32:13	<b>examined</b> (1) 4:8	150:17
12:1,3,4,5 15:22	engagement (2) 7:16	<b>example (22)</b> 8:12	experienced (2)
16:4,5,16,18 18:24	14:12	34:24 35:3 36:4,5	52:14 98:2
22:12 24:6,20 26:22	<b>engineer (1)</b> 79:21	42:10 88:7 103:10	experiences (1)
27:11 28:8 60:3	Engineering (1) 38:7	112:5,9,9 114:19,22	150:19
61:2,12 76:21 86:15	<b>engineers (2)</b> 82:17	117:5 131:15 150:7	<b>experiment (5)</b> 32:24
86:17,21 91:12,20	117:20	151:10 156:1,8,11	33:2,4 126:18 127:4
92:1,8,23 93:20	English (1) 44:4	165:7,8	experimentation (1)
94:9 98:4 102:17,20	ensure (1) 131:3	<b>examples (3)</b> 36:10	54:4
103:2 105:11,11,13	entire (4) 41:22	155:16 156:6	experiments (5) 33:5
105:22 106:16	84:13 85:12 168:8	excerpt (2) 3:22	33:15 42:5 132:17
113:5 114:9,22	equipment (2)	160:2	141:8
115:4,15,21 125:5	151:11,16	<b>excessive</b> (1) 67:1	<b>expert (10)</b> 3:12 7:8
125:14 129:22	<b>Ergonomics (3)</b> 3:23	<b>excluded (3)</b> 64:19	11:14,16,16 18:20
130:2 131:15 138:9	38:18 160:4	68:8 81:8	18:21 19:15 79:22
138:9,19,21,22	errata (5) 172:6,7,10	<b>excluding (2)</b> 81:9,11	168:9
143:4 145:9,13	172:13 174:9	<b>excused</b> (1) 170:14	<b>experts (1)</b> 16:7
148:4,17,21,23,24	ERSA (1) 1:21	<b>Exemplar (7)</b> 8:10	expert's (1) 80:5
149:2,6,10 152:3,22	<b>escape</b> (1) 67:7	9:11 24:1,5,6,20	<b>expires</b> (1) 174:16
153:23 163:5	<b>ESQUIRE (2)</b> 2:3,8	28:6	<b>explain (4)</b> 33:4
166:22 167:2	essentially (4) 53:15	<b>exhaust (6)</b> 66:23	66:17 140:9 164:17
Electrolux's (8)	113:22 123:1	67:5,7 92:19,19	<b>explaining (1)</b> 166:12
10:24 90:18 94:6	124:14	125:10	<b>explanation (2)</b> 91:12
101:8 103:4 105:6	<b>estate (4)</b> 42:8,24	<b>exhibit</b> ( <b>19</b> ) 5:9,17,18	150:5
162:22 163:7	44:6 129:8	5:21 17:19,22 20:11	<b>explicit</b> (2) 101:10
<b>electronic</b> (1) 57:19	et (7) 24:2 69:12 70:3	29:7 56:23 57:18	130:23
<b>elevated (3)</b> 49:17	72:10 123:15	77:23 94:22 95:19	<b>explicitly (3)</b> 67:17
50:4 67:4	132:17 133:21	121:18 122:3,24	117:15 158:14
<b>elevation (1)</b> 49:21	<b>evaluates (1)</b> 157:10	159:10 160:16	explicitness (1) 136:6
<b>eliminate (4)</b> 109:18	evaluating (4) 42:2	164:15	<b>Exponent (1)</b> 11:24
110:5 119:10	53:9 54:10 71:21	<b>exhibits (2)</b> 124:13	<b>expose (2)</b> 32:11 35:5
144:11	<b>evaluation (6)</b> 54:9	168:2	<b>exposed</b> (3) 66:24
<b>Emil (3)</b> 1:5 14:8	54:12 129:14,21	exist (1) 117:10	116:24 117:7
145:18	141:11,12	<b>existing</b> (1) 53:4	<b>exposure (2)</b> 151:16
<b>emphasize</b> (1) 135:1	evaluations (2)	exists (2) 117:10	151:16
<b>employee (3)</b> 73:10	132:15 133:3	137:3	<b>expressed</b> (1) 19:22
73:11 148:16	<b>event</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 117:9	exit (1) 168:3	extra (1) 58:3
employees (1) 23:8	<b>eventually (2)</b> 123:9	<b>expand</b> (3) 42:23	eyes (1) 131:8
<b>enclosed (1)</b> 14:19	144:22	43:20 99:17	

	R 1(1) 160 0	F: 1 (5) 102 22	e 1(1) 54 4
F	feel (1) 169:9	Fisher (5) 103:22	formal (1) 54:4
<b>face (1)</b> 145:11	feet (4) 131:8,8,20	104:5,10 152:20	format (3) 43:1 44:2
<b>facilitate (4)</b> 39:18	132:2	153:22	114:2
41:16,20 43:2	<b>felt</b> (1) 65:19	five (1) 16:17	<b>formatting (9)</b> 39:17
<b>facilitates</b> (1) 41:19	few-minutes (1)	five-minute (1)	40:5,12 58:6 131:2
facilitation (1) 41:23	56:15	141:17	133:9 134:9 135:5
<b>facing</b> (1) 108:1	<b>field (1)</b> 106:4	<b>fixed</b> (1) 79:12	135:12
<b>fact</b> ( <b>9</b> ) 65:21 66:1	<b>fifth (2)</b> 29:15 160:8	flashes (2) 104:20	<b>forming (1)</b> 15:10
99:12 123:5 127:10	<b>figure (2)</b> 119:9	123:13	<b>forth</b> (10) 15:24 53:7
127:15 136:16	150:1	flashing (3) 74:7	65:23 107:3 109:16
139:9 142:9	<b>figuring (1)</b> 116:15	104:17 105:1	110:6 130:24
<b>factor (2)</b> 38:18	<b>file (9)</b> 3:7 7:5,12	Flesch-Kincaid (1)	133:18 143:14
117:3	9:16 17:4,18 24:11	157:11	174:7
<b>factors (8)</b> 3:23 28:22	45:20 158:16	Flex (1) 8:12	<b>found</b> (4) 12:22
35:18 126:4 132:24	<b>files (3)</b> 6:18 24:11	<b>flexible (6)</b> 91:1,8,13	21:13 105:24
135:7 158:12 160:3	155:10	91:17,21 92:15	134:11
<b>factory (1)</b> 102:22	<b>finalized</b> (1) 80:12	focus (1) 54:8	four (3) 58:16 93:14
facts (1) 66:17	<b>finally</b> (1) 142:11	<b>focused</b> (2) 35:9 63:3	122:8
<b>fail</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 50:3 67:6	<b>find</b> (9) 13:7 22:21	<b>foil</b> (7) 8:12 91:1,8,13	fourth (5) 29:14
172:15	24:19 31:14 42:21	91:17,21 92:15	157:16 158:8,10
<b>failed (2)</b> 50:5 100:18	100:12 106:2	<b>folded</b> (1) 63:13	160:8
<b>failing</b> (1) 68:5	131:19 151:19	<b>folder</b> (4) 10:15 13:9	four-ways (1) 74:6
<b>fails</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 99:11	<b>findings (2)</b> 79:23	21:16 24:20	four-year (1) 7:15
<b>failure (2)</b> 67:1,10	80:2	<b>folder-wise</b> (1) 10:13	frame (16) 25:12,22
<b>fair (2)</b> 21:21 140:17	fine (3) 6:17 51:20	<b>folding</b> (1) 43:9	25:24 26:3,4,5 27:7
<b>fairly (1)</b> 117:8	122:10	folks (4) 52:17 54:6	95:14,24 98:11
<b>fall (2)</b> 16:2 32:4	finish (1) 83:12	137:15 149:24	101:17 107:19
<b>falling</b> (1) 49:20	finished (1) 15:6	followed (3) 141:22	131:17 143:7,10
<b>falls (2)</b> 83:21 130:4	finishing (1) 39:15	142:3 143:3	153:10
<b>familiar (6)</b> 4:19 22:5	fire (27) 4:19 12:10	<b>following (1)</b> 115:18	Franklin (1) 83:9
69:22 83:10 87:2	23:24 26:20 37:1,3	follows (1) 4:8	freeway (1) 73:7
118:4	62:12 66:14 68:5	<b>followup</b> (1) 76:12	French (2) 27:16
<b>far</b> ( <b>6</b> ) 51:13 90:13	80:23,24 96:23 97:4	font (6) 131:10 132:3	44:4
90:14,15 104:15	97:5,6,12,23 98:1,9	132:8 134:2,6,17	friend (1) 48:8
158:18	100:8 101:4 105:14	forces (2) 136:23,24	friend-client (1) 48:9
Farm (3) 8:24 9:1	114:9 117:9 125:5	forcing (1) 136:17	Frigidaire (2) 24:21
17:1	142:14 152:8	<b>foregoing (2)</b> 171:18	91:14
<b>fashion</b> (1) 120:4	fires (2) 19:5 61:24	174:4	front (23) 14:1 29:9
<b>fault</b> (1) 105:2	<b>first</b> (18) 30:17 31:22	forensic (6) 23:6	33:18 44:17 45:6
<b>favorite</b> (1) 54:18	34:17 37:13 50:17	46:15,16 74:18 75:9	49:18 84:6,18,19
<b>faxing</b> (1) 169:22	75:13 86:10,21	75:10	102:23 107:14,17
<b>feasible (5)</b> 80:20	87:21 106:23	foreseeability (1)	107:18 128:17
109:15,17 125:9,23	111:14 115:21	76:22	130:17 132:12
<b>feasibly (1)</b> 110:6	116:18 123:1 127:2	forklift (2) 47:5	133:12 144:17,17
<b>feature (1)</b> 137:3	140:11 143:2	69:17	164:3,8 166:4,7
<b>features (4)</b> 127:8	144:14	<b>form (4)</b> 4:4 38:16	full (1) 150:5
165:8,12 166:9	<b>firsthand</b> (1) 133:15	163:10 174:8	<b>Fuller (2)</b> 8:1,16
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>

<b>fully (1)</b> 171:4	137:17	grade (8) 157:16,19	140:1
<b>function (2)</b> 156:1,3	glass (4) 66:21 67:2,6	157:20 158:8,11	G-A-R-G-I-U-L-O
<b>functional</b> (1) 155:21	68:4	160:9,18 161:10	8:22
further (3) 56:18	Glen (1) 83:21	graduate (5) 34:10	
170:4,6	glue (2) 43:11,18	35:17 37:15 38:5	Н
	glued (2) 43:18 44:21	133:4	<b>H (2)</b> 3:4 82:9
G	glues (1) 43:15	grammar (1) 79:12	<b>half</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 16:13,13
gallon (1) 42:14	<b>go (26)</b> 6:21 10:12	graphic (3) 9:12,13	67:13 86:13 88:12
gamut (1) 45:24	24:11 36:1 37:4	138:7	137:9,11
<b>Gargiulo (2)</b> 8:21,23	42:2 49:17 52:19	great (2) 20:7 21:24	hallway (8) 46:6
gas (9) 25:16,18	54:16 56:18 57:10	greater (3) 44:5	52:24 53:2,6,24
82:15 96:8 114:10	68:24 69:2 73:14	138:16 158:5	55:10,19 132:16
114:12,24 123:18	85:21,22 116:13,15	greatest (8) 97:15,16	<b>hand (5)</b> 10:4 71:4
153:11	119:8 129:2 138:6	97:21 98:1,6,8	120:23 122:16
general (13) 22:13	144:3,20 146:9	117:5 125:6	159:15
25:10 39:2 48:16	164:7,8	greatly (1) 42:23	<b>Handbook (2)</b> 3:22
76:23 81:20 105:10	<b>goal</b> (1) 112:15	<b>Griddle (1)</b> 154:12	160:3
107:22 158:6,7,9	goes (1) 85:7	ground (7) 71:1,4	handed (4) 5:23
160:6 161:6	going ( <b>59</b> ) 5:5 7:9,19	93:3,7,17,23 94:2	20:14 78:2 164:18
generalize (1) 62:3	10:22 16:6 17:3	group (2) 2:7 54:8	<b>handing</b> (1) 5:7
<b>generally (19)</b> 6:3	21:3 34:19 35:1	guard (5) 49:20	<b>handling (1)</b> 110:24
15:13,16 19:2 21:4	41:21 48:22 51:2	109:10 112:13	<b>hands</b> (1) 71:1
25:9 26:21 28:19	52:7 56:18 58:12	116:9 137:7	<b>happen (2)</b> 89:1
29:15 32:8 48:17	61:15,18 62:5,20	<b>guarded</b> (1) 116:12	150:9
51:20 52:5 70:8	75:16 80:9 89:10	<b>guarding (3)</b> 109:8	happened (7) 4:20
73:2 74:17 97:1	94:13,14 95:16	109:14 119:3	50:3 58:5 73:6,12
157:8,9	99:15 100:9 101:19	guess (7) 31:5 44:15	79:23 130:18
<b>generated (5)</b> 75:6,8	101:19,21,24 105:4	75:17 86:6 106:11	happens (2) 32:3,8
75:10,14 80:8	116:20 120:12	107:4,6	happy (2) 10:11 13:4
<b>gentleman (1)</b> 85:11	121:4,14 122:10,14	<b>guidance</b> (1) 114:1	hard (1) 9:20
Gerry (1) 11:15	122:16 127:19	guide (18) 3:20,24	<b>hardcopy</b> (1) 9:18
<b>getting (3)</b> 80:10	130:11 131:7,20	105:6 146:7,9,11,17	hazard ( <b>52</b> ) 30:5
87:12 146:15	132:4 135:16,17,20	153:13 159:20,21	34:24 51:24 67:17
<b>Gianferri (3)</b> 8:17,20	137:12,20,22,23	164:13,14 165:5,15	67:23 96:20,22 97:5
8:22	138:17 139:8 144:7	165:17,20,22,24	97:9,11,14,15,17,23
give (12) 6:10,14	144:10,21 159:15	<b>guidelines (8)</b> 38:23	98:1,6,8,9 100:8
10:11 13:4 48:18	162:11 167:9	53:21 126:3 127:11	101:3,4,5,9,9,11,12
81:10 112:5 113:24	<b>good</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 4:13,14 5:3	132:23 135:6,11	105:14 108:16
127:21 128:4	89:24 131:2	141:15	109:9,18 110:4
131:14 139:6	Google (1) 29:20	<b>guides (2)</b> 36:17	112:2,3,21 114:9
given (11) 16:15 41:9	Government (2)	146:6	115:5,23 116:3,19
60:3 61:5 62:18,20	73:11,12	guy (12) 11:23 48:7	116:22 117:1,5,10
64:13 66:3 68:21	grab (2) 35:22	88:13,14,16 137:9	117:15 125:5,6
75:13 174:6	108:12	137:11,12,24,24	130:4,22,23 136:21
gives (7) 44:5 104:22	grad (10) 37:5 38:4	151:14,14	142:14 156:3
140:1 156:6,8,10,11	39:14,15,15 45:14	guys (5) 11:20 88:18	<b>hazardous</b> (1) 33:9
<b>giving (2)</b> 134:7	45:18,22 161:15,16	137:10 139:18	hazards (18) 32:15

32:20 34:17,19,21	hired (4) 95:9 114:15	46:4 56:9 133:19	imperative (1)
97:21 98:7 115:5,7	114:22 115:21	135:13	172:12
115:11,14,24 116:6	hiring (1) 115:22	idea (1) 79:22	implemented (2)
119:2,10,15,22	history (3) 3:12	ideal (1) 119:12	109:15 117:23
156:4	15:24 22:16	IdeaScan (1) 36:3	important (4) 31:20
header (3) 66:23 67:5	hit (3) 70:21 73:24	identification (12)	34:16 55:16 149:4
67:8	132:8	5:10 17:19,23 20:12	impression (1) 52:15
headers (1) 39:10	hits (1) 124:3	29:7 56:24 77:24	improper (1) 109:21
hear (1) 70:18	Hold (1) 24:10	95:20 121:18 122:4	improve (1) 48:18
hearing (1) 116:11	holding (2) 121:20	159:11 164:15	inadvertent (1)
heat (4) 67:4 97:11	122:6	identified (8) 75:3	112:21
140:22 141:3	home (11) 1:9 2:11	115:5,8 116:7 125:6	inappropriate (1)
heating (2) 123:18	25:23 26:23 42:21	162:22 163:4,7	109:20
124:3	43:14 46:14 83:1	identify (11) 6:3 14:6	inch (1) 89:16
heavy (2) 150:16	84:12,23 85:1	21:1 78:4 92:1 97:9	inches (8) 89:14 93:2
167:7	homeowner (4) 65:16	108:15 115:14,24	93:6,14,22 94:2
heed (1) 142:10	65:24 67:11,18	122:22 160:1	129:5,6
held (2) 6:22 24:18	homeowners (2)	identifying (2)	incident (4) 12:9
help (2) 34:9 38:16	76:22 90:9	115:23 130:22	23:24 115:8 116:5
helpful (1) 156:7	homes (1) 26:18	idle (3) 67:4,4,12	include (4) 80:20
helps (1) 136:15	hood (9) 92:19,19	idling (2) 67:3 68:3	151:2 165:15
hesitant (1) 88:9	93:3,6,11,13,17,23	ignite (1) 67:7	166:11
heuristic (8) 46:5	94:1	<b>ignition (2)</b> 62:11	included (6) 7:5
53:14 129:14,21	hope (1) 112:14	80:22	19:11 115:12
132:16,18 133:3	horse (7) 46:24 49:2	ignore (1) 41:24	138:11 162:15
141:12	52:20 55:5,6,9	ignored (1) 118:20	165:22
<b>hidden (1)</b> 102:1	110:10	II (1) 2:8	<b>includes (2)</b> 9:3
hierarch (1) 111:10	horses (1) 55:13	illustration (44) 3:17	156:3
hierarchy (5) 111:8,9	host (1) 136:22	3:19 121:7,13,17,20	<b>including (5)</b> 8:14
116:20 118:22	<b>hotel</b> (1) 49:18	122:2,7,12,20,24	11:10 85:13 97:6
119:11	hour (1) 67:13	124:21 127:13	131:2
<b>high</b> ( <b>6</b> ) 66:24 67:4	house (5) 83:6 85:6,6	129:12 136:16	<b>inclusion (1)</b> 163:15
82:11 117:8 137:22	87:16 94:22	137:20 138:4,7,10	increase (5) 41:21
161:20	household (1) 89:17	139:5 140:4,7,10,15	134:11 135:16
<b>higher (6)</b> 41:9	<b>Hughes (6)</b> 7:17,21	140:17 144:19	149:7,10
116:19 119:10	14:15,18 76:1,2	162:20 163:2,16,17	<b>indicate</b> ( <b>6</b> ) 104:17
157:19 158:6 161:8	<b>Human (7)</b> 3:22	163:23 164:5,7,19	104:20 105:2 124:2
highest (1) 21:12	38:18 126:4 132:23	165:5,14,21 166:1,2	156:2,22
highlighted (3) 14:9	135:7 158:12 160:3	166:4,5,6,14,15	<b>indicated (2)</b> 19:14
14:24 160:17	<b>HUNTER (2)</b> 1:14	Illustrations (3)	120:18
highlighting (1)	171:14	129:10 132:7	<b>indicating (2)</b> 13:12
100:19	<b>hurt</b> (1) 165:19	162:14	123:14
highly (1) 85:23	<b>H4</b> (3) 82:8,10,11	imagine (5) 42:7	<b>indication</b> (1) 139:7
hinge (8) 101:19,22		49:12 62:5 112:12	indicator (46) 8:10
102:4,6,9,14,16		165:10	43:20 80:20 81:3
108:2	<b>IBM</b> (10) 35:20 36:6	immediately (1)	103:18,19 104:8,14
hinges (1) 143:8	36:24 45:23 46:3,4	144:15	104:16 118:2,3
	I	l	I

		1	
123:7,13,20 124:19	inside (19) 25:12,21	interchange (3)	<b>issuing (1)</b> 18:14
124:21 126:11,13	25:24 26:3,4,5 27:6	73:13,14,15	item (1) 151:12
127:8 128:1,2,7	88:10 89:2 95:5,6	<b>interested</b> (1) 82:22	items (2) 51:7 115:2
130:14 144:20,21	95:14,18,24 98:11	<b>interfere</b> (1) 144:13	it'll (1) 43:22
144:23,24 152:21	101:17 143:7,9	<b>interior</b> (6) 84:5 95:7	i.e (1) 137:5
152:23 153:3 154:1	153:10	95:10 139:1,3	
154:6,20,24 155:3	<b>inspection (1)</b> 79:24	142:15	J
155:16 156:14,16	install (2) 91:14	<b>interrupt</b> (1) 9:17	<b>J</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 1:9 3:2 4:6
156:18,21 164:6,11	147:23	<b>intuitive (2)</b> 147:19	171:6
164:24 165:2,4,11	installation (21)	148:10	<b>James</b> (1) 72:9
<b>individual (2)</b> 33:7	26:18 91:2,9,10,16	investigations (1)	<b>January (12)</b> 11:6
166:24	91:19,24 92:5,15,16	19:4	18:4,15 72:9 78:6
industrial (2) 150:16	92:24 93:15 102:19	invoice (2) 75:14	78:14,18,19 79:19
151:11	105:7 146:12 147:7	76:9	80:8 168:10,14
inexperienced (1)	147:24 153:13	invoices (8) 7:14,14	<b>Japanese</b> (1) 106:19
52:16	162:18 165:16,18	75:3,5,8,10,11,18	job (1) 118:23
information (71)	installed (4) 85:1	involve (7) 16:18	Joeger (1) 8:16
11:10 14:15 23:23	90:17 101:18 146:8	37:1 40:16 49:10	John (1) 11:15
28:8 29:16 31:12,15	installer (1) 146:1	59:3,15 61:24	<b>Johnson (4)</b> 12:9,9
31:21 32:1 33:11,21	installers (1) 148:23	involved (20) 25:1	14:9,20
33:24 35:9,19 39:17	installing (1) 146:2	34:2,4 39:20 40:17	jokes (1) 169:24
40:4,7 41:4,5,11,14	instance (1) 109:20	40:21,23 42:3,5	<b>Joyce</b> (1) 37:13
42:24 44:2,6,18	instruction (2)	46:15 49:10 59:17	<b>JPEG</b> (1) 9:11
51:6 53:3 65:10	109:22 162:18	60:2,13 62:10,11	<b>JR (4)</b> 1:9 3:2 4:6
74:10 92:7 99:6,9	instructions (30)	63:7 64:5 65:3	171:6
99:13 101:11,14	3:21 44:9 50:23	71:12	judge (1) 65:18
105:19,23 106:1,10	51:4,8 76:21 90:18	involving (7) 47:8	jug (1) 42:14
113:23 114:1,2	91:3,9,10,16,19,24	60:5 61:3,10,11	<b>July (3)</b> 14:14 21:19
116:14 117:4,12,13	92:5,17 93:1,16	63:9 105:11	76:4
118:10,12,14,15,17	94:6 102:20 105:7	irrational (1) 142:5	jumper (1) 45:21
118:18,19 130:7,11	120:3 146:13 147:7	irrelevant (2) 118:18	June (5) 8:24 28:14
130:23 138:15	147:24 153:14	118:20	82:4,5,6
140:2 144:22	158:4 159:21	issue (25) 18:3,6 27:1	<b>JURY</b> (1) 1:4
149:17,21 150:10	165:16,18 172:1	48:11 53:17,23 58:6	
150:23 151:2	instructs (1) 120:8	59:20,23 67:14	K
153:20 155:15,20	insurance (3) 4:18	69:14 70:16 73:2	keep (5) 10:22 43:11
157:11 161:8	16:23 61:19	77:14 90:20 103:18	49:20 58:12 137:4
163:22 166:11	intend (1) 77:7	110:17 118:9	<b>Ken (8)</b> 12:12 15:7
informed (1) 120:10	intended (7) 49:16	125:14 127:18	17:7 20:3 22:19
initially (7) 43:18	52:8,9,13 101:5,9	132:11 136:7 159:8	58:7 158:15 167:17
47:22 49:9 74:21	126:12	162:18 167:23	<b>Kenmore (5)</b> 24:21
123:8 143:21	intention (3) 18:8	issued (4) 18:11	82:8 88:15,15
146:10	168:15,15	162:7 168:9,12	106:15
injured (2) 49:13,14	intentions (1) 52:10	issues (10) 15:23,24	<b>KENNETH</b> (1) 2:3
injury (6) 48:6 49:10	interact (1) 126:19	53:18 110:20,21	kept (1) 144:5
97:2,4,6,20	interacting (1)	119:3 126:23	keyboards (4) 36:13
input (1) 36:19	134:15	130:20 132:1 134:4	36:20 45:20 46:1
111put (1) 30.17	131.13	150.20 152.1 154.4	
<u> </u>			

killed (1) 74:1	known (2) 31:21	96:2 124:20	21:21 22:21 23:1
kind (8) 25:14,17	142:13	languages (2) 44:5,7	24:12,16 55:13,15
27:8 50:18 58:8	knows (3) 117:9	large (2) 42:18	56:11 57:5 58:9,12
69:17 76:23 80:1	139:13 149:1	112:12	58:19 64:24 76:2,15
<b>King (17)</b> 8:2,17,17	<b>K&amp;G (1)</b> 68:17	larger (1) 129:1	83:14 154:13,16
8:18,19,19 9:3	<b>K&amp;G</b> (1) 00.17	latch (3) 101:20,21	158:19,22 159:2,5
10:23 19:4 97:18,19		102:12	167:19 168:3,5
97:23 98:8 114:13	lab (3) 54:6,6 133:24	laundered (1) 63:11	169:17,21 170:7
145:9 147:18	label (76) 3:15 25:12	Laundry (4) 105:22	lid (2) 104:16,18
148:22	25:15,21 26:3,9,13	131:15 132:4,10	lieu (1) 109:9
King's (2) 8:15 9:3	26:13,15,23 27:6,12	LAW (1) 2:7	life (2) 101:23,24
kitty (1) 47:3	27:14,20,23 37:24	lawsuit (3) 4:17	light (34) 103:18,19
knew (5) 41:12 65:11	39:17 41:20,21,22	48:12 49:9	104:8,14,16,20
65:21 66:2 110:23	42:1,1,19,23 43:4	layer (1) 89:12	118:2,3 123:7,8,10
know (103) 4:22 5:3	43:20,22 44:8,11,13	leaf (1) 70:23	123:12,13,17,20
7:19 12:22 16:7,8,8	44:17,17,18,19 45:1	learn (1) 133:2	123.12,13,17,20
17:1 25:13 26:21,24	45:3,8,9 50:7 95:14	leasing (5) 69:6,12	128:11 130:14
27:24 32:1 35:1	95:18,22,24 96:3,6	70:3,5,5	143:1 144:23 145:1
41:8,9 47:21 51:13	96:21 98:11 100:17	leaving (1) 68:3	152:21 155:17
51:14 55:20,20 57:8	100:23 101:5 102:2	left (8) 45:4 63:12	156:5,11,12,18
57:9 58:5 59:16	102:4,8 107:20	66:22 67:3,12	164:6 165:2,9
60:11 62:10 63:24	108:6 113:15,24	107:23 116:11	lights (34) 8:11 74:7
75:9,24 80:9 82:12	115:17 124:15	146:1	80:20 81:4 105:1
82:16,22 83:19 84:4	127:5 128:13,20	legibility (3) 131:4,21	126:11,13 127:8
85:10 86:4 87:24	131:1,16 132:4	134:24	128:1,2 130:14
89:4 92:22 93:15,18	135:17,21 143:3,4,4	legible (1) 132:5	144:20,21 152:24
94:1,15,23 95:3	143:6,15,20 145:3,5	length (2) 67:9 85:12	153:3 154:1,6,21
102:5,15 103:17	153:9	letter (5) 7:21 14:12	155:1,3,15,19,24
104:10,15 105:22	<b>labelling (1)</b> 46:10	14:14,17 76:1	156:2,4,7,8,14,16
105:24 106:9,12,14	labels (33) 11:2 25:7	letters (1) 7:16	156:21 164:11,24
106:15,18 107:2,8,9	25:7 26:4,5,20 28:3	let's (13) 6:21 15:5	165:4,11
107:11,16 108:6	35:11,14,21 39:23	37:4 49:5,18 57:18	likelihood (8) 31:16
116:7 122:8 127:18	40:5,12,20 41:1,5	74:14 99:23 127:13	35:19 116:23 117:8
130:5,6 131:9 133:7	41:14 42:17 44:1	129:24 138:4 140:4	133:17 134:12,19
133:15,22 134:19	46:6 56:6 95:12	164:6	135:16
136:7,7,8 137:15	106:7,10 107:10,12	level (15) 52:17	<b>limitation (1)</b> 137:20
139:15,16 142:19	114:16 132:19	119:11 152:15	limited (3) 64:18,21
143:24 147:11,12	141:23 142:17,19	157:4,16,19,24	65:6
147:14,15,16,22	151:20 153:5	158:1,5,8,11 160:7	line (5) 1:17 2:4
149:19 150:18	label's (1) 135:16	161:6,7,11	41:13 83:12 173:3
151:15 152:20,21	<b>laboratory (1)</b> 133:7	levels (1) 161:8	lines (2) 40:2 152:23
161:12,14,16	lack (1) 42:8	<b>Levine (53)</b> 1:16 2:2	linseed (4) 63:10,16
163:14 165:13	lady (1) 132:1	2:3 4:10 6:6,10 9:21	64:4,6
166:21,22 168:19	laid (2) 111:15 126:4	9:23 10:3,9,16	<b>lint (29)</b> 62:11 83:21
169:6	<b>laminating (1)</b> 43:10	12:15,23 13:3,6,11	83:22 84:7 85:11
knowledge (4) 39:18	lane (3) 73:17,17,18	13:15,18 17:9,15	87:18,21 88:2,2,20
43:2 119:23 150:20	language (3) 91:15	20:4,7 21:8,12,17	89:2,4,8,12,20
	1		

97:11,24 98:1,9,21	128:3 134:5,5,14	123:14 137:4 139:2	151:7,8,13,17
100:7 101:4,12	location (1) 99:19	139:4	157:11 163:14,20
105:14 114:9 125:5	lock (1) 104:16	machinery (2)	164:3,4,7,9 165:1,2
139:12,22 167:10	long (8) 26:8 83:1	112:13 150:16	166:12
list (21) 7:15 32:2,5,9	86:14 91:4 95:13,23	Mae (1) 72:9	manuals (24) 8:10
32:13,16,19 34:19	107:20 131:9	main (3) 25:5 40:2	24:2 30:20,22 31:5
46:11 47:16 56:19	longer (2) 104:21	164:1	31:7,13 33:8,16,18
56:23 57:2 60:7	155:10	maintain (2) 93:22	33:20 34:15 40:3,4
68:24 69:2 71:10	look (23) 46:8 50:18	120:7	41:7 91:12 103:11
96:19,22 132:15	51:3 76:15,21 87:5	maintained (1) 94:5	103:21 118:11
165:8	93:18 94:13,17	maintenance (12)	139:24 148:24
listed (4) 26:19 29:2	106:2 110:9 116:6	98:13,15,16,17,18	149:23 150:4
31:1 55:22	116:13,21,22,24	98:19,22 114:6,7	163:16
listening (1) 12:17	122:9 131:18,21	151:12,14,15	manufactured (8)
listing (2) 14:15 26:1	140:4 144:3,7 147:4	majority (1) 27:14	28:11,13 102:16
lists (2) 33:17 41:19	looked (19) 33:6,19	maker (1) 154:10	104:11 105:18
literate (1) 161:9	35:20 41:4 42:15	making (5) 51:22,22	106:14,15 152:18
literature (24) 8:5	45:19 49:23,23 51:7	115:13 142:16	manufacturer (31)
46:10,18 49:3 51:4	93:8 103:9,18,20	152:2	32:12 46:17,19
53:21 103:4,16	105:17,24 115:1	malfunction (3)	47:19 50:15 51:12
105:6,8 106:20,22	130:10,15,21	156:8,9,11	52:23 60:17,23
106:24 107:3	looking (15) 38:22	Malone (1) 68:17	61:22 62:22 63:4,15
113:19 114:16	41:7 42:20 51:2	mandatory (1) 113:5	63:23 64:1 69:7
126:5 129:19	52:10 65:19 82:18	mangled (1) 112:12	70:2,6 71:11,15
132:24 135:7	82:20 102:22 132:6	manifestations (1)	102:14 103:5 110:2
145:16 158:12	132:11 134:4 143:9	160:24	110:9,22 114:15,20
160:22 163:7	145:21,22	manipulated (3)	120:8,12 126:24
litigation (7) 27:1	looks (6) 8:3 39:24	33:17 133:11,14	151:1
47:23 48:2,3 50:6	58:24 76:3 93:20	manipulating (1)	manufacturers (9)
168:16,18	155:17	133:16	33:14 43:12 46:8
litter (1) 47:3	lost (1) 118:17	manner (3) 31:14	48:15 103:16
little (10) 43:10,18	lot (15) 15:18 32:3	32:14 127:15	105:18 113:10
83:2 85:10 131:16	39:24 40:9 42:19	manual (73) 33:22	117:19 152:2
131:24 155:10	89:8,10 129:8 133:8	35:10 65:9,13,15,17	manufacturer's (5)
156:24 158:6	135:8,10,11 137:15	65:18,22,23,24 66:2	3:20 68:23 105:8
169:14	139:15 146:3	66:3,3,12 67:16,19	120:3 159:20
live (12) 33:2 42:6	low (3) 92:19 93:10	67:20,21 68:2 91:14	manufacturing (2)
54:5 55:11,11,13	93:12	92:11 93:21 99:7	110:1 118:24
89:17 126:17,19	lower (3) 85:10	102:24 103:23,23	mark (15) 5:5 15:5,5
127:4 132:17 141:9	152:15 161:7	104:5,12 111:17	17:3 29:4 56:18
LLC (2) 1:16 2:2	Lowe's (2) 42:22	113:24 130:9 138:7	77:20 95:16 121:3,4
load (2) 49:19 50:1	43:14	138:12,16 139:5	121:6,15,23 122:10
loader (7) 69:8,15,16	lunch (3) 56:12 83:13	146:24 147:2,4,9,13	122:14
70:10,21,22 71:3	90:2	147:14,21 148:3,9	marked (27) 3:5 5:9
located (12) 25:7		148:18,22 149:2,3,8	17:18,22 18:1,10
66:22 99:13 101:13	M	149:11,15,19,20,22	19:23 20:11,15 29:6
102:3,4,9 108:10	machine (5) 47:2	150:9,12,21 151:2,5	56:23 58:16 77:23

78:23 95:19,23	McDonald/Ogle (1)	<b>Michael (5)</b> 8:21,22	36:21 47:15 97:8
100:12 113:15	11:24	12:9 14:9,20	monitor (4) 81:4
121:17 122:2,16,23	<b>McHenry (1)</b> 11:16	Michigan (1) 59:19	125:11,24 127:9
124:11 159:10,14	mean (10) 10:10	microwaves (1)	monitors (3) 36:14
164:14,20	14:24 42:3 58:1	154:8	36:20 46:1
market (6) 51:2	77:9 84:12 98:20	middle (2) 25:17,18	months (8) 105:16
52:18 151:18,22,23	131:12 162:13	Mike (23) 3:14 11:6	166:23 167:1,8,8,9
152:1	166:21	11:12 12:14,18,21	167:10,10
marketed (1) 52:14	means (3) 98:3 139:2	13:9,13,15 25:2	morning (4) 4:13,14
marketing (7) 44:17	171:20	28:10 77:19,22 78:6	13:22 73:9
51:1,5,9,21 52:4,12	meant (4) 52:17	79:6,18 80:7 92:20	<b>Morrison (3)</b> 11:20
marking (3) 20:1	73:13 75:2 92:23	123:5 124:17 125:9	11:21,22
22:19 164:12	measures (1) 119:24	128:6 144:7	motivate (1) 108:18
<b>Marquette (8)</b> 8:18	<b>Media</b> (1) 2:9	<b>military (1)</b> 73:11	<b>motivated</b> (1) 118:14
8:23 86:24 87:1,2,8	medication (6) 39:23	million (1) 112:16	motivation (2)
87:10 162:16	40:13 42:8,11 43:13	Mills (1) 83:9	136:12,14
<b>Martin (2)</b> 69:12	45:14	mind (11) 18:23	<b>motorcycle</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 59:18
70:3	medications (4) 40:1	36:23 45:22 47:14	59:21 65:3,12,14
<b>Maryland (1)</b> 68:17	40:6 41:2 42:21	69:1 113:6,7 119:12	66:5,8
material (12) 7:13	meet (4) 32:6 99:12	122:9 128:23 159:1	<b>motorized</b> (1) 86:7
24:1 48:24 50:19	100:18 113:11	minimum (6) 93:20	move (1) 154:17
51:1,9 53:15 54:22	<b>Meeting (1)</b> 38:18	93:22 113:9,14	moved (2) 84:23
76:24 103:9 162:23	meets (1) 126:1	129:11 132:8	128:22
163:5	Melissa (2) 2:8 4:16	minute (3) 24:10	movers (1) 85:2
<b>materials (14)</b> 3:7	members (1) 38:12	73:22 167:23	multifactor (1) 112:3
5:20 12:4 17:5,18	memory (7) 75:14,22	minutes (1) 67:11	<b>multilane</b> (1) 73:7
18:16,19 19:3,6,9	84:20,21 92:21	misperceiving (1)	<b>multiple (11)</b> 7:15
24:24 51:10 52:4	157:21 162:11	51:24	33:5 41:3 44:4,7
103:12	mention (3) 94:7	missing (3) 45:10	54:16,24 65:7,12
Matt (1) 86:23	138:18 145:8	57:13,14	96:17 116:18
matter (42) 4:18 8:8	<b>mentioned (6)</b> 12:5	misspell (1) 79:13	
8:11,16,17,18,18,19	14:21 24:4 47:21	mistaken (1) 129:6	N
8:20,20,21 9:1,1	153:23 156:24	misunderstood (1)	<b>N</b> (2) 2:1 3:1
10:24,24 15:10 18:2	mentioning (1)	44:13	name (3) 4:16 46:13
18:6,12,17 19:1,15	169:20	<b>mitigate (2)</b> 109:9	69:11
22:4 23:12 53:16	menu (1) 56:12	119:10	named (1) 154:9
74:17 75:7,21 76:19	<b>Men's (1)</b> 68:17	<b>mitigating</b> (1) 119:2	names (2) 11:22 60:9
77:6 79:21 80:5,15	merely (1) 140:16	mix (1) 134:23	<b>National</b> (1) 111:16
81:14,16,19 90:6	message (4) 108:14	<b>mobile (3)</b> 25:23	nature (2) 32:12
92:10 103:6 120:17	134:7 135:2 136:5	26:18,23	111:2
129:13 167:12	messages (1) 136:11	<b>mockup (3)</b> 40:20,20	near (6) 71:6 97:11
matters (3) 22:12	met (4) 4:15 54:15	54:1	128:11 140:21
103:7 162:16	92:20 114:9	mockups (1) 54:2	141:2 143:7
<b>Maytag (6)</b> 60:12	metal (1) 93:12	<b>model (5)</b> 25:20 26:2	nearly (1) 88:19
61:10 103:10,23	<b>method</b> (2) 53:9 55:3	26:10,14 82:21	necessarily (1) 104:8
104:1 106:18	methods (1) 55:22	<b>modified</b> (1) 124:18	necessary (10) 23:21
<b>Ma'am (1)</b> 29:10	mice (2) 36:19 46:1	moment (4) 30:7	23:22 67:9 117:16
	I	I	I

126:22 127:3,3,6	160:18 172:10	occurring (1) 156:3	83:19,22 84:14,17
156:20 172:4	174:9	October (4) 69:5	85:5 87:2,5,7,11,18
need (21) 4:23 5:2	notes (15) 3:13 11:5	74:19,21 159:22	90:12,15 91:16
17:7 20:3,4 23:23	12:13 77:18,18,21	offer (1) 77:7	93:10,19 94:4,19
31:20 34:16 57:16	78:5 79:5,5,7,16	offered (1) 162:5	95:12 96:2,12,15
91:2 99:3,6 105:15	102:20 144:8	offering (1) 92:8	97:8,16,19 99:2,5
137:3 139:10	145:18 171:5	offhand (7) 35:2	99:10,15 100:4,16
142:14 149:14,18	<b>notice</b> (6) 3:6 5:6,8	63:21 88:1 93:18	103:7,11,15 104:3
149:21 152:7	7:18 41:15 108:11	94:3 123:21,24	105:4,17 106:9,20
154:24	noticed (2) 134:12	office (4) 7:18,21	103.4,17 100.5,20
needed (7) 84:4	135:17	14:18 53:6	112:22 115:20
90:10 99:14 101:14	notices (1) 7:16	Offices (1) 1:15	118:7 120:17 121:3
130:8 139:1,21	November (4) 75:15	oh (9) 6:4 10:9 20:6	121:11,14 122:14
needs (9) 124:2 139:9	75:21 76:7 104:13	24:16 57:7 87:15	122:15 124:11,20
139:16 140:2 151:3	number (22) 3:5 12:2	109:23 141:1	124:24 126:16
151:4 165:17,20	12:3 15:21 16:3	158:19	124.24 120.10
167:6	25:21 26:11,15	oil (13) 63:10,10,16	129:3 130:1 133:2
Nemire (1) 37:18	27:18 28:20 41:21	64:4,5,6,7 66:21	135:23 136:2 138:4
Neucum (1) 8:15	47:1 58:2 82:21	67:5,6,6,7 68:4	140:9,20,24 141:7
never (14) 32:19	92:2 107:1 116:23	oily (1) 63:11	145:23 148:20
34:20 94:20 106:4	119:12 122:8 149:7	okay (207) 5:3,4,15	153:2,8,19,22 154:5
109:7,19 110:2,4	149:10 167:3	5:23 6:2,20,23 7:11	154:9,11,19 155:8
111:6 138:21 145:3	numbers (4) 11:18	9:17,21,23 10:9,16	156:15 157:10,14
145:19 146:8 147:3	11:18 12:6 123:21	10:22 12:12,16 13:5	157:19 158:9 159:8
new (1) 166:8	Nunez (2) 69:12 71:8	14:6 15:3,7,12	159:13,18,24 161:2
nice (1) 84:6	nutshell (2) 31:9	17:14 20:1,6,9,20	161:21 163:3
NICOLSON (1) 2:7	155:6	21:6,17,21,24 22:7	164:22 167:11,17
night (1) 59:18		22:15 23:2,10,12	168:1
<b>non (6)</b> 32:20 34:16	0	24:4,9,16 25:10	<b>old</b> (1) 82:13
34:21 35:4,7 49:16	objections (2) 4:3	26:14 27:5,23 28:15	older (3) 22:20 39:19
nonrigid (1) 50:23	171:4	29:2,4,22,24 30:8	41:17
Norfolk (2) 73:7,8	obstructions (1)	30:16,18 33:4 34:10	once (3) 15:6 94:8
<b>normal</b> (1) 142:6	93:24	35:13,16 36:5,10	124:3
normally (1) 86:1	<b>obvious (10)</b> 31:22	37:4,10,19 39:4,20	oncoming (1) 73:5
<b>North (3)</b> 2:9 133:8	31:24 32:18,20	40:24 42:7 45:11,17	ones (14) 35:7 36:22
135:9	34:17,18,21,24 35:4	46:23 48:14 49:5	43:8 45:21 56:4
Nos (1) 159:11	35:7	50:10,14 51:14,17	63:2 71:10 116:7
<b>Notary (3)</b> 1:15	obviousness (1) 33:9	55:5,9 56:1,10	129:22,23 136:3
171:15 174:20	occasion (1) 147:2	57:16 58:7,11,13,23	142:9 153:6 168:24
<b>note (2)</b> 114:8 144:5	occasionally (1)	59:3,15 60:20,22	on-product (34) 25:7
<b>notebook</b> (10) 8:6	169:23	61:1 63:18 64:12	35:10,14,21 36:7
13:23 14:22 15:1,4	occasions (1) 78:17	66:9 69:3,11,13,19	37:6,14,16 39:7,11
15:9,15 17:12 19:11	occupational (1)	69:22 70:7,18 72:2	39:12 41:1 45:13,19
77:2	111:12	72:7 75:23 76:11,17	46:6,9 48:21 49:4,7
<b>noted (10)</b> 26:13	occur (2) 117:9	77:13,17 78:20	50:7,11 56:6 62:8
27:13 80:24 91:9	156:10	79:10 81:10,12,24	106:7 107:12
93:4 129:4 144:8	occurred (1) 156:9	82:6,11,14 83:7,13	114:16 115:17
	I	l	

110.12 126.6 9	amagniza (1) 169.1	57:10,10,13,14,17	76:2 168:20
119:13 126:6,8 151:20 153:5	organize (1) 168:1 original (5) 38:20	58:1,8,18,20 59:11	paused (1) 56:11
160:22,24	66:10 105:4 169:2	69:5,5,9 72:5,6,6,8	paused (1) 30.11 pay (1) 137:13
open (16) 14:1 16:8	172:13	72:21 80:21,24	pay (1) 137.13 paying (1) 147:22
16:10,11 31:21,24		102:19 145:24	PC (1) 45:24
32:18,20 34:17,18	origination (1) 80:23	146:3,16 147:3	PCM (1) 36:15
34:21,24 35:4,7	outlet (3) 83:9 84:24 93:22	150:9 155:12	PDF (5) 9:11,14,22
143:9 155:10	outside (5) 48:2 85:9	160:17 161:4	13:10 58:4
	85:22,24 169:23	164:20,20 165:7	
<b>opened (2)</b> 16:13 88:17	outweigh (1) 108:21	166:7,10 173:3	peer-reviewed (3) 161:22,24 162:8
	9 , ,	· /	*
opening (1) 93:13	outweighed (1) 108:22	<b>pages (5)</b> 57:20 67:20 67:21 163:23 174:4	pencil (1) 54:1 PENNSYLVANIA
open-ended (1) 153:4			1:2
operating (1) 143:13	ovens (1) 154:7	paid (2) 137:14	
operation (5) 98:12	overall (1) 112:19	146:13	<b>people (29)</b> 33:2,19
98:24 114:5,7 117:6	overlap (1) 136:3	paints (1) 43:15	41:7,15,22,24 42:3
operations (1) 164:9	overlapping (1)	Pakel (5) 103:22	49:20 51:24 52:16
operator (2) 134:15	135:24	104:5,10 152:20	66:2 89:17 116:24
156:5	overload (1) 118:13	153:22	118:13 127:1 130:5
opined (1) 125:9	over-the-counter (7)	panel (3) 84:6,18	130:6 132:2 133:12
<b>opinion (20)</b> 70:7,11	37:23 39:22 40:1,5	100:19	133:24 134:22
77:11 81:1 90:16	40:12 41:2 45:14	paper (5) 43:9 53:24	137:13 142:9
94:4 96:5 99:20	owned (1) 68:2	54:2 111:15 155:9	148:21 149:1,11,14
100:1,7 111:5 113:9	owner (8) 60:18	papers (3) 133:9,10	149:19 152:24
124:8 125:12 149:6	61:18 66:5,7,10	146:4	people's (4) 35:23
149:9 151:3 153:14	67:18 147:8 150:9	paper/pencil (1)	119:22 133:17
157:6,14	owners (1) 83:4	40:20	149:18
opinions (21) 15:10	owner's (23) 3:24	paragraph (1) 134:22	<b>percent (4)</b> 101:23,24 111:19 112:15
19:22 65:5,7 66:1	34:15 65:9 105:6	10	
68:6,7 70:14 72:11	146:6,7,9,11,17	paraphrasing (1)	percentage (3)
77:6,7 80:15,17	147:9 148:3 150:11	148:12	111:21,23 112:8
100:6 123:6 124:17	153:13 163:14,19	parenthesis (1) 141:2	perceptions (1) 30:5
124:18 161:21	164:13,14 165:5,15	part (10) 49:22 81:7	perfectly (3) 6:17
162:5 163:10	165:17,20,22,24	84:16 98:21 100:6	150:10 170:1
167:12	P	101:7 116:16 123:5 149:15 163:1	<b>perform (2)</b> 41:1 141:8
<b>opportunity (5)</b> 5:17 19:18 23:13 80:11	P(2) 2:1,1		
	<b>PA</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 1:17,23 2:5,9	participate (1) 45:12	performance (1) 133:13
106:21 <b>opposed (9)</b> 35:10	83:21	<b>particular (5)</b> 26:2 91:14 150:6 156:2	performed (3) 19:4
69:18 77:10 98:12	package (1) 50:24		35:8.16
99:7 124:13 130:9	packaging (3) 40:14	161:23	′
	47:3 50:22	particularly (4)	periodic (1) 107:2
143:6 151:13	packet (1) 145:19	32:10 41:16 130:19	peripherals (1) 36:18
opposing (1) 11:14	page (56) 3:3,5,5	157:1 Powtly (1) 94:12	permitted (1) 91:18
ORAL (2) 1:7,13	5:16 29:13,23 30:2	Partly (1) 84:12	<b>person</b> (6) 23:18,19
order (4) 7:19 19:2	30:3,9,24 31:1,3	parts (3) 31:5 65:18	24:8 49:13 53:15
37:23 129:5	37:13,14,14,21 38:7	135:2 Pot (1) 76:12	55:12
ordering (2) 30:21	39:7,9 44:21 45:7	Pat (1) 76:12	personal (3) 48:6
40:4	37.1,7 77.41 73.1	<b>Patrick</b> (3) 14:15	97:5,20
	1	1	1

	1	1	
personally (1) 126:6	pockets (1) 126:24	31:14 34:16,17	proceedings (1)
perspective (1) 110:1	point (18) 18:7 29:11	41:14 42:24 43:1	171:3
Pharmaceutical (1)	37:10 48:19 67:1,2	44:6 84:11,14	process (4) 27:1
37:24	67:10 77:16 89:24	105:19 114:1 117:3	50:16 119:5 166:12
pharmaceuticals (1)	99:21 106:11 114:6	154:1 156:4	<b>processing (1)</b> 119:8
40:8	123:2 127:14 144:9	presentation (5)	<b>produce (2)</b> 24:10
Philadelphia (1) 1:23	148:15 149:22	31:22 40:6 41:4,5	26:23
<b>phone</b> (1) 79:12	158:3	134:10	<b>produced</b> (7) 8:6
photographs (9)	points (5) 112:11	presentations (1)	19:1,7 27:3 28:9
11:13,14 23:15,23	123:2,4 131:3 135:1	31:1	91:24 138:9
24:1,5,7,9 93:5	<b>pop</b> (1) 10:5	<b>presented</b> (7) 31:24	<b>produces</b> (1) 26:22
<b>physical (2)</b> 10:10	popping (2) 36:22	32:14 33:10,18	<b>product (93)</b> 3:21
40:22	45:22	38:17 41:10 127:16	28:23 30:20,22 31:4
<b>Ph.D</b> (4) 1:9 3:2 4:7	<b>population (8)</b> 117:2	<b>presenting (5)</b> 29:16	31:7,13,16 33:8
171:7	131:18,23 132:5	31:12 44:1 54:7	34:1,18,22 39:2
pick (3) 52:19 132:16	137:8,21 142:6	104:9	40:3,4,22,23 41:4,7
132:18	150:19	pressure (1) 50:2	41:10,20,20 42:12
<b>pickup (1)</b> 71:2	porch (1) 49:24	prevalence (1)	47:3,19 50:11,15,17
<b>pictures</b> (1) 25:3	portion (2) 85:13	117:10	51:5 52:1,2,7,8,10
piece (3) 112:12	137:8	<b>prevalent</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 111:11	52:12,13,15,16,23
150:16 151:10	<b>position (2)</b> 7:4 50:5	preventing (1)	53:22 54:7,10,17
<b>pinch</b> (1) 112:10	possible (1) 78:22	143:18	59:20 60:22 61:22
<b>pinned</b> (1) 167:2	<b>possibly (1)</b> 76:9	Prevention (1)	63:4,10 64:8,9
<b>Piombino</b> (1) 11:15	post (1) 23:24	111:17	69:13 79:24 99:8
<b>pipe</b> (1) 93:13	<b>potential</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 35:3	Principles (1) 38:7	103:4 105:20 110:8
<b>place (3)</b> 107:21	112:20 142:14	<b>print</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 128:21	110:23 111:4,7,12
151:12 166:13	<b>potentially (3)</b> 97:12	131:22,23	113:3,19 114:18,21
<b>placed (4)</b> 67:15	117:7 144:13	<b>printed (4)</b> 12:13	119:15,19,20,21
127:23,24 166:7	<b>power</b> (7) 9:2,7 34:3	27:14,17 57:5	120:7 130:5,8 137:4
<b>placement (2)</b> 130:20	34:23 42:9 87:6,9	<b>printout</b> (1) 120:22	138:12,15 139:8
166:3	<b>Powers (1)</b> 162:16	<b>prints</b> (1) 41:17	145:16 148:10
<b>places (2)</b> 42:22	<b>practice (2)</b> 85:18,20	<b>prior (8)</b> 8:14 31:1	149:12,14,17,21,24
112:11	<b>practices (2)</b> 129:18	75:15 78:17 80:10	150:1,3,8,15 151:4
<b>plaintiff (6)</b> 70:18,20	131:2	83:3 84:3 127:12	151:4,13,17 152:9
71:14,23 73:23	<b>preferred (4)</b> 33:10	prioritization (4)	159:21,23
74:11	33:13 37:23 41:5	30:19 31:4 34:15	<b>products (29)</b> 1:9
<b>plaintiffs (2)</b> 2:6 59:6	<b>prefire</b> (1) 25:8	133:10	2:11 30:5 32:3,6,10
plaintiff's (1) 7:4	preliminary (1)	<b>priority</b> (1) 41:9	33:16 34:1 36:6,24
<b>planning (2)</b> 18:5	38:11	<b>probably (8)</b> 54:23	38:22,24 39:20
50:19	preparation (1)	85:2 87:7 106:23	40:17,17 43:14
plans (1) 77:14	19:10	128:18 146:4,6	48:18,20 51:14 56:2
plate (2) 26:11,12	<b>prepared</b> (1) 12:24	149:4	59:22 63:7 100:5
play (2) 55:1,24	prescription (3) 40:8	<b>problem (5)</b> 26:19	112:6 133:11
Plaza (1) 1:22	43:13,13	49:22 131:10,11	135:14,14 154:3
please (5) 17:13	presence (2) 71:24	144:18	157:1
55:15 140:20 172:3	72:15	problems (3) 41:18	profession (1) 53:8
172:7	present (15) 28:22	137:6 147:5	professional (6) 1:14
	I	I	I

126:7 139:10,12	Providence (1) 2:9	43:10 143:15,19	read (43) 33:24 41:22
146:13 171:14	<b>provides (6)</b> 138:16	144:15	42:1 65:18 67:19,20
<b>profile (3)</b> 92:19	155:16,23,23	P-I-O-M-B-I-N-O	67:21,21,22 118:14
93:11,13	157:21,24	11:15	120:2,13 125:1
progress (2) 105:1	<b>providing (5)</b> 35:22	<b>p.m</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 90:3 170:16	134:13,23 142:9,17
168:21	44:3 50:19 116:14		142:19 145:3,16,18
<b>prohibits</b> (1) 91:21	130:23	Q	145:19 146:4,9,12
<b>project (2)</b> 112:1	<b>pubically (1)</b> 29:18	qualifications (1)	146:23 147:9,13,14
133:21	<b>public</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 1:15 161:7	68:13	147:15,16,21,23
<b>prominent</b> (1) 163:23	171:15 174:20	<b>qualified (4)</b> 123:15	148:3,9,17,24 149:3
prominently (1)	<b>publication (1)</b> 38:14	138:20,23 139:3	149:11,19,20 172:3
166:7	publications (1)	<b>quantify</b> (1) 89:20	174:4
propensity (1)	30:24	<b>question</b> (15) 4:4	<b>readability (6)</b> 35:18
139:22	<b>publish</b> (1) 38:13	16:2 27:8 74:2,9	39:18 131:5,13
<b>proper (1)</b> 150:7	<b>published (9)</b> 28:16	92:18,23 94:15	134:24 135:3
properties (1) 63:6	28:21 37:7 38:17,20	105:5 110:16	<b>Readable (1)</b> 161:5
<b>property (2)</b> 60:18	45:12 104:13	149:24 151:6	readers (1) 31:23
61:18	159:22 160:4	152:16 153:2	readily (9) 99:14
<b>proposal</b> (1) 145:12	<b>pull (5)</b> 25:4 43:20,21	156:15	101:14,22 117:2,14
<b>propose (4)</b> 127:22	43:22 44:20	questioning (2) 20:24	117:14 127:17,19
128:19 143:15	<b>pulled (2)</b> 158:15	83:12	143:5
165:22	159:4	<b>questions</b> (6) 38:11	reading (26) 4:10
<b>proposed</b> (9) 53:4	<b>pullout (8)</b> 42:17,23	167:19 168:23	31:24 33:20 34:20
99:24 120:16 128:3	43:4 44:5,8,15,21	169:4,9 174:7	35:18 41:16,19,20
141:9,22 142:3	45:1	<b>QuickStart</b> (1) 36:17	42:1 43:2 65:22
143:3 166:3	<b>purchase (3)</b> 65:12	quite (1) 26:20	68:1 133:17 134:20
<b>proposing (5)</b> 138:11	83:7 149:1	R	147:1 148:22 149:8
151:21 153:6	<b>purchased (2)</b> 83:5	R (3) 2:1 173:1,1	157:4,12,16,24
162:11 163:15	84:24	rack (1) 165:10	158:1 160:7 161:5,8
pros (1) 134:22	purpose (2) 79:18	Rae (1) 8:20	161:11
protect (1) 119:24	112:17	rags (1) 63:11	readout (1) 129:19
provide (15) 41:23	pursuant (1) 19:2	railing (15) 46:12,12	real (4) 42:8,24 44:5
46:8 75:16 101:3,10	Purswell (3) 6:5	47:17,22 48:23,24	129:8
108:14 109:12	157:10 158:18	49:5,14,15,18,22,23	realistic (1) 51:23
118:9 120:14	Purswell's (2) 7:3	49:23,24 50:8	realize (3) 7:3 81:6
136:22 139:24	157:5	ramp (1) 73:18	159:6
142:8 144:21 150:5 162:19	<b>put (27)</b> 6:13,18 9:16 27:2 31:20 38:12	ran (1) 45:24	really (7) 42:18 59:22 72:23 119:8,19
provided (24) 19:14	44:24 49:24 50:2	range (2) 137:5	156:20 168:6
24:2 27:19 33:7	58:2 76:23 99:5	160:19	rear (2) 128:10,11
51:5 54:11 65:14	101:16 114:3 128:7	rank (3) 33:8,9,10	reason (17) 23:20
72:13 73:4 76:21,24	128:18 131:16	ranking (1) 33:13	55:23 90:8 91:11,23
99:22 103:9 113:23	143:4 144:16	rate (3) 31:17 136:20	92:4 124:7,10,24
120:4 129:22,23,24	145:10 150:7	154:20	126:21 128:22
130:12,16 138:10	158:10 164:19	reach (4) 52:3 67:9	145:13 146:9 147:4
148:24 155:14	165:11,19 166:9,9	125:19 167:7	147:23 166:18
156:6	putting (6) 32:13,15	reacted (1) 133:13	172:5
	1 6 (*)		

reasonability (1)	recommendations	113:16,18 114:9	70:13,13,15 71:5,7
65:8	31:19 46:9,17 48:18	115:14 123:7	72:18 81:13 86:22
reasonable (10)	51:17 126:3 127:11	124:11,18 125:10	88:3 97:21 107:5,23
80:19 99:5 108:24	129:18 132:23	127:2,4 129:21	110:11,21 123:21
109:2 111:23 112:8	135:6,12 141:15	140:4,24 141:7	123:23 127:20
120:9,11,15 151:1	recommended (2)	153:3 155:2 156:14	143:16 157:7,17
reasonably (2) 72:15	42:16 160:19	160:21,23 163:1,14	remind (1) 5:2
74:11	record (17) 4:15 6:2	165:14,21 166:3	removal (1) 47:4
reasons (3) 96:17	6:21,22,24 13:22	169:5	remove (1) 7:10
104:22 118:11	14:7 24:17,18 58:15	regarding (11) 7:22	removed (2) 84:18
reattach (1) 44:24	78:4 122:18,22	26:18 28:10,16	124:20
rebuttal (2) 77:10,15	127:22 159:18	78:10,12 79:15	<b>removing (1)</b> 47:5
recall (46) 33:21 35:6	160:1 168:7	105:20 130:23	repair (1) 105:2
36:21 48:3,4 49:8	red (5) 123:9,12,17	138:20 154:24	repeated (2) 151:5
49:11,12 50:9 52:5	124:4 126:13	regards (1) 108:9	164:9
60:16,18 62:4,7,9	<b>redoing</b> (1) 46:17	Registered (2) 1:14	<b>report (63)</b> 3:9 6:4
63:1,2,19 64:3,11	<b>reduce (3)</b> 136:12	171:14	7:4,6,7 9:10,13,15
67:19 69:6,14,19	149:14,18	<b>regular (5)</b> 98:17,18	11:6,8,12 12:10,22
70:4,6,7 72:11	reduces (2) 134:24	98:19,22 139:8	13:1 17:3,6,8,21
74:16 78:21 86:23	136:11	<b>regulatory (1)</b> 113:12	18:2,3,9,10,11,14
88:1 106:5,13 107:7	<b>redundant</b> (1) 165:18	<b>related (17)</b> 19:3	18:15 19:22 26:1,13
108:7 142:22 145:4	refer (2) 22:4 27:5	29:15 30:6 31:11	27:13 77:3,5,15
146:10,18,21,23	reference (7) 15:20	38:1,9 39:16,17	80:6,7,10,12,21,24
147:6 155:6 161:17	149:15,23 156:14	40:10 41:8 53:18,21	94:7 112:23 114:8
161:19	159:20 166:16,19	59:24 66:1 101:12	120:20,21 121:1,2,7
<b>recalled</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 146:19	<b>referenced (2)</b> 144:2	151:11 164:23	122:13 124:13,15
recalls (2) 146:15	163:8	<b>relation (1)</b> 102:4	128:24 138:5,19
147:1	references (2) 9:10	<b>relative (1)</b> 89:10	144:3 157:20 159:4
receipt (1) 172:14	155:7	<b>relegate (3)</b> 110:3,4	161:22,23 162:20
receive (2) 65:17,24	<b>referencing</b> (1) 29:12	111:6	163:9 168:9,14
received (7) 18:16,19	referral (1) 48:5	<b>relegated (1)</b> 116:8	169:2,12
66:12 145:19 146:3	<b>referred</b> (1) 48:9	<b>relegating (1)</b> 115:12	<b>reporter (4)</b> 1:15 4:9
146:4,19	<b>referring</b> (1) 97:10	relevant (3) 41:11	171:14,22
receiving (2) 65:22	reflect (1) 168:7	42:18 162:19	<b>Reporters (1)</b> 1:21
66:2	refrigerator (1)	reliance (1) 149:18	reporting (1) 115:8
recess (4) 56:16 90:2	104:4	relied (3) 15:9,19,22	reports (15) 11:15
141:19 159:9	refrigerators (1)	rely (3) 65:9 103:13	18:6,20,21 19:15,19
recognize (4) 33:21	154:7	118:11	19:21 31:2 120:22
95:22 146:17	regard (55) 7:2 19:5	relying (6) 15:13	161:24 162:2,7,10
159:16	23:12 28:3 34:14,23	80:14,18 115:24	162:15,21
recognized (4) 116:2	36:7,24 37:6 39:7	116:21 163:10	report's (1) 80:4
116:3,4 117:2	40:11 41:1 48:1	remember (38) 7:24	represent (2) 4:16
recommend (2) 50:7	49:14 50:15 51:7,10	11:23 30:4,6 33:7	18:24
110:14	52:4 55:5 56:1	35:1 36:11 46:13	reproduction (1)
recommendation (5)	63:19 81:1 90:22	49:13 50:20,22	171:20
51:9 117:18 158:6,9	93:16 95:12 97:3	51:18 59:16 60:9	require (1) 96:18
160:7	100:2,10,23 109:4	63:8,13 69:4 70:12	required (7) 32:6
	·		1

94:6 107:4 113:13	133:23 155:22	135:17 140:7 141:1	39:12 65:10 110:3
139:13 147:15	retain (1) 118:16	147:10 148:3 151:8	111:9,10,12,12,16
152:14	retained (28) 3:8	152:10 160:9	112:15,19 116:20
= :	` ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
requirement (8)	16:24 17:20 46:7,19	163:17 165:23	118:12,22 119:11
92:24 105:21 107:3	47:19 48:14 59:6,9	166:17 167:24	119:23 137:2,7
107:9 114:5 147:21 149:5 167:3	60:15 61:2,9,16,21	169:12,17 170:7	149:17,21 150:23
	64:24 69:6,23 71:13	rigid (3) 50:23 85:6	151:2 159:23
requirements (9)	71:18 74:16,19,22	85:13	sake (1) 122:19
54:13,15 92:21	75:19 76:19,20	Ripley (6) 8:23,23,24	sat (1) 168:7
94:10 100:18	103:3 110:8 176:5	114:13 147:18	satisfactory (1) 158:2
120:15 126:2	retention (2) 50:12	148:13	saw (2) 72:8 89:20
131:21 135:5	52:22	risk (14) 31:23 37:1,2	saying (3) 24:12
requires (1) 105:2	return (1) 172:12	37:3 40:6 96:23	80:10 141:1
reroute (1) 73:20	reusable (7) 47:11,12	97:2,3 112:2 118:24	says (11) 5:16 13:9
rerouted (1) 73:21	47:17 48:7,11	130:4 152:8,12,15	43:20 57:22 70:3
research (11) 28:20	110:11,16	road (6) 1:17 2:4,9	113:22 124:21
37:8 40:2 41:13	reverse (2) 102:11	59:13,18,24	135:21 150:8
119:16 135:6,8,8,10	108:4	roadway (2) 59:22	155:19 157:20
135:11 142:7	reversed (1) 71:3	60:1	scanned (1) 106:23
reserved (1) 4:4	reverses (1) 102:10	<b>Rob</b> (1) 11:7	scanners (2) 36:13
residential (1) 70:22	reversible (2) 102:18	<b>Robson (8)</b> 53:1	45:24
residue (1) 110:24	165:9	74:22 75:6,9,17	scenarios (2) 63:14
resources (2) 54:24	reversing (1) 102:21	76:10 162:3,7	63:18
133:21	review (8) 4:23 5:17	role (1) 62:2	scene (1) 11:13
respect (5) 28:21	19:18 53:15 76:7	<b>room</b> (1) 168:4	<b>scheduled (2)</b> 98:15
80:22 81:3 100:1	80:11 96:2 106:21	<b>Rose</b> (1) 2:8	98:16
118:22	reviewed (3) 19:10	rough (1) 59:18	<b>scheduling (3)</b> 7:18
respiratory-wise (1)	153:13 154:19	<b>Rual</b> (1) 69:12	7:23 121:1
111:3	<b>reviewing (1)</b> 19:21	<b>rubber-like</b> (1) 100:5	<b>school</b> ( <b>14</b> ) 34:11
<b>respond</b> (1) 156:18	rewrite (1) 123:3	rule (1) 78:22	35:17 37:5,15 38:4
<b>responded (1)</b> 12:10	rewrote (2) 123:1	rules (1) 4:23	38:5 39:14,15,15
<b>responding</b> (1) 73:24	125:1	<b>ruling (1)</b> 68:11	45:14,19,22 133:4
response (1) 157:14	<b>Ricklefs (2)</b> 8:21,22	run (2) 31:23 85:8	161:20
responses (1) 11:11	ride (2) 47:7 65:14	running (4) 32:23	scope (1) 75:13
responsibility (3)	<b>right (51)</b> 10:3,20	33:1 53:5 151:14	screen (1) 98:21
120:2,7 147:9	13:3,11,18 23:1		<b>sealed</b> (1) 67:2
responsible (1) 40:3	29:9 33:2 46:21	S	sealing (1) 4:2
responsive (1) 5:21	55:6,10 58:19 73:17	<b>S</b> (2) 2:1 3:4	search (1) 29:20
rest (3) 32:2,19	74:14 85:7 89:23	safe (2) 127:8 137:4	<b>Sears</b> (7) 83:8,9
118:19	97:3 98:24 99:3,23	<b>safeguard (2)</b> 125:16	84:24 88:16,16
<b>restricted (2)</b> 104:23	102:22 106:17	136:23	139:13,18
152:24	107:23 108:1	safeguarding (2)	seats (1) 45:20
restricting (1) 93:21	114:11 118:5	110:4 125:23	second (7) 29:13
<b>restriction (1)</b> 104:21	120:20 121:23	<b>safeguards (3)</b> 127:8	40:11 56:12 128:4
result (3) 37:8 38:10	122:20 124:15	130:11 136:17	145:2 160:4 167:22
68:4	126:12,12,14	safety (29) 30:19,22	secondhand (2)
results (3) 41:6	128:14 132:12,12	31:4,7,12,15,22	65:13 66:6
	,		

<b>Secondly (1)</b> 130:10	115:9 116:4 123:13	165:9	snow (2) 47:4,5
section (9) 44:15	123:15,17 124:21	signed (1) 14:11	sold (4) 43:14 46:14
161:4 164:10,23	137:9,10 138:8	signify (1) 155:21	50:21,21
165:1,3,10,12 166:8	139:13,14 164:11	signing (2) 4:11	solely (3) 35:9 39:12
see (31) 23:17 26:19	164:24 165:2	172:9	130:9
31:7,17 68:24 71:10	serviced (1) 137:5	similar (10) 31:6	solid (1) 104:17
74:12 82:18 89:1	servicer (3) 94:24	41:6 84:2 106:1	solution (4) 109:8,10
91:1,15 94:17	95:2 138:21	151:20 153:6	109:14,17
108:11 116:13	set (8) 33:16 38:1	161:24 162:6,9,17	somebody (1) 50:4
121:9 124:22 125:3	54:12,13 107:3	simplicity (1) 52:1	sorry (22) 28:7 30:13
127:19 128:6	133:12 170:6 174:7	single (2) 59:17 123:8	30:17 44:13 57:7
133:12,13 142:4,9	setting (1) 51:24	single (2) 39.17 123.8 sir (3) 22:22 24:12	58:10 61:5 62:19
143:22 144:11,14	settled (2) 16:10,12	154:13	69:9 70:21 78:11
*			
150:9 160:16,18	seventh (1) 158:1	sit (3) 63:1 77:13	96:7 103:21,24
165:3,4	severity (1) 116:22	169:1	106:3 109:23,24
seed (1) 63:10	<b>Shannon</b> (1) 8:18	site (2) 11:13 79:24	115:18 121:9
seeing (6) 28:5 32:18	Sharon (2) 1:5 14:8	sitting (1) 76:16	145:22 155:10
35:19 106:5 133:17	sheet (7) 43:9 54:2	situation (4) 54:20	162:24
134:19	172:6,7,10,13 174:9	119:14 150:24	sound (1) 5:3
seen (18) 5:12 19:6	Shelley (1) 8:15	151:9	sounds (1) 69:22
23:15 24:5,7,7,9	<b>shipped</b> (1) 91:20	situations (2) 47:18	source (5) 97:12
27:5,9,9 43:12	<b>shooting (2)</b> 47:8	110:13	123:18 124:3
84:19 90:10 93:5	48:4	six (9) 19:7 58:24	140:22 141:3
106:24 111:14	short (5) 7:2 20:23	61:15 83:2 86:20	South (1) 1:22
135:17 143:2	56:16 159:13 160:5	87:12,16 167:9,10	space (4) 42:19 58:3
segment (2) 132:5	shortcomings (1)	sixth (3) 157:16	134:21 172:5
137:21	48:19	158:8,10	spacing (3) 41:18
self-heating (1) 63:5	shortly (1) 21:23	sixth-grade (1) 158:5	131:3 134:8
self-reported (1)	show (1) 56:21	size (14) 42:12,13	<b>Spanish</b> (3) 27:16
33:22	shown (2) 142:7	128:22,23,24 129:4	44:3,4
seller (1) 52:11	156:22	129:7,11 131:4,22	spark (1) 35:3
sells (1) 105:22	shuffle (1) 118:17	132:3,8 134:6,17	speak (2) 90:5,9
semirigid (2) 85:4,13	shut (3) 123:19 124:4	skid (7) 69:8,15,16	speaking (1) 98:4
send (3) 75:17 76:4	144:24	70:10,21,22 71:3	special (1) 156:3
139:19	shuts (1) 144:22	skimmed (2) 146:7	specific (15) 9:6
sends (1) 25:3	Sic (1) 83:21	146:10	28:24 38:22 39:2,11
sensing (1) 104:19	side (20) 85:7,7	skimming (1) 146:20	55:15 73:3 78:12
sent (7) 7:17,22 11:10	101:19,20,21,22	skims (1) 146:5	96:20 101:3 108:15
14:12,15 76:6 88:16	102:7,9,12,12,14,15	skin (1) 111:2	128:23 130:22
separate (3) 135:23	102:16,22 107:23	skinny (7) 3:15 25:14	136:10,11
136:1,3	107:24 108:2,2	26:8 95:14,18,24	specifically (34)
<b>Sergeant</b> (1) 12:8	118:21 143:10	107:20	15:11,12,20 21:3
serial (3) 25:20 26:10	sight (3) 66:21 67:6	small (3) 42:13	28:18 51:18 52:5
26:15	68:4	131:23 132:3	66:18 67:17 80:19
series (1) 35:12	sign (2) 39:13 172:7	smaller (2) 41:17	81:18 90:19,22 93:9
serious (1) 98:7	signal (4) 27:15	42:20	94:17 96:19 97:10
service (15) 105:2	100:19 134:18	snake (2) 86:6,8	100:7 103:13 105:9
	I	I	I

105:16 106:12	state (9) 8:24 9:1	stored (1) 143:24	72:14 131:4
111:14 112:24	17:1 59:19 71:24	stores (2) 81:15	suggest (2) 142:5
113:2,3 114:2,20	104:17 133:8 135:9	144:9	156:17
117:15 146:23	172:5	stoves (1) 154:7	suggested (2) 115:15
154:23 156:19	stated (3) 92:24	strapped (1) 137:15	127:16
163:11,12	145:13 158:14	street (2) 1:22 70:22	suitability (1) 65:8
specificity (1) 136:6	statement (4) 26:18	string (1) 31:11	suitable (1) 161:6
specifics (1) 65:16	108:19 109:24	striving (1) 113:10	Suite (4) 1:17,22 2:4
spent (1) 33:19	168:6	struck (2) 70:20 71:4	2:8
spoke (3) 8:11 79:6,9	statements (4) 33:8	structure (1) 49:16	<b>sum</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 31:9
spontaneous (9)	67:15,22,23	stuck (1) 45:7	<b>summaries (3)</b> 8:13
60:13 61:10 62:14	states (5) 1:1 72:3	studies (25) 28:21	9:2,5
62:15,17 63:5,19	73:10 93:21 161:5	31:11,13 33:15 34:2	summary (1) 9:8
97:6 100:3	<b>stating (1)</b> 14:18	34:5,8,14 35:8,12	<b>sunup</b> (1) 73:9
<b>spot</b> (1) 107:20	status (3) 155:20,21	35:13,16,17 37:5	supervision (1)
spring (1) 82:4	156:22	38:2 40:16,17,19,24	171:22
springtime (1) 82:2	stenographic (1)	45:11 46:5 52:21	<b>supplement (1)</b> 137:2
Square (2) 1:16 2:3	171:5	119:17 154:19	supplemental (3)
stacked (1) 63:13	step (1) 142:13	156:17	18:6,8 77:14
stains (1) 43:15	steps (1) 142:12	study (4) 39:22 42:3	<b>support</b> (4) 49:15
standard (24) 32:4,4	step-by-step (1)	42:16 168:12	68:22 154:20
32:5,7 38:15 96:8	129:20	<b>stuff (7)</b> 32:18 41:7,8	160:12
96:12,16 99:12	<b>Steve (1)</b> 8:16	41:11 51:21 100:2	<b>supposed (2)</b> 128:2
100:24 112:22,23	<b>Steven (2)</b> 8:3 9:1	144:15	146:22
113:6,10,14,16,22	stick (1) 99:23	style (3) 43:17 82:15	<b>sure</b> ( <b>37</b> ) 4:19 7:19
114:14,17,24,24	stipulated (1) 4:1	82:16	12:15 17:2 29:13
115:1 132:22,22	stipulations (1) 4:9	<b>sub</b> (1) 123:2	35:22 37:11 41:15
standards (11) 32:14	stock (1) 25:2	<b>subject (9)</b> 52:22	45:2 53:11 55:4,20
53:20 114:8,20	<b>Stoddard (29)</b> 3:14	53:16 68:11 79:21	56:7,14 58:9 62:21
116:1 126:2 127:11	7:23 11:6,12 12:14	80:5 90:17 91:10	66:20 80:16 81:10
129:18 135:12	12:19 13:10,14,15	92:24 172:9	83:14 99:1,18
141:15 153:11	25:2 28:10 77:19,22	<b>subjects (10)</b> 32:23	110:17,18,22 115:2
<b>standing</b> (1) 84:13	78:6,9,16 79:3,6,15	33:1,6 42:6 54:5	115:18 117:12,13
standpoint (11)	79:19,20 92:20 93:2	126:17,19 127:4	118:6 121:11
74:18 112:19	123:6,24 125:9	132:17 141:9	130:21 136:4 146:5
113:12,13 118:24	128:6 130:13	<b>submitted</b> (1) 38:14	149:16 168:22
131:5,5,13,14	166:22	subrogation (3)	169:16
149:13 168:16	<b>Stoddard's (6)</b> 80:7	16:21 60:19 61:19	<b>survey (3)</b> 106:4
standstill (1) 68:4	80:12,14,17 124:8	Subscribed (1)	151:24 152:19
start (4) 32:18 54:13	144:7	174:13	surveys (1) 81:15
86:17 130:3	stop (2) 34:20 41:24	<b>substance</b> (1) 174:8	swing (1) 102:21
started (5) 47:22	<b>stopped (8)</b> 70:24	substances (1)	sworn (2) 4:7 174:13
86:15 124:10	71:1,2,3 73:16,20	110:18	system (3) 48:8 81:22
144:15 162:20	73:22 74:12	substantially (3)	156:22
starting (3) 29:13	<b>stopping</b> (1) 89:24	151:20 153:6 162:6	systems (2) 36:16
30:1 47:16	storage (2) 36:16	sued (1) 63:16	46:2
starts (1) 32:10	46:2	sufficient (3) 24:3	
	I	I	I

	139:16	154:23 155:4,6,13	26:16,17 29:14 66:7
	techniques (3) 39:5	155:14 160:3	thirdhand (1) 65:13
T (3) 2:3 3:4 173:1	41:24 133:20	textbooks (1) 15:19	third-party (1) 61:19
tab (1) 8:6	teleconference (2)	thank (6) 13:5,19	thirty (1) 172:14
tacky (1) 43:11	3:13 11:5	21:24 170:8,9,10	thoroughly (1)
take (29) 4:21 5:2	telephone (4) 13:16	thanks (2) 76:17	167:11
6:11,14 56:14 72:16	77:22 78:6,8	170:2	thought (4) 6:6 81:9
72:18 75:24 78:15	tell (7) 51:18 85:17	thing (12) 99:11	111:11 125:1
79:7,16 83:13 84:6	93:2 124:5 136:2	109:5,5 117:22	thoughts (1) 54:3
84:10,19 85:14,19	144:23 145:1	124:5 127:14	three (11) 1:16 2:3
86:1 88:8 89:24	telling (1) 138:24	129:12 133:22	7:14 25:5 40:2 44:6
103:20 104:21	temperatures (1)	145:2,8 146:23	75:3 89:14,18 131:8
122:9 128:5 129:3	66:24	156:13	167:1
129:20 139:20,21	terms (4) 24:4 99:19	things (20) 32:12	three-bound (1)
141:16	100:16 105:10	36:20 42:15 45:20	13:24
taken (4) 1:13 84:9	tested (3) 126:6,16	55:1 88:5 103:17	three-inch (1) 89:12
119:24 171:5	135:13	116:18 133:23	thumb (8) 6:7,8,11
takes (2) 136:9	testified (27) 4:8	136:15,22 138:18	6:12,15,19 10:4
155:10	65:17 67:12,18 68:6	143:19 144:9 145:6	14:16
talk (12) 49:5 65:20	94:8,18,20,21 97:18	150:9 152:5 158:19	time (25) 4:5 8:6
66:4 71:2 79:21	97:19,23 129:14	164:1 169:14	33:19 61:1 67:9
96:23 129:24 130:2	139:19 142:24	think (78) 16:1 21:4	68:1 74:6 79:4 80:5
138:4 143:17	143:17 145:4,9	25:4 30:2 35:2	84:13 86:10 87:21
153:17 169:3	146:15,18 147:18	36:11 47:10,13 50:3	111:14 121:10
talked (21) 36:2,12	148:7,8,9,13,23	57:12,15,20 58:3,6	126:23 128:5 129:3
37:5 47:10 56:2	160:6	60:12,13 61:8 62:13	133:21 135:9
78:16 79:20 95:13	testify (3) 68:10	62:24 67:15 72:17	143:12,22 144:10
110:7,10,22 112:6	144:4 145:3	72:17,22 73:7,21	167:16 168:23,24
113:15 133:9,10	testifying (1) 144:5	75:12,17 80:7 81:18	timeframe (4) 28:10
135:15 136:19	testimony (30) 3:12	82:20 83:9 84:3,20	34:11 54:23 152:22
152:6 153:9,12 157:3	7:15 8:15 9:3,4	84:21 86:23 87:4	timers (1) 80:21
	22:16,22 56:19,22	88:12 92:18,20,21	times (6) 26:17 58:24
talking (11) 33:2 40:13 90:19,22	57:2 58:17 59:1	93:8 94:7 98:14	88:24 110:8 112:17
98:14,17 134:1,2	60:3,7 61:4,6 62:21	100:16 102:21	127:17
150:15,15 160:21	64:13,18 68:16,18	104:5 105:12	timing (1) 169:15
talks (2) 97:2 164:10	68:21 97:22 127:21	110:17 111:15	<b>Timothy (1)</b> 59:12
talks (2) 97.2 104.10 tall (2) 25:14 129:6	132:14 142:2,21	112:9 122:18 123:5	title (1) 31:6
tan (2) 23.14 129.0 tape (4) 36:14 46:1,2	143:1 148:1 157:7	124:9,9 129:10	toasters (1) 154:8
145:10	testing (18) 32:22	131:24 138:3,22	today (7) 4:15,21
target (11) 47:9,11	46:5,6 53:1,2,14,24	139:2,18 142:21	5:13 77:13 152:6
47:11,12,18 48:5,8	54:1,5 55:10,11,12	147:18 148:8,15	158:15 169:7
48:11 110:11,16,19	55:20 56:3,5,8	152:6 156:13,15,20	today's (2) 5:6 19:10
tear (2) 45:1,9	132:17 135:14	157:19 161:14,19	<b>told (3)</b> 85:16 92:20
Technical (1) 31:2	text (8) 27:14,17,19	162:20 163:24	114:23
technician (3) 123:16	57:21 131:3 157:15	164:8 165:17,19	tool (2) 42:9 53:11
139:14,14	160:17 161:5	167:16 170:6	tools (4) 34:3,23 39:5
technicians (1)	textbook (7) 38:19	third (7) 5:8 26:6,7	53:12
(1)			

top (25) 31:1 37:19	73:17,17,18	typo (1) 78:18	122:7,12,20 124:7
39:9 47:6 63:13	treatises (1) 15:18	Tyrell (1) 9:4	140:17
72:6 84:9,18,20,22	tree (3) 2:8 47:2	T-C (1) 13:10	<b>updated</b> (17) 3:11,16
128:8,10,15 129:8	112:10		3:18 9:14 22:15
130:18 131:17	<b>Trey (2)</b> 11:21,22	$\mathbf{U}$	120:22 121:8,9,12
132:13,13 143:15	trial (11) 1:4 4:5 9:4	ubiquitous (2)	121:16 122:1,8
143:19,23 144:1,6	60:4 64:13 68:8,10	156:11 157:1	123:6 124:18 140:6
144:20 145:10	68:22 77:8 168:17	<b>UL</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 32:4 107:6	169:7,12
topic (1) 29:16	169:1	114:4,13,24	<b>updating (1)</b> 124:10
topics (1) 40:10	trick (1) 27:8	<b>unaware (1)</b> 149:4	usability (6) 38:6
tops (1) 131:9	trigger (1) 123:21	<b>unburned</b> (1) 28:5	54:5,6 56:3,5,8
tore (1) 45:3	truck (8) 70:24,24	<b>underlying</b> (1) 66:17	usage (1) 41:8
<b>Townley (3)</b> 72:10,17	71:2,2,6,6 73:19	underneath (2)	use (49) 21:13 24:15
72:18	74:6	144:24 156:10	26:14 35:5 41:18
<b>Township (2)</b> 1:16	true (2) 129:16 171:7	<b>understand (14)</b> 73:1	42:16,22 49:17,19
2:4	trying (2) 150:2	81:7 93:10 98:5	51:15 52:7,13 55:23
toxic (2) 110:18	154:16	100:9,10 114:10	56:2 66:21 91:8
111:2	turn (5) 5:15 74:14	118:7 137:2 142:23	92:15 96:17 97:3,14
tracks (1) 69:18	120:16 127:13	145:7 157:23 169:8	100:17 109:11
tractor-trailers (1)	143:12	169:16	114:17 117:6 131:2
47:6	twice (1) 88:3	understanding (30)	131:3 134:17,18,21
traditionally (1)	two (31) 8:3 9:5	15:23 25:6,11 27:20	134:23 135:1
132:1	11:17,19 16:1 26:4	28:1,4,12 52:6 65:5	141:11 144:14
trailer-based (1) 47:2	26:5 30:2,3,12 31:5	66:14,19 84:17 93:6	147:12,19,20 148:2
train (2) 52:19 53:18	41:13 63:14 64:16	93:12 94:11 96:1,9	148:14 149:1 150:1
<b>trained</b> (1) 53:16	67:15,20,21 68:2	96:11 101:7,16	150:2,11 154:24
trainers (1) 52:14	71:16 85:9 88:18	102:6,8 123:11	155:19 157:1 158:7
<b>training (9)</b> 15:17,19	89:11,14 129:7	124:16 125:4,8	165:7 167:8 168:16
46:24 49:2 50:10	131:8 137:10	130:3 136:12	user (32) 31:16,16
52:18 55:6,9 133:4	140:11 141:1 145:5	145:15 159:3	32:17 43:19 66:6
<b>transcript</b> (11) 1:13	158:13 159:15	understands (2)	99:6 101:18 102:10
10:23 14:19 90:11	two-page (1) 78:2	108:15 148:2	108:11,15,18
90:13 170:1 171:8	<b>type</b> ( <b>15</b> ) 34:1 39:20	<b>understood</b> (1) 67:23	112:17 116:14,17
171:19 172:15,16	40:24 63:7 69:13	unfair (1) 65:20	117:2 119:24
176:6	79:8,13 82:6 86:5	<b>unfamiliar</b> (1) 150:2	123:14 127:19
transcription (1)	98:2 106:9 119:19	unimportant (1)	135:14,20 138:16
174:6	134:1,6,7	118:19	139:6,8 144:9,22
transcripts (3) 8:1	types (6) 38:24	unique (3) 32:15,20	148:1,17 150:17,18
14:8,22	132:15 133:20	34:21	166:24 167:4,7
<b>transition</b> (11) 85:4	134:9 154:5 155:24	United (4) 1:1,22	users (13) 31:24
85:14,18 86:1 88:8	<b>typically (19)</b> 12:20	72:3 73:10	34:18 41:17 65:12
90:24 91:8,13,22	25:17,20 42:11	<b>University (2)</b> 133:8	65:21 131:18 137:8
94:16,20	43:24 44:16 63:11	135:10	138:22 139:2 148:8
transitioned (1)	69:17 79:20,21 80:4	unknown (1) 32:15	148:24 149:3,7
152:4	85:15 88:4,6,8,11	unreasonable (1) 147:16	user's (2) 108:13
trap (1) 84:7	90:9 102:17 158:4		131:8
travel (4) 42:13	<b>typing</b> (1) 79:9	<b>update</b> ( <b>6</b> ) 121:20	<b>usual (3)</b> 4:9 85:17
	I	I	I

		I	1
85:20	viewer's (1) 131:20	124:12 125:3,13,20	165:13 167:4,5,8,22
<b>usually (2)</b> 43:19	<b>viewing (1)</b> 132:9	126:17 127:23	wanted (11) 35:24
160:19	<b>Vigilante (47)</b> 1:9 3:2	128:21 141:13	80:9 81:10 91:1
<b>utilized</b> (1) 135:13	4:6,13 5:9 17:10,19	183:1	124:19 128:6 132:8
UTV's (1) 47:8	17:22 18:1 20:11,14	Vigilante/Wogalte	147:20 152:16
<b>U.S</b> (2) 73:12 159:22	21:18 22:3 23:5,6	30:9,10,10,11,21	168:5,22
	28:15 29:7 30:1,1	37:21,22,22 38:1	warn (2) 115:17
<u>V</u>	30:23 37:18 38:3,3	<b>Vigilant's (1)</b> 77:21	152:24
<b>vacuum</b> (1) 84:6	56:17,23 58:15	<b>Vigliante-3</b> (1) 17:5	warned (1) 120:10
vacuums (1) 154:8	74:18 75:9 77:23	<b>violation (1)</b> 100:13	warning (105) 3:15
Valley (2) 1:16 2:3	78:3 90:5 95:19,22	<b>Virginia</b> (1) 73:8	3:16,18 25:24 26:9
vapor (1) 67:7	103:1 121:18 122:3	<b>visibility (3)</b> 72:23	27:16 33:17 35:21
variables (9) 133:11	122:6,17 141:21	74:3 131:13	35:22 39:10 40:5
133:14,16 134:1,10	145:15 159:10,14	<b>visible</b> ( <b>11</b> ) 99:14	41:21 44:18 50:11
134:11,19 135:15	164:14 168:3,23	101:15,22 114:6	53:9,10,13,22 54:10
135:19	170:11 171:6	117:14 127:17,19	62:8 69:8 70:15,19
varied (1) 67:10	<b>Vigilante's (4)</b> 3:9	143:5,8,11,13	71:14,23 72:14 73:5
Various (1) 25:1	17:21 20:10 56:22	<b>visitor</b> (1) 10:18	74:10 96:12,20
vary (1) 42:12	<b>Vigilante-1 (3)</b> 3:6	<b>visual (1)</b> 132:1	99:21 100:11
<b>vegetable (4)</b> 63:10	5:5 175:1	Vitae (1) 20:10	108:12,18,19,20
63:15 64:4,6	<b>Vigilante-10 (5)</b> 3:18	Vitale (22) 8:8,11,19	109:4,4,8,11,13,18
<b>vehicle (9)</b> 59:17	140:5,10 141:8	9:9 22:4 36:2,12	109:19,22 110:3,5
71:16,17,18,22	184:1	47:10 58:24 81:13	111:18 112:14,18
72:13,16 73:4	<b>Vigilante-11 (4)</b> 3:20	81:21 82:3 84:3	112:23 117:20,23
156:12	159:19 161:3 185:1	120:24 121:1	118:1,5,21 119:1,6
vent (15) 81:16,22	<b>Vigilante-12 (4)</b> 3:22	127:21 129:13	120:23 121:6,16
83:15 85:4,6,7	160:1,2 186:1	152:6 157:3 158:3	122:1 123:2 124:5,8
88:14,18 89:5 93:11	Vigilante-13 (3) 3:24	158:13 169:10	125:2,12,13,16,17
93:17,23 94:1	164:13 187:1	vivid (1) 112:9	125:20,21 126:12
137:11,24	<b>Vigilante-2 (5)</b> 3:7	<b>voluntary (1)</b> 113:13	126:16,20 127:23
vented (1) 85:3	15:6 17:4 176:1,5	vs (1) 1:8	130:12,16 131:9,16
<b>venting (21)</b> 83:23,24 84:2 85:12 86:3,11	<b>Vigilante-3 (5)</b> 3:9	$\overline{\mathbf{w}}$	131:19 132:3 133:1
	18:2,11 19:23 177:1		134:12 136:20,22
87:12 88:24 89:2,9	Vigilante-4 (5) 3:10	Wade (1) 72:9 waffle (1) 154:10	137:1,18 138:20
89:19 90:20,23,24	20:2,15 21:2 178:1	waine (1) 134.10 waived (1) 4:3	139:6 141:7,9,12,23
94:5,8,10,12,16,24 99:2	<b>Vigilante-5 (3)</b> 3:11	walked (1) 82:18	142:3 143:11
version (13) 3:16,18	29:5 179:1	walked (1) 82.18 wall (3) 85:7,7,9	144:11,14,24 150:6
14:10,23 15:1 21:18	Vigilante-6 (4) 3:12	want (33) 6:10 10:4	150:8,8 151:11
22:20 27:6 121:8,12	56:19 58:16 180:1	10:10 17:11 20:8	152:14 156:5 160:8
121:16 122:1 140:6	Vigilante-7 (4) 3:13	25:3,13 78:22 84:4	warnings (116) 9:11
versions (3) 27:9,10	77:20 78:24 181:1	88:11 100:10 106:3	9:14,14,15 10:15
27:12	Vigilante-8 (8) 3:15	116:7,21,22,24	28:16,22,23 30:19
versus (5) 59:12	95:17,23 100:12	117:12,13 121:11	30:22 31:4,7,12,23
68:17 69:12 72:3,9	102:3 107:21	136:6,7,8 145:8	32:5 33:20,23 34:15
vicinity (1) 66:23	113:16 182:1	149:13,16,18	34:19 36:8,13,14,14
view (1) 157:5	Vigilante-9 (12) 3:16	150:14 158:22	36:15 37:6,14,16
11011 (1) 131.3	121:15 122:19	150.17 150.22	38:23 39:6,8 44:9
	1	1	•

45:4,13,19 47:1,3,4	ways (3) 25:5 54:16	170:9,14 172:1	Y
47:7,8 48:17,21	133:23	<b>Wogalter (1)</b> 30:22	<b>Yazdani</b> (1) 64:22
49:1,4,7 51:4,8	web (1) 25:4	<b>Woodson (1)</b> 155:14	year (15) 37:16 74:20
53:17,17,19,22 54:7	websites (1) 40:7	Woodson's (1)	75:15 82:21 86:12
54:17 59:24 62:5	<b>Wednesday (1)</b> 1:17	154:23	86:12 88:12,20 94:9
64:6,10 68:23 70:9	weeks (1) 19:7	word (4) 11:4 26:14	104:11 137:9,10,14
70:12 71:21 72:23	well-known (1) 34:18	65:19 134:18	138:1 163:6
74:4 76:20 81:6	went (10) 13:21	worded (1) 138:19	years (16) 24:22
98:10 99:24 104:9	37:11 38:16 45:23	wording (2) 140:11	27:11 32:9 41:3
105:20 108:9 110:9	50:24 56:4 71:1	140:14	47:1 58:16 68:2
110:10 111:6 113:4	79:11 123:9 143:12	words (5) 27:15,17	82:12 83:2 86:20
115:12,22 116:8,10	weren't (4) 51:22	61:21 66:9 102:13	87:12,17 107:1
116:11,21 118:10	64:9 65:22 139:21	work (23) 17:11	152:3 163:5 167:1
119:6,13,18 120:3	Wet (1) 32:11	28:16 36:13,17 38:5	yellow (6) 123:9,12
120:13,14,17,19,21	we'll (5) 15:5 23:2	38:20,21 41:6 48:6	123:13,20 124:1
126:4,6,8 127:7	26:11 124:12 168:1	50:6 70:23,23 73:6	126:14
129:11,15,21 132:7	we're (10) 4:21 7:9	73:16,19 75:13,15	Yemma (79) 2:8 3:3
132:24 135:7 142:8	33:2 35:1 42:19	75:20 103:1 105:10	3:8 4:12,16 5:11
142:8,22 146:11	100:9 121:14	117:19 123:12	6:17,20,23 7:1 10:6
149:16 158:4	150:14,15 170:6	124:12	10:8,19 12:12 13:5
160:22,23 161:1	we've (2) 4:15 100:11	worked (3) 36:7	13:19,20 17:7,10,14
162:12 163:21	wheels (1) 69:18	82:17 130:17	17:17,20,24 20:1,6
164:2 168:12,13,17	<b>Whirlpool</b> (4) 60:13	working (6) 23:10,11	20:9,13 21:24 22:2
169:8,12	61:10 103:10 104:4	34:7,8 60:17 124:6	22:18 23:2,4 24:23
warning's (1) 131:7	white (11) 13:23	workplace (1) 111:12	29:4,8 55:16,18
warranty (3) 115:9	27:15,17,19,22 28:2	world (2) 113:7,8	56:14,17 57:1,12,16
116:5 152:8	28:4 131:3 134:7,21	worried (2) 119:6	57:22 58:7,11,13,14
washer (3) 103:24	143:6	129:7	76:11,17,18 77:17
155:17,18	wide (2) 41:18 129:6	wouldn't (8) 88:15	78:1 83:11,18 89:23
<b>Washers (1)</b> 154:7	<b>WiFi (2)</b> 9:24 10:18	88:17 101:6 112:18	90:4 95:16,21
wasn't (19) 12:17	<b>WILLIAM (4)</b> 1:9	115:23 128:13	121:14,19,23 122:5
27:3 39:11 40:21	3:2 4:6 171:6	144:12,18	141:18,20 154:18
48:11 50:1 59:22	wireless (2) 36:15	write (4) 18:8 158:7	159:1,3,8,12 164:12
60:17,22 66:4,9	45:24	158:10 161:10	164:16 167:17
68:3 84:12 99:13	withdrawing (1)	writing (2) 18:14	168:1 169:6,16,19
106:16 126:22	124:14	79:5	170:5,10 176:6
127:18 129:7 169:2	witness (41) 3:2 6:4,8	written (5) 15:18	yesterday (1) 14:12
water (2) 32:11 35:6	6:12,18 9:22 10:1,7	28:15 79:7 104:9	younger (1) 39:19
way (25) 17:16 20:8	10:14,17 12:20 13:2	158:4	
33:10,13,17 43:1,4	13:8,13,17 17:13	wrong (3) 119:7	Z
44:16 52:11,14	21:10,15,19 22:24	140:21 169:11	zone (1) 73:6
64:19 76:23 85:21	24:14,19 55:14 57:7	wrote (2) 55:7 100:17	<b>Z21.5.1</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 96:6,8
85:22 86:6,7 92:7	57:15,19,24 58:10	<b>T</b> 7	113:17,20 153:15
92:13 102:23 116:9	76:3,14 83:15	X X	<b>Z535</b> (2) 38:14 96:7
128:18 138:19	121:22 141:16	<b>X</b> (2) 3:1,4	<b>Z535.4</b> (9) 38:15
140:18 149:14	154:15 158:21,24	<b>XL</b> (1) 103:23	96:13,18 99:12
150:4	167:20 169:13	<b>XYZ</b> (1) 150:8	100:13 112:23
	l		l

	I	İ	I
126:2 132:22 135:5	<b>159 (2)</b> 3:20,23	<b>2003</b> ( <b>8</b> ) 28:14 39:14	4
ф.	<b>164</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:24	39:16 114:23	<b>4 (9)</b> 3:3 8:6 20:11
\$	<b>17 (2)</b> 3:7,9	152:18,19 153:24	72:21 122:7,12
<b>\$100</b> (1) 88:23	<b>17th</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 1:22 22:23	159:22	129:6,12 162:14
<b>\$300</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 88:19	56:20	<b>2004</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 72:9	<b>4-6</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 160:18
0	<b>175</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:6	<b>2005</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 11:6 14:18	<b>40</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 12:4 145:24
00019 (1) 92:3	<b>176</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:7	104:13 152:20	146:3
00019 (1) 92:3	<b>177</b> (1) 3:9	<b>2005-2006</b> (1) 152:22	<b>42</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 146:16
0011 (1) 92.2	<b>178</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:10	<b>2006</b> ( <b>6</b> ) 30:23 38:4,5	<b>43</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 146:18 147:1
1	<b>179</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:11	38:9,19 39:14	<b>44</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 147:1,3,6
<b>1 (25)</b> 3:17 5:9 121:7	<b>18</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 105:16 166:23	<b>2012</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 8:24 87:4	<b>45</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 12:4 147:6
121:13,17 122:20	167:8	<b>2013</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 4:20	
122:24 124:21	<b>18-month</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 123:22	<b>2014</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 69:5 72:4	5
129:10 132:7	149:4 166:16,20	<b>2015</b> ( <b>13</b> ) 14:14	<b>5</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 3:6 29:7,13,17
136:16 140:15,17	<b>180</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:12	37:20 74:21,23	37:13 129:5 165:7
144:19 162:14,17	<b>181</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:14	75:19,21 76:5,6,8	<b>5.1</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 96:7
163:17 164:5,7,19	<b>182</b> (1) 3:15	78:7,14,18 86:18	<b>512</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 1:16 2:4
165:5 166:1,5,6,15	<b>183</b> (1) 3:17	<b>2016</b> ( <b>11</b> ) 1:18 18:4	<b>557</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 12:2
1st (3) 8:24 74:19,21	<b>184</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:19	18:15 22:8 56:20	<b>558</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 11:19
<b>1/20/16</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 17:22	<b>185</b> (1) 3:20	58:23 64:13 78:19	<b>56</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:12
<b>1:00</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 90:2	<b>186</b> (1) 3:23	79:19 81:17 171:7	<b>5613 (1)</b> 12:7
<b>10</b> ( <b>7</b> ) 67:10 82:12	<b>187</b> (1) 3:24	<b>215</b> (1) 1:23	<b>564-1233</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 1:23
121:24 122:3,17	19th (1) 4:20	<b>2158</b> (2) 114:13,24	<b>575</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 124:1
128:21 131:9	<b>19063</b> (1) 2:9	<b>22nd</b> (2) 74:23,24	<b>592</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 11:19
<b>10-foot</b> (1) 85:8	<b>19103</b> (1) 1:23	<b>220</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 1:17 2:4	<b>593</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 12:6
<b>10:00</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 1:18	<b>19422</b> (1) 2:5	<b>24</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 80:21	6
<b>100 (2)</b> 111:19	<b>1950's (1)</b> 111:15	<b>25</b> (1) 11:2	<b>6 (15)</b> 29:23 30:2,3
112:15	<b>1996</b> (1) 31:6	<b>26</b> (1) 11:2	37:14,14 56:24
<b>11</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 159:11,14	<b>1997</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 30:2,12 160:4	<b>26th</b> (1) 22:8	57:13,20,22 58:4
<b>11/1/15 (2)</b> 3:10	<b>1998</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 30:1	<b>29</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:11	59:11 80:24 102:19
20:11	<b>1999</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 30:23 37:13	3	129:5 166:10
<b>11:35</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 56:12	38:3,6	<b>3 (19)</b> 3:19 17:22	6th (2) 161:5,10
<b>1187</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 160:17	36.3,0	69:5,5,9 72:6,6	<b>6.8</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 158:1
<b>12</b> ( <b>9</b> ) 16:6 93:22	2	121:21 122:2	<b>600</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 124:1
94:2 150:9 159:11	<b>2</b> (18) 17:19 29:17	129:10 132:7	<b>6035</b> (1) 2:8
159:15 160:16	72:8 134:17 138:4	137:20 140:4,7,10	<b>625</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 123:23 124:3
167:8,9	139:5 162:14,17,21	162:14 165:21	166:22,24
<b>12:30</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 90:2	163:2,17 164:21	166:2,4	
<b>121</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 3:17 12:1	165:14 166:1,2,6,7	<b>3:20</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 170:15	7
<b>122</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:19	166:14	<b>30</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 1:22 161:4	<b>7 (11)</b> 30:9 31:3
<b>13</b> (2) 87:4 164:15	<b>2nd</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 76:7	172:14	37:21 57:10,11,13
<b>13th</b> (1) 14:18	2:15-cv-00571-GJ	<b>31 (2)</b> 1:18 171:7	57:23 58:4,18,20
<b>14th</b> (6) 11:6 78:7,14	1:4	<b>357</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 155:12	77:23
78:17,19 79:19	<b>20</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 3:10 18:4	<b>36 (2)</b> 3:22 160:2	<b>7th</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 14:14
1400 (1) 2:9	<b>20th</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 18:15 80:8	<b>38 (2)</b> 38:9 145:24	<b>7/18/16 (2)</b> 3:11 29:6
<b>1520</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 1:22	<b>2001</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 39:15,16	<b>39</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 145:24	<b>75</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 12:3
L			

<u> </u>		216
<b>F</b> ( (1) 10.0		
<b>76</b> (1) 12:2		
<b>77</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 3:14		
8		
<b>8</b> ( <b>5</b> ) 30:24 31:1 39:7		
39:9 95:19		
8th (1) 161:5		
<b>8/17/16</b> (1) 56:23		
800 (1) 27:18		
<b>82-page</b> (1) 67:16		
<b>85-page</b> ( <b>1</b> ) 67:16		
9 (2) 121 10 122 17		
9 (3) 121:18 122:17		
122:24		
<b>90 (1)</b> 101:23		
<b>95</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 3:15 101:23,23		
<b>96</b> ( <b>3</b> ) 30:11,21 38:1		
<b>97</b> ( <b>2</b> ) 30:10 37:22		
<b>98 (2)</b> 30:10 37:22		
<b>99</b> ( <b>4</b> ) 30:9 37:21		
38:10,20		
	l	